Agenda Item #: <u>34</u>4

PALM BEACH COUNTY **BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Meeting Date:	May 1, 2007	(X) Consent () Workshop	() Regular() Public Hearing
Department			
Submitted	By: <u>Environ</u>	nmental Resources Ma	nagement
Submitted	For: <u>Enviror</u>	nmental Resources Ma	nagement

I. EXECUTIVE BRIEF

Motion and Title: Staff recommends motion to:

A) adopt Resolution for Assistance through Florida Inland Navigation District (FIND) Waterways Assistance Program authorizing submission of a grant application requesting \$233,220 to cover a portion of the costs associated with dredging the interior sand trap at South Lake Worth Inlet, dredging the Boynton Boat Club Channel, and installation of 2 channel marker buoys;

B) authorize the County Administrator or his designee to sign the project agreement, all future time extensions, task assignments, certifications and other forms associated with the project agreement, and necessary minor agreements that do not change the scope of work or terms and conditions of the agreement if the grant is approved; and

C) authorize the Director of the Department of Environmental Resources Management to serve as Liaison Agent with FIND for this project.

Summary: This grant, if approved, will reimburse the County up to \$233,220 for costs associated with the project, which is 26% of the estimated total project cost of \$897,000. The deadline for spending the grant, should it be awarded, will be finalized in the grant documents, but is currently estimated to be April, 2008. District 4 (SF) (continued on Page 3)

Attachments:

1. Resolution for Assistance Under the FIND Waterways Assistance Program

2. FIND Waterways Assistance Program Grant Application

Recommended by:

Echand E- Walu

Department Director

Approved by:

County Administrator

II. FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

A. **Five Year Summary of Fiscal Impact:**

Fiscal Years	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011
Capital Expenditures Operating Costs					
External Revenues Program Income (County)					
In-Kind Match (County)					
NET FISCAL IMPACT					
# ADDITIONAL FTE POSITIONS (Cumulative)					
Is Item Included in Current	Budget?	Yes _		No	
Budget Account No.:	Fund Program _	Department		Object_	

B. Recommended Sources of Funds/Summary of Fiscal Impact: No fiscal impact at this time, as the item will brought to the BCC for amendment if grant is approved and the fiscal impact will be addressed then. Grant application for project estimated to be 897,000, to be funded by FIND (233,220), DEP (430,560), City of Boynton Bch (17,940), and the County (215,280): The County portion previously approved for 275,000.

III. REVIEW COMMENTS

A. OFMB Fiscal and /or Contract Dev. and Control Comments: A resolution is required for the grant application in which the BCC authorizes/ indicates interest in such a

project. Legal Sufficiency:

B.

Contract Development and Control

Assistant County Attorney

С. **Other Department Review:**

Department Director

(Continued from Page 1)

Background and Justification: Palm Beach County is implementing a portion of the South Lake Worth Inlet Management Plan. Specifically, this work includes dredging sand from the interior sand trap to improve boater safety and dredging the existing boat channel to Boynton Boat Club Park as agreed upon in our Interlocal Agreement with the City of Boynton Beach (R2007-0044). A Budget Amendment will be submitted when the grant is approved. The City's share will be determined after the construction contract has been bid. Sufficient Budget exists to fund planning activities prior to going out to bid. Construction is expected to begin as early as November 1, 2007.

Attachment 1

RESOLUTION NO. 2007-

A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA, FOR ASSISTANCE UNDER THE FLORIDA INLAND NAVIGATION DISTRICT WATERWAYS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

WHEREAS, PALM BEACH COUNTY is interested in carrying out the following

described project for the enjoyment of the citizenry of PALM BEACH COUNTY and the

State of Florida:

Project Title: South Lake Worth Inlet Sand Trap and Boat Channel Maintenance Dredging

Total Estimated Cost: \$ 897,000

Brief Description of Project: Project includes hydraulic dredging of +/- 50,000 cy of sand from the interior sand trap and +/- 2,000 cy of sand from the Boynton Boat Club Channel. Dredging the trap improves flushing, reduces sedimentation impacts to seagrasses, reduces flow of sand to the ICW, and facilitates boater access to Ocean Inlet Park marina since the boat channel from the inlet to Ocean Inlet Park runs through the sand trap. Dredging the Boynton Boat Club Channel facilitates boater access between Boynton Boat Club Park and the navigation channel of the Intracoastal Waterway. The dredged sand will be pumped via pipeline to nourish the beach south of the inlet; and

WHEREAS, Florida Inland Navigation District financial assistance is required for the

program mentioned above.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY

COMMISSIONERS OF PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA, THAT the project described above be authorized,

AND, be it further further resolved that said PALM BEACH COUNTY make application to

the Florida Inland Navigation District in the amount of 26% of the actual cost of the project

in behalf of said PALM BEACH COUNTY,

AND, be it further resolved by PALM BEACH COUNTY that it certifies to the

following:

1.

