



**II. FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS**

**A. Five Year Summary of Fiscal Impact:**

Fiscal Years	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Capital Expenditures	\$13,755	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Operating Costs	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
External Revenues	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Program Income (County)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
In-Kind Match (County)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
<b>NET FISCAL IMPACT</b>	<b>\$13,755</b>	<b>-0-</b>	<b>-0-</b>	<b>-0-</b>	<b>-0-</b>

# ADDITIONAL FTE  
POSITIONS (Cumulative) \_\_\_\_\_

Is Item Included in Current Budget? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No X  
Budget Acct No.: Fund \_\_\_ Dept. \_\_\_ Unit \_\_\_ Object \_\_\_  
Program \_\_\_\_\_

**B. Recommended Sources of Funds/Summary of Fiscal Impact:**

Transportation Improvement Fund  
Road Program Reserves-FY 2006  
Lawrence Rd Bridge Over LWDD Boynton Canal

Authorization - Basic Services	\$ 9,414.40
- Reimbursables	\$ -0-
Consultant Authorization	\$ 9,414.40
Contingency	\$ 500.60
Staff Costs - Roadway	\$ 1,900.00
- ROW	\$ 500.00
- Eng Services	\$ 500.00
- Traffic	\$ 940.00
Fiscal Impact	\$13,755.00

C. Departmental Fiscal Review: R.D. Ward 5/18/06

**III. REVIEW COMMENTS**

**A. OFMB Fiscal and/or Contract Dev. and Control Comments:**

[Signature] 7-6-06  
OFMB  
7-6-06  
[Initials]

[Signature] 7/10/06  
Contract Dev. and Control  
E Jones 7/6/06

**B. Approved as to Form and Legal Sufficiency:**

Paul F. [Signature] 7/7/06  
Assistant County Attorney


This item complies with current County policies.


**C. Other Department Review:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
Department Director

This summary is not to be used as a basis for payment.

**LOCATION MAP**  
**LAWRENCE ROAD OVER LWDD BOYNTON CANAL**  
**PROJECT NO. 2006502**





**ATTACHMENT 2**

**TASK AUTHORIZATION TO ANNUAL AGREEMENT BETWEEN**

**PALM BEACH COUNTY  
AND  
R.J. BEHAR & COMPANY, INC.**

**FOR PROFESSIONAL STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING SERVICES ON**

**LAWRENCE ROAD OVER BOYNTON CANAL**

**PROJECT #: 2006502  
PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA**

**THIS TASK AUTHORIZATION TO THE ANNUAL AGREEMENT**, made and entered into this day of \_\_\_\_\_ 2006 by and between Palm Beach County, hereinafter called the **COUNTY**, and R.J. Behar & Company, Inc., a Florida Corporation with an address of 6861 S.W. 196 Avenue, Suite 302, Pembroke Pines, FL 33332, hereinafter called the **CONSULTANT**.

**WITNESSETH**

**WHEREAS**, the **COUNTY** and **CONSULTANT** entered into an Annual Agreement for Structural Engineering Services on a task order basis on December 7, 2004 (R2004-2472).

**WHEREAS**, the **COUNTY** desires the **CONSULTANT** to perform structural engineering services to Lawrence Road over Boynton Canal, Palm Beach County, Florida (hereinafter called the **PROJECT**); and,

**WHEREAS**, the **CONSULTANT** agrees to perform the structural engineering services required for reviewing bridge plans, including related documents for the **PROJECT**; and,

**Re: Lawrence Road over Boynton Canal  
Project No.: 2006502**

**WHEREAS**, the following fee has been negotiated and found to be reasonable compensation for these professional services to be performed by the **CONSULTANT**:

Basic Services in a lump sum fee of \$9,414.40;

Totaling \$9,414.40

**NOW, THEREFORE, THIS INDENTURE WITNESSETH:** That for and in consideration of the mutual benefits to flow from each to the other, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. The **CONSULTANT** agrees to provide professional services as described in Exhibit "A" of the Authorization known as "SCOPE OF WORK".
2. The **CONSULTANT** agrees to "CERTIFICATION" statements as described in Exhibit "B" of the Authorization.
3. The **CONSULTANT** agrees to Small Business Enterprise (SBE) Participation, described in Exhibit "C" of the Authorization.
4. The **CONSULTANT** has provided a Letter of Intent to perform as an SBE as described in Exhibit "D" of the Authorization.
5. The **COUNTY** agrees to pay the **CONSULTANT** a fee of Nine Thousand Four Hundred Fourteen Dollars and Forty Cents (\$9,414.40).
6. The **CONSULTANT** agrees to indemnify, defend and hold harmless the **COUNTY** against all claims that may arise as a result of negligence, wrongdoing, misconduct or want of care by the **CONSULTANT**.

