Agenda Item #: 3D-1

Date

PALM BEACH COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Meeting Date:	9/9/08	[X] Consent [] Regular [] Public Hearing						
Department								
Submitted By:	COUNTY ATTO	RNEY						
Submitted For:	COUNTY ATTO	RNEY						
I. EXECUTIVE BRIEF								
Motion and Title: Staff recommends Motion to approve: Settlement in the total amount of \$342,547, inclusive of attorneys fees and costs, in the case of <u>Palm Beach County v. Douglas Sykes, et.al.</u> , Case No. 502007CA014837XXXXMB.								
PBC Water Treatm	ent Plant (WTP) # rcel has been on	itle to land measuring 4.33 acres that lies adjacent to 8. Although the County claims an ownership interest the tax roll as privately owned since 1955, thereby ide (PGE)						
1948 Final Judgm including the tax ro to the land under	ent in its favor, fil Il owners. The Def the Marketable Ro ate certain title defe	e County, claiming title to the land based in part on a ed this quiet title action against numerous parties, rendants filed a counterclaim asserting a superior title ecord Title to Real Property Act (MRTA), a statute ects in favor of an owner of record for a period of thirty inces.						
1948 Final Judgme acquired by the Co	e County acquired ent. An ownership ounty until 2002, v	wned by the Palm Beach Farms Company and was title to approximately 2/3 of the parcel by virtue of a interest in the remaining 1/3 of the parcel was not when it was quitclaimed by the Palm Beach Farms part of a settlement in an unrelated lawsuit.						
	(Coı	ntinued on Page 3)						
Attachments:								
1. Mediation S 2. Budget A	ettlement Agreem vailability Stat	ent cmun#						
Recommended by	County A	Mylenan 7/17/08 Attorney Date						

N/A

Approved by: _

II. FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

A. Five Year Summa	ry of Fiscal I	mpact:				
Fiscal Years	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	
Capital Expenditures Operating Costs	\$ <u>342,5</u> 47 ——					
External Revenues Program Income (Count In-Kind Match (County)	y)					
NET FISCAL IMPACT	\$342,547		· 			
# ADDITIONAL FTE POSITIONS (Cumulativ	ve)					
Is Item Included in Curre	ent Budget?	Y	es <u>X</u> No_			
Budget Account No.:	Fund <u>4011</u>	_ Departm	nent <u>721</u> Uni	t <u>W00</u> 6 Ob	ject <u>65 02</u>	
	Reporting (Category_				
B. Recommended So	ources of Fu	nds/Sumn	nary of Fiscal	Impact:		
C. Departmental Fisc	cal Review:				_	
	III. <u>REV</u>	IEW COM	MENTS			
A. OFMB Fiscal and/	or Contract l	Developm	ent and Contr	ol Comment	S:	
B. Legal Sufficiency:	110	/ Co	ontract Develo	ppment and	Control	F
Sewelen Assistant Cour	Edle nty Attorney	Ellen				
C. Other Department	Review:					
Department	Director					
THIS SUMMARY IS NOT	TO BE USED	AS A BA	SIS FOR PAY	MENT.		

 ${\bf G:} WPDATA \verb||OFFICE.FRM| AGENDA \verb||Agendacon-sh||. doc$

Background and Justification Continued Page 3

Notwithstanding the 1948 Final Judgment in favor of the County, in 1955, Mr. and Mrs. George Fuller filed in the public records a notice of ownership interest (based on principles of adverse possession). The Fullers claimed ownership to 26 acres, including the parcel in question. As a result of the public notice, the Fullers began paying taxes and were placed on the tax roll as the lawful owners. In 1965, following the deaths of Mr. and Mrs. Fuller, the land was sold to Harvey Sykes, Sr., the father of the main Defendants in this quiet title action. Mr. Sykes and his children, following his death, were placed on the tax roll and continued to pay the taxes. In 2005, the County requested a title search as part of a potential eminent domain action to expand WTP #8. The title search revealed the 1948 Final Judgment and possible County ownership to at least part of the parcel.