 That it will accept the terms and conditions set forth in FIND Rule 66B-2

F.A.C. and which will be a part of the Project Agreement for any assistance awarded under the attached proposal.

2. That it is in complete accord with the attached proposal and that it will carry out the Program in the manner described in the proposal and any plans and specifications attached thereto unless prior approval for any change has been received from the District.

3. That it has the ability and intention to finance its share of the cost of the project and that the project will be operated and maintained at the expense of said PALM BEACH COUNTY for public use.

4. That it will not discriminate against any person on the basis of race, color, or national origin in the use of any property or facility acquired or developed pursuant to this proposal, and shall comply with the terms and intent of the Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, P. L. 88-352 (1964) and design and construct all facilities to comply fully with statutes relating to accessibility by handicapped persons as well as other federal, state, and local laws, rules, and requirements.

5. That it will maintain adequate financial records on the proposed project to substantiate claims for reimbursement.

That it will make available to FIND if requested, a post-audit of expenses 6. incurred on the project prior to, or in conjunction with, request for the final 10% of the funding agreed to by FIND.

29	The foregoing Resolution was offered by Commissioner, who
30	
31	moved its adoption. The motion was seconded by Commissioner,
32	
33	and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:
34	
35	Commissioner Addie L. Greene, Chairperson
36	
37	Commissioner John F. Koons, Vice Chair
38 39	Commissioner Karen T. Marcus
40	
41	Commissioner Warren H. Newell
42	
43	Commissioner Mary McCarty
44	
45	Commissioner Burt Aaronson
46	
47	Commissioner Jess R. Santamaria
48	
49	The Chairperson thereupon declared the Resolution duly passed and adopted this
50	
51	day of, 20
52	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA BY ITS
53 54	LEGAL SUFFICIENCY BOARD OF COUNTY, FLORIDA BY ITS
55	BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
56	Sharon R. Bock, Clerk & Comptroller

By Assistant County Attorney

Deputy Clerk

By

Attachment 2

ATTACHMENT E-1

WATERWAYS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM PROJECT APPLICATION APPLICANT INFORMATION – PROJECT SUMMARY

Applicant: Palm Beach County
Department:Environmental Resources Management
Project Title: _South Lake Worth Inlet - Sand Trap and Boat Channel Maintenance Dredging
Project Director: <u>Richard E. Walesky</u>
Project Liaison Agent (if different from above):
Liaison Agent Title: <u>Director</u>
Address: <u>2300 N.Jog Road</u> , 4 th Floor
West Palm Beach, FL Zip Code: _33411-2743
Telephone: <u>561-233-2400/2491</u> Fax: <u>561-233-2414</u>
Email: <u>_rwalesky@co.palm-beach.fl.us</u> and copy tlogue@co.palm-beach.fl.us
***** I hereby certify that the information provided in this application is true and accurate.****
SIGNATURE: Mate: 3/28/07
PROJECT SUMMARY NARRATIVE (Please summarize the project in 2 paragraphs or less.)
Project includes hydraulic dredging of +/- 50,000 cy of sand from the interior sand trap and +/- 2,000 cy of sand from the Boynton Boat Club Channel. Dredging the trap improves flushing, reduces sedimentation impacts to seagrasses, reduces flow of sand to the ICW, and facilitates boater access to Ocean Inlet Park (OIP) marina since the boat channel from the inlet to OIP runs through the sand trap. Dredging the Boynton Boat Club Channel facilitates between the park and the ICW.

The dredged sand will be pumped via pipeline to nourish the beach south of the inlet.

ATTACHMENT E-2 APPLICATION CHECKLIST (To be completed by the Applicant)

This checklist and the other items listed below in items 1 through 14 constitute your application. The required information shall be submitted in the order listed.

