

II. FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

A. Five Year Summary of Fiscal Impact:

Fiscal Years	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
Capital Expenditures	—	—	—	—	—
Operating Costs	—	—	—	—	—
External Revenues	—	—	—	—	—
Program Income (County)	—	—	—	—	—
In-Kind Match (County)	—	—	—	—	—
NET FISCAL IMPACT	**				
# ADDITIONAL FTE POSITIONS (Cumulative	—	—	—	—	—
Is Item Included in Current Budget?	Yes ___ No <u>x</u>				
Budget Account No.:	Fund ___	Dept ___	Unit ___	Object	
	Program Code _____				

B. Recommended Sources of Funds/Summary of Fiscal Impact:

**Any additional funding for the items listed will reduce reserves in FY 2009.

C. Departmental Fiscal Review:

III. REVIEW COMMENTS

A. OFMB Fiscal and/or Contract Administration Comments:

<p><i>Atwillhite 8.8.08</i> _____ OFMB</p>	<p><i>8/8/08</i></p>	<p><u>N/A</u> _____ Contract Dev. and Control</p>
--	----------------------	---

B. Legal Sufficiency:

Paul F. G. 8/11/08

 Assistant County Attorney

C. Other Department Review:

Department Director

This summary is not to be used as a basis for payment.

Summary continued from page 1:

F) Parks & Recreation Budget / Solid Waste Fees – staff is recommending an upward adjustment in the Parks & Recreation Department budget due to an expected 39% increase in assessments for solid waste disposal/recycling. The impact of the increase is approximately \$212,890 to the Parks & Recreation budget. The adjustment amount will be determined upon approval of the fee increase by the Solid Waste Authority. Countywide (PK)

Background & Justification: The County Administrator's recommended budget has been presented to the Board of County Commissioners and discussed in three scheduled workshop sessions. The Board may make additional changes to the budget prior to adoption provided the tentative millage is not increased.

Judicial Court Related Information Technology

Effective July 1, 2004, Florida Statutes require the County to fund court related information technology and the Clerk of the Courts to fund her court related information technology. At the same time, the Florida legislature enacted a special \$4.00 Recording Fee to be used to offset the cost of court technology. These fees are imposed when certain documents are recorded as stipulated in Florida State (F.S.) 28.24(12)(e)1. The \$4.00 is allocated as follows: County \$2, Clerk \$1.90, State \$0.10.

The initial funding requests submitted by Court Administration, Public Defender, and the State Attorney (Stakeholders) totaled \$3,496,645 for direct information technology costs. In addition, related ISS costs total \$1,228,996 for the stakeholders and \$1,607,166 for the Justice Information System. The County Administrator cut the stakeholders' request by \$1,429,756 and the Clerk has indicated that she will remit payment of \$310,965 towards a \$2.8 million outstanding receivable for Clerk related JIS costs. The revised tentative court related information technology budget, after the County Administrator's cuts and increase for the Clerk's payment, will be \$5,214,016, down \$2 million or 28% from the current year.* Of this total, direct information technology costs of \$2.4 million are limited to the level of funding generated by the \$2 recording fee and the Clerk's payment for JIS costs. The remaining \$2.8 million in ad valorem will cover the ISS related costs for the stakeholders and the JIS.

The attached worksheet details the revenues and appropriations for Court Related Information Technology in FY 2008 and FY 2009.

*Includes ISS Charges for JIS Maintenance which was included in the Capital budget in FY 2008 at \$975,589.

**JUDICIAL
COURT TECHNOLOGY**

BUDGET DETAIL BY AGENCY

Revenue

	FY 2008 Adopted	FY 2009 Agency Requested	FY 2009 Tentative Budget	Proposed Public Hearing Amendments	FY 2009 Revised Total ⁽¹⁾
Ad Valorem Support	0	5,243,190	3,003,051	(166,889)	2,836,162
\$2 Revenue	3,935,790	1,900,000	1,900,000	0	1,900,000
Clerk \$1.90 Revenue	0	0	0	310,965	310,965
\$2 Carryover from prior year	1,485,924	0	0	166,889	166,889
Transfer from Court Related Capital	844,837	0	0	0	0
Total	6,266,551	7,143,190	4,903,051	310,965	5,214,016

