ADD-ON Agenda Item # 5-E-1

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Meeting Date: July 8, 2008	[] Consent [X] Regular [] Workshop [] Public Hearing	g
Department: Submitted By: Engineering & Pub Submitted For: Traffic Division	olic Works	
<u>I.</u>	EXECUTIVE BRIEF	
Motion and Title: Staff recommer Hietapelto, a roadside vendor from a required in the Roadside Vendor Ordin	nds motion to deny: A request for a waiver by Ca complying with the square foot maximum vending a nance (Ordinance 97-40).	thering
square foot maximum vendor area stir	side Vendor Permit to sell prepared food within the (and truck (Alice's Restaurant) significantly exceeds to pulated in Section 9 (P) of the Roadside Vendor Ordested a waiver of Palm Beach County's decision regard	he 30(
District: 6 MRE		
signed a statement that she received a Roadside Vendor Program Violation Pofeet as a violation. Engineering staff la revealed that the total square footage exfeet. But staff has not verified the squeresents. If Ms. Hietapelto were to upermit would be revoked as required by she submitted a floor plan to the County the "County" entity that reviewed the prequest by Ms. Hietapelto for a waiver of allow her to operate with her larger among ordinance. In more than 20 years of is been requested. If directed, the ordinar	inues to hold a valid Roadside Vendor Permit (Permit) of-way. When she applied for and received her permit copy of the Ordinance and she also received a copy oint Assignments, which lists areas in excess of the 300 sist week asked her about the size of the two vehicles are ceeded the 300 foot maximum area by more than 120 states and it could be more or less than whise Alice's Restaurant, she would be cited and ultimate the Ordinance. Ms. Hietapelto states that on many occi. Engineering staff has no such plan on file and suspect lan was the Health Department. The matter before you fithe 300 foot maximum vending area. If the Board destruction of square footage, then the only option is to americate suing Vendor permits, no appeal or waiver of area size accould be amended to allow vehicles similar to hers at distance issues and parking could be better accommon	of the square and she square at she ely her asions ts that ou is a ires to and the ze has
The state of the s		lated.

Division Director

County Engineer

Approved By:

II. FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

A. Five Year Summary of Fiscal Impact:

Fiscal Years Capital Expenditures Operating Costs External Revenues Program Income (County) In-Kind Match (County) NET FISCAL IMPACT # ADDITIONAL FTE	2007 \$ -0- -0- -0- -0- \$ -0-	2008 -0- -0- -0- -0- -0-	2009 -0- -0- -0- -0- -0-	2010 -0- -0- -0- -0- -0- -0-	2011 0- 0- 0- 0- 0-
POSITIONS (Cumulative)					
Is Item Included in Current E Budget Acct No.: Fund Progra	Dept (Yes Jnit Obj	ect	No	

B. Recommended Sources of Funds/Summary of Fiscal Impact:

This item has no additional fiscal impact.

C.	Departmental Fiscal Review	r. R. D. Ward	

III. REVIEW COMMENTS

A. OFMB Fiscal and/or Contract Dev: and Control Comments:

OFMB C4/1/02	Contract Dev. and Control
--------------	---------------------------

B. Approved as to Form and Legal Sufficiency:

Assistant County Attorney

C. Other Department Review;

Department Director

This summary is not to be used as a basis for payment.

To Whom It May Concern,

June 30, 2008

I, Catherine Hietapelto, wish to appeal the decision made by Palm Beach County to not allow placement of my food service vehicle on Palm Beach County right of way. In March of 2008 Palm Beach County issued a permit for roadside vending for a location near 60th Street North in Loxahatchee. In order to obtain this permit I have submitted all necessary documents including multiple copies of the floor plan and layout of my food service trailer; I have also paid all the necessary fees. Only after receiving a legal permit was it discovered that the location was not actually Palm Beach County property, but privately owned. Due to a complete lack of research by its employees, Palm Beach County was unaware of this minute detail. In order to comply with the law I removed my vehicle and waited to be assigned to a new location. Sometime later, the Palm Beach County employee who had originally been handling my permit from the onset of its' formal intent noticed the size of my trailer. He then stated the trailer was too large and therefore not a candidate for the roadside vending program. Despite the fact that he was the person responsible for issuing the original permit, the technical assistant refused to accept any responsibility and offered no assistance at this point. The process of obtaining this permit has been ongoing for over eight months. During this time I have exhausted all of my financial resources; the vehicle I purchased to transport the trailer has been repossessed and I am now in danger of losing my home of over 25 years due to foreclosure. Obviously I cannot afford for this matter to continue with no end in sight. I am desperate for help and am pleading that you take the time to discuss this matter during the July 8, 2008 County Commissioners meeting. Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Catherine Hietapelto

Mex