Except as hereby amended, changed or modified, all other terms and conditions of the original Agreement dated December 7, 2004 (R2004-2472), and;

Supplements and Amendments thereto, shall remain in full force and effect.

Re: Lawrence Road over Boynton Canal  
Project No.: 2006502

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have made and executed this Task Authorization as of the day and year first above written.

OWNER:


**Palm Beach County, Florida, a**  
Political Subdivision of the  
State of Florida

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Tony Masilotti, Chairman

CONSULTANT:


**R.J. Behar & Company, Inc.**  
a Florida Corporation

BY:   
Robert J. Behar, P.E., President

ATTEST:  
Sharon R. Bock, Clerk & Comptroller  
Circuit Court

**C O R P O R A T E   S E A L**


BY: \_\_\_\_\_  
Deputy Clerk


WITNESS:  
BY: 

**S E A L**

Lizanna Kadir  
(Printed Name)


APPROVED AS TO TERMS  
AND CONDITIONS:

BY: 

BY: 

APPROVED AS TO FORM & LEGAL  
SUFFICIENCY:

Jennifer Green  
(Printed Name)

  
COUNTY ATTORNEY

**EXHIBIT "A"**

# PRICE PROPOSAL

FOR BRIDGE PLANS REVIEW OF  
Lawrence Rd Bridge over Boynton Canal

County Project No. 2006-502 (BR)

FOR

PALM BEACH COUNTY

PREPARED BY

R. J. BEHAR & COMPANY, INC.

*Dave Y.*  
*Pls. Process*  
*[Signature]*  
*3/30/06*

*OK / [Signature]*  
*3/28/06*

3/16/2006



18140 Crown Quay Lane  
Jupiter, FL 33458  
Tel. & Fax: (561) 743-3030

SCOPE OF SERVICES For BRIDGE PLANS REVIEW  
For  
PALM BEACH COUNTY  
Lawrence Rd Bridge over Boynton Canal

---

R. J. Behar and Company, Inc. will review the Bridge Plans referenced in the Price Proposal for the 65%, 96% and 100% submittals. The review will address structural adequacy, adherence to County, State and AASHTO policies and design criteria, consistency with the roadway plans, consistency with the surrounding topography and terrain, economy of design, constructability, completeness, accommodation of utilities, etc.. The structural design calculations will be spot checked and reviewed for completeness and reasonableness. An independent, rough check (+/- 10%) will be made of the bridge bid item quantities if the bridge is to be bid or paid for by the County. We will make a field review of the bridge site at the 65% stage and include our findings in our review comments. We will review the roadway plans and the approach roadway site conditions to the extent necessary to determine that they are compatible with the proposed bridge plans.

The review comments will be marked up on the bridge plans and distributed to the County for distribution to the bridge design consulting firm. The important review comments will be listed in a cover letter. Non-essential and designer's preference type of review comments will not be repeated in the cover letter.

The following items should be provided to R. J. Behar and Company, Inc. for each submittal; (1) Bridge Plans, (2) Bridge Design Calculations, (3) Bridge Quantities Calculations, (4) Roadway plan sheets in the vicinity of the bridge (Plan/Profile, Cross Sections and Typical Sections) and (5) Existing Bridge Plans (if available). If there are any miscellaneous structures in the plans, i.e. overhead sign structures, signal mastarm structures, etc., the above information for those structures should also be included with each submittal. If the plans are 11" x 17" size, then only one set should be submitted to R. J. Behar and Company, Inc. If the plans are 24" x 36", then 4 sets of plans should be submitted. These miscellaneous structures will generally not be listed in the Price Proposal, but will be reviewed at no additional expense to the County unless there is a lengthy review required.

We anticipate attending the number of meetings indicated in the Price Proposal (one). Any additional meetings we are required to attend should be compensated separately from the work described in this Scope.