

**PALM BEACH COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY**

Meeting Date: June 3, 2008

Consent **Regular**
 Workshop **Public Hearing**

Department:

Submitted By: Engineering & Public Works

Submitted For: Engineering Services

Project No.: 2006129

I. EXECUTIVE BRIEF

Motion and Title: Staff recommends motion to approve: Removal of El Paso Drive, east of Blanchette Trail and Pancho Way Street Improvement (Project) from further consideration in the Municipal Services Taxing Unit (MSTU) project list.

SUMMARY: At the December 19, 2006 Board of County Commissioners (Board) meeting, the direction of the Board was to re-petition the property owners of all existing projects in the MSTU project list, except the projects in the Countywide Community Revitalization Team areas. The re-petitioning was to determine if there was still an interest in the road improvement projects, as the estimated assessment from the original petition was higher. The petitions were mailed out for the Project and expired on April 1, 2008. There are 13 property owners on El Paso Drive, east of Blanchette Trail and Pancho Way, zero (0%) voted yes they are still interested in the Project proceeding, five (38%) voted no they do not want the Project to proceed, and we did not receive a reply from eight (62%) property owners, therefore the criteria of having 51% of the property owners in favor of the Project was not achieved.

District 6, MSTU District C. (MRE)

Background and Justification: All of the property owners that did vote, voted no on proceeding with the Project.

This roadway is not on the Interim County Courtesy Maintenance List.

Attachments:

Location Map

Recommended By:

DMR
DR
Charles Rich

Division Director

5/6/08

Date

Approved By:

S. J. Webb

County Engineer

5/9/08

Date

II. FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

A. Five Year Summary of Fiscal Impact:

Fiscal Years	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
Capital Expenditures	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Operating Costs	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
External Revenues	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
Program Income (County)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
In-Kind Match (County)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
NET FISCAL IMPACT	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
# ADDITIONAL FTE	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-
POSITIONS (Cumulative)	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-	-0-

Is Item Included In Current Budget? _____ Yes ___X___ No

Budget Account No.:

Fund Agency Organization Object

B. Recommended Sources of Funds/Summary of Fiscal Impact:

This item has no fiscal impact.

C. Departmental Fiscal Review: R. A. Ward 5/2/08

III. REVIEW COMMENTS

A. OFMB Fiscal and/or Contract Dev. and Control Comments:

<p><u>Atwillwhite 5.15.08</u> OFMB</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">SM 5/13/08 CM 5/13/08</p>	<p><u>Jim J. Jacobs 5/16/08</u> Contract Dev. and Control</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">8/11/08 5/16/08</p>
--	--

B. Legal Sufficiency:

 Assistant County Attorney

C. Other Department Review:

 Department Director

This summary is not to be used as a basis for payment.

R42

R42


78

SEE PG 68

T44

T44

T44



SEE PG 68

78