<u>Appropriation</u>	FY 2008 Adopted	FY 2009 Agency Requested	FY 2009 Tentative Budget	Proposed Public Hearing Amendments	FY 2009 Revised Total ⁽¹⁾
Court Administration					
Direct Services	1,315,513	1,522,055	975,000	129,653	1,104,653
ISS Enterprise	621,419	545,322	537,670		537,670
Sub Total	1,936,932	2,067,377	1,512,670		1,642,323
State Attorney					
Direct Services	1,373,870	1,345,889	664,500	93,000	757,500
ISS Enterprise	370,918	320,964	268,511		268,511
Sub Total	1,744,788	1,666,853	933,011		1,026,011
Public Defender					
Direct Services	473,500	628,701	427,389	88,312	515,701
ISS Enterprise	448,749	429,333	422,815		422,815
Sub Total	922,249	1,058,034	850,204		938,516
JIS Maintenance					
ACS Contract	967,835	899,405	514,405		514,405
ISS Charges ⁽²⁾	0	1,301,521	1,092,761		1,092,761
Sub Total	967,835	2,200,926	1,607,166		1,607,166
Conflict Counsel	150,000	150,000	0		0
Reserves	544,747	0	0		0
Total	6,266,551	7,143,190	4,903,051	310,965	5,214,016

(1) \$166,889 Reduction in Ad Valorem funded direct services - limits direct services to the level of revenue generated by the \$2 fee revenue and revenues expected from the Clerk based on confirmation from Judicial agencies.

(2) ISS Charges for JIS Maintenance are included in the Capital budget in FY 2008 at \$975,589.



INTER-OFFICE COMMUNICATION

County Administration

P.O. Box 1989
West Palm Beach, FL 33402-1989
(561) 355-2030
FAX: (561) 355-3982
www.pbcgov.com



Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners

Addie L. Greene, Chairperson
Jeff Koons, Vice Chair
Karen T. Marcus
Robert J. Kanjian
Mary McCarty
Burt Aaronson
Jess R. Santamaria

County Administrator

Robert Weisman

To: Addie Greene, Chairperson, and Members,
Board of County Commissioners

From: Brad Merriman, 
Assistant County Administrator

Date: August 7, 2008

Re: **Mae Volen funding, FY 08/09**

As a result of Board direction from the July 14, 2008, budget workshop, staff met with Elizabeth Lugo, President/CEO and Sherry Tucker, Director of Transportation from the Mae Volen Senior Center. The meeting focused on the impact that a cut of \$60,000 to Mae Volen's budget would have on their transportation program, and on their proposal to assist us and the County in providing cost effective para-transit service.

Mae Volen's staff has estimated that a cut of \$60,000 from their budget would result in an annual reduction of 3000 trips. They estimate that at least 78%, and possibly 100% of these trips would qualify for Palm Tran Connection service. Without having each customer go through the Palm Tran Connection application process to know for sure, it is likely that at least 75% of these trips would qualify for Palm Tran Connection Service. If 75% of the 3000 trips were to shift to Palm Tran Connection, it would cost us approximately \$65,000 to provide these trips. The net impact would be that it would cost Palm Tran/Palm Beach County at least \$5000 more to provide 750 fewer trips than Mae Volen currently provides for \$60,000. I think it prudent, based on this, that we support Mae Volen's effort to restore the \$60,000 to their budget.

Additionally, early in the budget process, Mae Volen staff made a proposal that suggested that they could operate transportation service to the County Senior Centers at a far cheaper cost than is currently provided by Palm Tran. This proposal was based on their current costs and on certain assumptions about the nature of the trips. Our staff has met with them on several occasions to explore these opportunities.

*"An Equal Opportunity
Affirmative Action Employer"*



Mae Volen has withdrawn this proposal based on a better understanding of the service and that the cost of their service would operate at a higher level than they initially estimated. However, both Mae Volen and Palm Tran's staff believe there could be cost saving and cost effectiveness opportunities if Mae Volen were to operate certain trips for Palm Tran Connection. We have agreed to continue our discussion looking for these opportunities.

Recommendations

1. Restore the proposed \$60,000 cut to Mae Volen's budget;
2. Continue discussion with Mae Volen looking for opportunities to integrate greater use of their fleet for Palm Tran Connection service.

cc: Chuck Cohen, Director, Palm Tran
Liz Lugo, CEO, Mae Volen Senior Center