Any additional information submitted by the applicant is subject to being removed from the package by District staff prior to presentation to the District Board because of reproduction and space considerations.

Two (2) copies of your application are required.

All information is required to be on 8 1/2" x 11" paper.

Maps and drawings may be on 8 1/2" x 14" paper and folded to 8 1/2" x 11" so that they may be folded out after being hole punched and bound in a book.

		YES	NO	
1.	District Commissioner Review (prior to March 01) (<i>NOTE</i> : <u>For District Commissioner initials ONLY!</u>) (District Commissioner must initial the yes line on this checklist for the application to be accepted for processing)	My		
2.	Applicant Info/Project Summary – E-1 (Form No. 90-22, 1 page) (Form must be completed and signed)	M		
3.	Application Checklist – E-2 (Form No. 90-26, 2 pages) (Form must be signed and dated)	R		
4.	Project Information – E-3 (Form No. 90-22a, 1 page)	M		
5.	Project Evaluation and Rating – E-4 ₍₊₎ (Form No. 91-25) (Form must be completed, proper attachment included) (No signatures required)	<u>_1111</u>		
6.	Project Cost Estimate – E-5 (Form No. 90-25, 1 page) (Must be on District form)	R		
7.	Official Resolution Form – E-6 (Form No. 90-21, 2 pages) (Resolution must be in District format and include items 1-6)		<u>111</u>	
8.	Attorney's Certification (Land Ownership) – E-7 (Must be on or follow format of Form No. 94-26, legal descriptions alone are not acceptable)		M	1

ATTACHMENT E-2 (Continued) APPLICATION CHECKLIST (To be completed by the Applicant)

9.	Project Timeline – E-8 (Form 96-10, 1 page)	M	<u></u>
10.	County Location Map	m	
11.	City Location Map (if applicable)		INA-
12.	Project Boundary Map	IR	
13.	Site Development Map		MA
14.	Copies of all Required Permits (Required of development projects only)		MA

The undersigned, as applicant, acknowledges that Items 1 through 13 above constitutes a complete application and that this information is due in the District office no later than June 01, 2007 or my application will be deemed incomplete at that time and removed from any further consideration by the District. I also acknowledge that the information in Item 14 is due to the District no later than the final TRIM Hearing in September 2007. If the information in Item 14 is not submitted to the District office by the District's final TRIM hearing in September 2007, I am aware that my application will be removed from any further funding consideration by the District.

m

APPLICANT'S LIAISON

3/28/07
DATE

FIND OFFICE USE ONLY	
Date Received:	
Local FIND Commissioner Review:	
All Required Supporting Documents:	<u></u>
Applicant Eligibility:	
Project Eligibility:	
Compliance with Rule 66B-2 F.A.C.:	
Eligibility of Project Cost:	- 0
	8

Form No. 90-26 New 9/2/92, Revised 07-30-02.

ATTACHMENT E-3 PROJECT INFORMATION

Total Project Cost: \$ 897	<u>.000</u> F	FIND Funding I	Requested: \$ _ <u>23.</u>	3,220	% of total cost: _	<u>26%</u>
Amount and Source of A	pplicants Matchi	ing Funds: <u>PB</u>	C \$215,280	_		
		- –	<u>P \$430,560</u>	City	of Boynton Beach	\$17,940
Other (non-FIND) Assist	ance applied for	(name of progr	ram and amount)	None	<u> </u>	
Ownership of Project Site	e (check one): C)wn: <u>X</u> I	Leased: X	_Other: <u>See</u>	below	
If leased or other, please Perpetual Easement D.B. Pg 1125. Disposal site: 2 owned.	759-Pg 258. Bc	oynton Boat Ch	annel: 30 yr subr	nerged lands	easement expires 2	023, ORB 8237
Once completed, will this	project be insur	red? <u>No</u> Exp	plain:			
Has the District previous If yes, please list: <u>In 20</u>			o this project or s	site? : <u>Yes</u>		
What is the current level linear feet of boardwalk (
<u>Boat Club Park – 4 light</u>	ed boat ramps, 6	ighted holdin	<u>g docks, 310 ft. f</u>	ishing pier, 13	7 trailer spaces, no	boat slips.
How many additional ram project? (as applicable): _		g spaces or oth	er public access f	eatures will b	e added by the con	npletion of this
If there are fees charged f public & private facilities	or the use of this s in the area? Ple	s project, please ease provide do	e denote. How do cumentation <u>N</u>	these fees con /A	npare with fees fro	om similar
Please list all Environm Agency	ental Resource Yes/No		red for this proj Date Appl		Date Received	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
WMD DEP ACOE COUNTY/CITY	<u>N/A</u> <u>Yes</u> <u>N/A</u>		<u>7-2-99</u> 7-2-99		<u>2-8-01</u> <u>2-8-01</u>	9
Form No. 90-22a (New 10-	 14-92, Rev	06,07)				