Although it appears the County could likely defeat the Defendants' claim based on MRTA, as well as a claim they could assert based on adverse possession concerning the portion of the land conveyed to the County in the 1948 Final Judgment, the same result is not true for the remaining land. The County's exposure for loss is significant with respect to that portion of the land conveyed to the County in the 2002 quitclaim deeds. In that regard, it appears the Palm Beach Farms Company, and therefore its successors, as a private non-government entity, lost whatever ownership interest it had in the land many years ago when it failed to challenge the Fullers' 1955 public notice of ownership based on adverse possession and their subsequent payment of the taxes. Since the 2002 quitclaim deeds failed to convey title to the County, a separate eminent domain action would be necessary to secure the land for the ultimate expansion of WTP #8, at great expense, as the County would be solely liable in that action for all the costs of litigation, including expert witness fees and attorney fees, in addition to paying the fair market value of the land.

Currently, the appraised value of the land falls between \$310,000 and \$480,000. In light of the County's exposure on the counterclaim, the costs still to be incurred to defend this action should it be tried, and the future costs to be incurred in a separate eminent domain action, it is the opinion of Staff and the Director of the Water Utilities Department, that a settlement in the amount of \$342,547 is in the County's best interest. The settlement amount is based on the lowest appraised value of \$310,000, plus an additional \$32,547 for the repayment of the taxes paid since 1999, for a total settlement in the amount of \$342,547.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 15TH JUCICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR, PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. 502007CA014837XXXXMBAJ

PALM BEACH COUNTY, A POLITICAL SUBDIVISION OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

VS.

1

DOUGLAS M. SYKES, INDIVIDUALLY, DOUGLAS M. SYKES AND HARVEY M. SYKES, JR., AS CO-TRUSTEES OF THE TESTAMENTARY TRUSTS UNDER THE LAST WILL AND TESTAMENT OF HARVEY EUGENE SYKES, SR.; DOUGLAS J. SYKES, DONALD M. SYKES

MEDIATION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

COMES NOW, the undersigned parties hereby state that they attended mediation in the matter before Mediator Al LaSorte on June 16, 2008, and reached a mediated settlement. The terms of the settlement are as follows:

- 1. Palm Beach County shall pay the sum of \$342,547.00 to PERRY & KERN, P.A. TRUST ACCOUNT within 30 days of administrative approval hereof by the Palm Beach County Commission.
- 2. Each party shall bear their own attorneys fees and costs.
- 3. This settlement is contingent upon approval by the Palm Beach County Commission. If the mediation settlement agreement is not approved by the Palm Beach County Commission, then the parties agree that the action shall be re-noticed for trial before the court herein.
- 4. Upon approval by the Palm Beach County Commission and payment of the settlement sum aforementioned, the parties agree to the entry of a final judgment quieting title to the real property which is the subject matter of this action in the name of Palm Beach County to the exclusion of all defendants named herein, and all parties claiming by through under or against the same.
- 5. The parties agree that this settlement agreement may be signed in counterpart and via facsimile.

Page 2
Mediation Settlement Agreeement

6. The parties agree to execute such additional documents as are reasonably necessary to effectuate this settlement.

Bevin A. Beaudet, Director of Palm Beach County Water Utilites

Pamela Eidelberg, Assistant County Attorney

Douglas M. Sykes, Individually

Douglas M. Sykes, as Co-Trustee of the Testamentary Trusts under the Last Will and Testament of Harvey Eugene Sykes, Sr.

Douglas J. Sykes

Joan M. Sykes

Al Lasorte as Mediator

Mark A. Perry, Esq. as counsel for defendants,

Douglas M. Sykes, individually, Douglas M. Sykes and Harvey Eugene Sykes, Jr., as Co-Trustees of the Testamentary Trusts under the Last Will and Testament of Harvey Eugene Sykes Sr.; Douglas. J. Sykes, and Donald M. Sykes

Page 3 Mediation Settlement Agreement

Harvey Eugene Sykes, Jr., as Co-Trustee of the Testamentary Trusts under the Last Will and Testament of Harvey Eugene

INTEROFFICE COMMUNICATION PALM BEACH COUNTY

BUDGET AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Buy Eggnters

DATE:

July 22, 2008

TO:

Jerry Kolb, Budget Analyst

Office of Financial Management & Budget

FROM:

Guy Eggertsson, Fiscal Manager

Water Utilities Department

RE:

Sykes Property Purchase

FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS:

Summary of Fiscal Impact:

Amount: \$342,547.00

Budget Account Number:

Fund	Agency	Organization	Object	Allocation
4011	721	W006	6502	100%

Recommended Sources of Funds/Summary of Fiscal Impact:

Water Utility User Fees