



**II. FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS**

**A. Five Year Summary of Fiscal Impact:**

<b>Fiscal Years</b>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
Capital Expenditures	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
Operating Costs	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
External Revenues	<u>(\$750)</u>	<u>(\$3,000)</u>	<u>(\$2,250)</u>	_____	_____
Program Income (County)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
In-Kind Match (County)	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
<b>NET FISCAL IMPACT</b>	<u>(\$750)</u>	<u>(\$3,000)</u>	<u>(\$2,250)</u>	_____	_____

# ADDITIONAL FTE  
POSITIONS (Cumulative)

Is Item Included In Current Budget? Yes \_\_\_ No X  
Budget Account No.: Fund 0001 Department 600 Unit 6241 Object 5900

Reporting Category \_\_\_\_\_

**B. Recommended Sources of Funds/Summary of Fiscal Impact:**

C. Departmental Fiscal Review: *atwillhite*

**III. REVIEW COMMENTS**

**A. OFMB Fiscal and/or Contract Dev. and Control Comments:**

*Don [Signature]*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
OFMB

\_\_\_\_\_  
N/A  
Contract Dev. and Control

**B. Legal Sufficiency:**

*Samuel Eddy*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Assistant County Attorney

**C. Other Department Review:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
N/A  
Department Director

**This summary is not to be used as a basis for payment**

**Background and Policy Issues Continued**  
**Page 3**

The mitigating factors considered during our review and evaluation are as follows:

1. At the time the violations were on-going, the Pierre-Louis' were separated and Mr. Pierre-Louis was no longer living on the property. The divorce was finalized in September 2007 and Ms. Pierre-Louis (n/k/a Ms. Cineas) and her two (2) young children now reside in the home. Ms. Cineas is now the sole owner of the property as a result of the divorce settlement and has been diligently working to get the Code Enforcement lien amount reduced so that she can make payments to pay it off and get it removed from the property.
2. Ms. Cineas (f/k/a Pierre-Louis) constantly pleaded with her estranged husband at the time to remove the vehicles from the property. After several months of pleading with him to remove the vehicles, her now ex-husband removed all of the unlicensed and unregistered vehicles from the property. On September 28, 2005, Ms. Cineas contacted Code Enforcement and had them come to the property to verify that compliance was achieved. Compliance was confirmed on this date.
3. After reviewing this case, it became evident that Ms. Cineas had nothing to do with placing the unregistered/inoperable vehicles on the property and that her ex-husband was responsible. It was Ms. Cineas who, after much persistence, got her ex-husband to remove the vehicles from the property so that code compliance could be achieved.
4. The subject property is Jeanne Cineas' (f/k/a Jeanne Pierre-Louis) homestead property, and the only property that she owns. Her ex-husband, who was the co-owner at the time of the code enforcement violations and who is also listed on the lien, does not own any real property since signing his interest over to Ms. Cineas.
5. Ms. Cineas has agreed to begin making monthly installment payments in the amount of \$250 starting on July 1, 2008, and continuing on the first day of each subsequent month until such time that the settlement amount is paid in full
6. The gravity of the violations, together with the fact that there were no life/safety issues involved, warrants consideration of a reduction of the substantial lien amount.

An Affidavit of Compliance has been issued by Code Enforcement and states that the cited violations were corrected as of September 28, 2005 and that the property is in full compliance with the CESM's Order.

Settlement offers that reduce any debt amount due to Palm Beach County by more than \$2,500 require the approval of the Board of County Commissioners, per Countywide PPM# CW-F-048. This settlement offer exceeds the \$2,500 limit and requires Board approval.

In light of the above stated circumstances, Staff believes that the proposed settlement is fair and in the best interest of Palm Beach County.