Agenda -3-

ATTACHMENT E-4

WATERWAYS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

APPLICATION EVALUATION AND RATING WORKSHEET

The applicant is to complete this worksheet by entering the project title, applicant name and answers to the applicable questions. **<u>Do not answer with more than three</u> <u>sentences.**</u>

All applicants must answer a total of ten questions. All applicants will complete Attachment E-4 of the worksheet, which includes questions 1 through 6.

Each applicant will then complete **one and only one sub-Attachment** (E-4 A, B, C, D or E, questions 7-10) according to the applicant's project type in reference to Attachment B. The applicant should determine their project type (if the project incorporates more than one project type) by determining which project type is dominant in terms of project cost.

<u>All other sub-attachments that are not applicable to an applicant's project should not</u> <u>be included in the submitted application</u>.

APPLICATION TITLE: South Lake Worth Inlet – Sand Trap & Boat Channel Maintenance Dredging

APPLICANT:

Palm Beach County

CATEGORY RATING EVALUATION CRITERIA & RATING POINTS POINTS AVAILABLE

1) PRIORITY LIST:

a) List the priority list category of this project from <u>Attachment C</u> in the application. (The application may only be of one type based upon the <u>predominant</u> cost of the project elements.)
3 - Inlet Management Projects that are a benefit to public navigation in the District.

b) Explain how the project fits this priority category. Beach sand was transported through the inlet and was deposited in the trap and channel. This project will remove that sand and enhance public navigation.

(For reviewer only)	
Max. Available Score	
Range of Score	(0 to 8 points)

Agenda -4-

2) WATERWAY RELATIONSHIP:

a) Explain how the project directly relates to the ICW and the mission of the Navigation District.

The dredging project provides boater access to the ICW from public facilities, helps to reduce dredging of the ICW, and prevents the loss of seagrass habitat adjacent to the ICW.

b) How does the project benefit public navigation or access to the ICW or adjoining waterways?

Navigation and access are benefited by dredging because dredging will reduce shoaling in the ICW.

(For reviewer only) (0-6 points)

3) PUBLIC USAGE & BENEFITS:

a) How is the public usage of this project clearly identified and quantified?

Public usage can be identified by the established facilities utilizing the access being maintained by the project.

b) Discuss the regional local public benefits and access to be provided by the project.

The SLWI provides ocean access for commercial and recreational boaters and improves water quality through 12 miles of the Lake Worth Lagoon. Ocean Inlet Park provides dockage and facilities for the public, the County Sheriff boats, and county lifeguard craft.

c) Estimate the amount of total public use.

Ocean Inlet Park: typically 10 - 20 boats per day. Boynton Boat Club Park: 50 - 150 boats per day.

d) Can residents from other counties of the District reasonably use the project? Explain.

Yes. Channels, parks, marina, and boat ramps are all public.

(For reviewer only) (0-8 points)

Agenda -5-

4) TIMELINESS

a) Describe current status of the project and present a reasonable and effective timeline for the completion of the project consistent with Attachment E-8.

DEP/Corps permits have been issued for trap dredging. The project is fully funded, and a State costsharing contract is in effect (99PB1). The City of Boynton Beach anticipates receipt of exemption on the County's permit by April '07. Project will be bid by July 07, and the anticipated dredging will begin Nov. 07.

b) Briefly explain any unique aspects of this project that could influence the project timeline.

None. The work is routine.

(For reviewer only) (0-3 points)

5) COSTS & EFFICIENCY:

a) List any additional funding sources and the status and amount of the corresponding funding that will be utilized to complete this project.

DEP funding approved on 5/20/03 for \$430,560 under Contract #99PB1. City of Boynton Beach will reimburse the county for boat club channel dredging and a fraction of mobilization cost estimated at \$17,940.

b) Identify and describe any project costs that may be increased because of the materials utilized or specific site conditions.

None, though dredging rates tend to vary according to the schedules of the contractors.

c) Describe any methods to be utilized to increase the cost efficiency of this project.

Dredging both the trap and the Boynton Boat Club channel saves mobilization costs.

d) If there are any fees associated with the use of this facility, please detail. In addition, please provide a listing of the fees charged by similar facilities, public and private, in the project area.

N/A

(For reviewer only) (0-6 points)

ĺĴ

Agenda -6-

6) PROJECT VIABILITY:

a) Does the project fill a specific need in the community?

Yes, the project provides navigable channels to the County and City parks and returns sand to the downdrift side of the inlet.

b) Clearly demonstrate how the project will continue to be maintained after District funding is completed.

After the sand trap is dredged it will function more efficiently in trapping sand for approximately 8 years.

8

c) Will the program result in significant and lasting benefits?

Yes. It allows navigation and preserves seagrasses.

(For reviewer only) (0-7 points)

SUB-TOTAL

FIND FORM NO. 91-25 (Effective Date: 3-21-01, Revised 4-24-06)

4

Agenda -7-

ATTACHMENT E-4D

WATERWAYS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

APPLICATION EVALUATION AND RATING WORKSHEET

INLET MANAGEMENT and PUBLIC NAVIGATION PROJECTS

THIS ATTACHMENT IS TO BE COMPLETED IF YOUR PROJECT IS AN INLET MANAGEMENT or PUBLIC NAVIGATION PROJECT

CATEGORY & RATING POINTS AVAILABLE RATING POINTS

EVALUATION CRITERIA

7) WATERWAY RELATIONSHIP:

a) How does the project directly benefit the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway (AICW)?

A dredged sand trap more effectively traps sand before it reaches the ICW, thereby reducing shoaling in the ICW. A dredged sand trap also reduces sedimentation impacts to adjacent seagrass beds.

b) Briefly discuss any methods or activities that will address long-term sedimentation problems.

The trap was deepened and expanded in 2001-02 to increase its capacity and reduce the required dredge frequency.

c) Will the project inhibit sediment inflow into, or reduce the dredging frequency of the AICW?

Yes. The project will reduce sediment inflow into the ICW and therefore reduce dredging frequency in the ICW.

(For reviewer only) (0-5 points)

9

Agenda -8-

8) PUBLIC ACCESS:

a) Will the project enhance public access to the waterways? Describe in brief detail.

Both aspects of the project (trap and channel dredging) will enhance public access to the ICW by removing shoaling sand.

(For reviewer only) (0-3 points)

9) PROJECT DESIGN:

a) Are there additional benefits to be realized by implementing this project?

Placing the dredged sand on the downdrift beach reduces the impacts of the inlet on natural sediment transport.

b) Briefly spell out any water quality, environmental or habitat benefits to be realized by this project.

Use of a sand trap reduces sedimentation impacts to adjacent seagrass areas.

Having a maintained channel to the Boynton Boat Club Park ramp reduces impacts to seagrasses from propeller scour.

(For reviewer only) (0-2 points)

Agenda -9-

10) PROJECT FUNDING:

a) List the additional funding sources for this project. What is the status of these funding sources?

A project agreement for matching funds from the State has been executed and a long-term maintenance fund for the inlet was established by the Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners.

b) Explain the funding mechanism for the long-term maintenance of the project.

The County has designated annual ad valorem funding, and the costs of maintaining the inlet are in DEP's long-range budget plan.

(For reviewer only) (0-2 points)

RATING POINT TOTAL

(Note: The total maximum score possible is dependent upon the project priority category but cannot exceed 50 points unless the project qualifies as an emergency-related project. The minimum score possible is 0 points. A score of 35 points or more is required to be considered for funding.)

Form No. 91-25D (Effective Date: 3-21-01, Revised ____)

ATTACHMENT E-5

FLORIDA INLAND NAVIGATION DISTRICT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

PROJECT COST ESTIMATE (See Rule Section 66B-2.005 & 2.008 for eligibility and funding ratios)

PROJECT TITLE: _South Lake Worth Inlet - Sand Trap and Boat Channel Maintenance Dredging

APPLICANT: Palm Beach County

Project Elements (Please list the MAJOR project elements and provide a general cost break out for each one. For Phase I Projects, please list the major elements and products expected)	Quantity Estimated Cost (Number and/or Footage)	Applicant's Cost	FIND Cost
MOBILIZATION/DEMOB	1 @ \$325,000	\$240,500	\$84,500
MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC	1 @ \$13,000	9,620	3,380
PAY SURVEY & AS-BUILT DRAWINGS	1 @ \$52,000	38,480	13,520
DREDGING Sand Trap Boat Channel	\$9.10/cy 52,800 cy @ \$480,480 2,200 cy @ \$20,020	355,555 14,815	124,925 5,205
CHANNEL MARKER INSTALLED	2 @ \$3,250 = \$6,500	4,810	1,690

** TOTALS =

\$<u>897,000</u>

<u>\$_663,780</u>

\$<u>233,220</u>/

ATTACHMENT E-8

WATERWAYS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

PROJECT TIMELINE

The applicant is to present a detailed timeline on the accomplishment of the components of the proposed project including completion dates, as applicable, for; permitting, design, bidding, applicant approvals, initiation of construction and completion of construction.

FIND Form 96-10

		SLWI sand t	trap dre	edging	·····				
ID	0	Task Name	1	Duration	Start	Finish	% Complet	P	
· · · ·	<u>v</u>	funding		173.5 days	Wed 2/1/06	Mon 10/2/06	1%		
1		Funding		2.5 days	Wed 2/1/06	Fri 2/3/06	100%	1	
2	\sim	CP funding request		8 mons	Fri 2/3/06	Fri 9/15/06	0%	2	
3		Admin review		11 days	Fri 9/15/06	Mon 10/2/06	0%	3	
4		BCC approval	44	60.65 days	Fri 4/28/06	Fri 12/8/06	95%	1	
5		Design	E.		Fri 4/28/06	Tue 8/8/06	100%		
6	\checkmark	Survey		73 days	Fri 4/28/06	Thu 5/11/06	100%		
7]√	task order		10 days	Fri 5/12/06	Tue 8/8/06		7	1
8]√	survey and volume calculations		63 days	Tue 8/1/06	Tue 8/1/06		8	
9]√₽	survey review		1 day		Fri 12/8/06	90%	~	
10		Engineering		71 days	Thu 8/31/06	Wed 10/18/06		9	
11	1	revise dredge template		34 days	Thu 8/31/06	Fri 12/8/06	85%	- 1	
12	1	tech specs		37 days	Wed 10/18/06		100%	3	
13	\mathbf{V}	Interiocal Agreement		78 days	Mon 10/2/06	Wed 1/17/07	100%		
14	√	Write agreement		16 days	Mon 10/2/06	Mon 10/23/06			
15	V.	Asst co attorney approval		3 days	Tue 10/24/06	Thu 10/26/06	100%		
16	\mathbf{V}	Submit to City of Boynton for approval		18 days	Fri 10/27/06	Tue 11/21/06		1	1
17	\mathbf{V}	BCC Approval		30 days	Wed 11/29/06	Tue 1/9/07	100%	1	
18		Executed original to City of Boynton		6 days	Wed 1/10/07	Wed 1/17/07		1	
19	۲ľ	DEP Notice to Proceed		81 days	Mon 3/12/07	Mon 7/2/07			
20		Submittals to DEP		21 days	Mon 3/12/07	Mon 4/9/07	20%		
21	-	Notice to Proceed Issued by DEP		60 days	Tue 4/10/07	Mon 7/2/07		2	
22	4	Funding - FIND		178 days	Fri 3/2/07	Tue 11/6/07			
23		Prepare and submit FIND application		19 days	Fri 3/2/07	Wed 3/28/07			
	-	Process resolution to BCC		24 days	Thu 3/29/07	Tue 5/1/07	25%	2	
24	4	Grants awarded by FIND		105 days	Wed 5/2/07	Tue 9/25/07	0%	2	
25	4	Process FIND grant contract thru BCC		30 days	Wed 9/26/07	Tue 11/6/07		2	
26	-			92 days	Fri 6/15/07	Tue 10/23/07			
27	9	Construction Contract		0 days	Fri 6/15/07	Fri 6/15/07		1	
28		ERM notify OSBA		5 days	Fri 6/15/07	Fri 6/22/07		1	
29		Prepare IFB		23 days	Fri 6/22/07	Wed 7/25/07			
30	<u>i</u>	Advertise for Bids		0 days	Wed 7/4/07	Wed 7/4/07			
31		Pre-bid Site Visit		0 days	Wed 7/4/07	Wed 7/4/07			
32		Mandatory Pre-bid Meeting			Wed 7/4/07	Tue 7/10/07			
33		IFB Quality Assurance		4 days	Wed 7/25/07	Wed 7/25/07			
34		Bid Opening		0 days	Wed 7/25/07	Wed 8/1/07			
35		ERM Bid Evaluation		5 days	Wed 8/1/07	Tue 8/21/07			
36	jõg j	OSBA Bid Evaluation		14 days	Wed 8/1/07	Wed 8/15/07			
37	Č.	Intent Notice to Award & Send Contract		10 days	Wed 8/15/07	Wed 8/22/07			
38		Bid Posting		5 days	Wed 8/15/07	Tue 9/4/07			
39		Contractor Sign/Insurance/Bond		14 days	Tue 9/4/07	Tue 9/11/07			
40		Review Contract/Insurance/Bond		5 days	Tue 9/11/07	Tue 10/23/07			
41		Sign Contract (ERM to BCC)		30 days	Tue 10/23/07	Tue 10/23/07			
42		Pre-Construction Meeting		0 days	Tue 10/23/07	Tue 10/23/07			
43		Notice To Proceed		0 days	Tue 10/30/07	Fri 12/21/07			
44		Construction		38.55 days	Tue 10/30/07	Tue 11/6/07			
45		mobilize		1 wk	Tue 11/6/07	Wed 12/12/07		4	
46		dredge		1.45 mons		Fri 12/21/07		4	i
47	_	demobilize		1 wk		Tue 12/18/07			
48	_	Contract Close-Out		4 days		Mon 12/17/07		4	Į
49		as-built review		3 days		Tue 12/18/07			l .
50		CRC approval		1 day		Fri 1/4/0		4	l l
51		Post-construction Monitoring		10 days	and the state of the second	Fri 1/4/0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
52		Monitoring report to Corps - Jax		10 days		Fri 1/4/0			1
53		Monitoring report to NMFS		10 days		Fri 1/4/0			
54		Monitoring report to Corps - WPB		10 days		Wed 7/2/0		4	1
55		6-Month Monitoring		10 days		Wed 7/2/0			•
56	_] .	Monitoring report to Corps - Jax		10 days		Wed 7/2/0	7		i
57		Monitoring report to NMFS		10 days		Wed 7/2/0			ļ
58		Monitoring report to Corps - WPB		10 days				6 4	1
59	7	12-Month Monitoring		10 days		Wed 12/31/0			1
60		Monitoring report to Corps - Jax		10 days		Wed 12/31/0			1
61	-1	Monitoring report to NMFS		10 days		Wed 12/31/0			
62		Monitoring report to Corps - WPB	1.1	10 days		Wed 12/31/0			./
63		Quarterly Reports to DEP		263 days		Wed 1/21/0			101
64		4th Qtr 2007		1 day		Mon 1/21/0		6 6	11
65		1st Qtr 2008		1 day	Mon 4/21/08	Mon 4/21/0	0 0%	<u>, 0</u>	<u>n </u>
)						τ
1.		F	Page 1						

Page 1

SLWI sand trap dredging								
ID	A	Task Name		Duration	Start	Finish	% Complet	P
66 67 68 69	0	2nd Qtr 2008 3rd Qtr 2008 4th Qtr 2008 Quarterly Reports to FIND	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 day 1 day 1 day 1 day 1 day	Mon 7/21/08 Mon 10/20/08 Wed 1/21/09 Fri 4/25/08	Mon 7/21/08 Mon 10/20/08 Wed 1/21/09 Mon 4/28/08	0% 0% 0% 0%	6 6 6
69 70		Quarterly Reports to FIND 1st Qtr 2008 and project	t completion report	1 day	Fri 4/25/08	Mon 4/28/08	0%	4
					•			
			κ					
								الأنين
								Å



