PALM BEACH COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Meeting Date: July 7, 2009	(X) Consent () Ordinance	()Regular ()Public Hearing
Department	() ==============	()
Submitted By:	Community Services	
Submitted For:	Division of Senior Servio	Ces

I. <u>EXECUTIVE BRIEF</u>

Motion and Title: Staff recommends motion to ratify the Chairman's signature: on the response to the Request for Proposal (RFP) for the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act Senior Nutrition Services (ARRA-SNS) grant, with the Area Agency on Aging of Palm Beach/Treasure Coast, Inc. (AAA), for the period June 1, 2009, through September 30, 2010, for Federal funds totaling \$250,896, for home delivered meals to the elderly.

Summary: As a Lead Agency, Division of Senior Services (DOSS) will contract with vendors to provide home delivered meals to functionally impaired elderly persons living independently at home. A required 10% County match of \$27,877 and program income of \$10,539 are included in the RFP response which spans two (2) County fiscal years. Sufficient funding is available in the FY 2009 budget to meet County obligations. County match funding required to meet FY 2010 obligations has been requested in the FY 2010 budget process. In the area south of Hypoluxo Road, Mae Volen Senior Center responded to a similar RFP from the AAA. The RFP response was submitted through the emergency signature process due to the requirement of the AAA that it be returned by May 8, 2009, and there was insufficient time to submit it through the regular agenda process. (DOSS) Countywide except for portions of Districts 3, 4, 5, and 7 south of Hypoluxo Road (TKF)

Background and Justification: The Department of Health and Human Services will award \$100 million in Recovery Act funding to provide meals to tens of thousands of low-income older Americans in need. The funding is expected to provide nearly 14 million meals nationwide. The key requirement in this project is meal services be expanded beyond current levels. "Across the country, older Americans depend on senior centers and home delivery programs for regular, healthy meals. Today, more senior citizens are in need, but the programs they depend on are on the brink of reducing their services or closing down," said Vice President Biden. "The Recovery Act will help ensure older Americans are not forced to choose between paying bills and buying food." The Recovery Act provides \$65 million for congregate nutrition services provided at senior centers and other community sites and \$32 million for home delivered nutrition services delivered to frail elders at home.

Attachment:

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act Senior Nutrition Services (ARRA-SNS) (RFP) Response

Recommended By: Department Director Approved By: Assistant/County Administrator

II. FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

A. Five Year Summary of Fiscal Impact:

Fiscal Years	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>	<u>2013</u>
Capital Expenditures Operating Costs External Revenue Program Income (County) In-Kind Match (County)	72,328 (65,359)	<u>216,984</u> (196,076)			
NET FISCAL IMPACT	6,969	20,908			<u> </u>
# ADDITIONAL FTE POSITIONS (Cumulative)					
Is Item Included in Current Budget Account No.: Fund Progra	•	Yes Department_1		459 Object _	<u>Var.</u>

No additional funding is required for FY2009. Required funding has been requested in the FY2010 budget.

B. Recommended Sources of Funds/Summary of Fiscal Impact:

Funding sources are the Federal of Florida, Palm Beach County and Private Donations (Program Income).

<u>Funds</u>	<u>09-10 C2</u>
Federal	250,896
Program Income	10,539
Match (10%)	27,877
Addnl. County Funds	0
Total	289,312

Departmental Fiscal Review:

III. REVIEW COMMENTS

A. OFMB Fiscal and/or Contract Administration Comments:

ÓFMB 416/09 Legal Sufficiency: Β. Assistant County Attorney

ontract

C. Other Department Review:

Department Director

This summary is not to be used as a basis for payment.

Area Agency on Aging Palm Beach/Treasure Coast, Inc. Your Aging Resource Center

09-05-08A10:45 RCVD

Date/Time Stamp: BC Board of Cty Comm. Community Spis. Dept. me of agency) Received from: \underline{T} (Name of agency)

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) Senior Nutrition Services Application Signature of AAA employee accepting delivery

Serving Seniors in Palm Beach D Martin D St. Lucie D Indian River D Okeechobee Counties

Palm Beach Office: 4400 North Congress Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida 33407 (561) 684-5885 🛛 Fax (561) 214-8678



Treasure Coast Office: 1100 SW St. Lucie West Boulevard I Suite 103 Port St. Lucie, Florida 34986 (772) 467-0008 I Fax (772) 467-0371

*

Funded in part by the State of Florida Department of Elder Affairs



Department of Community Services Division of Senior Services

810 Datura Street

West Palm Beach FL 33401

(561) 355-4746

FAX: (561) 355-3222

http://www.pbcgov.com



Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners

Jeff Koons, Chairman Burt Aaronson, Vice Chairman

Karen T. Marcus

Shelley Vana

Steven L. Abrams

Jess R. Santamaria

District 7

County Administrator Robert Weisman



"An Equal Opportunity Affirmative Action Employer" **DATE:** May 7, 2009

TO: John F. Koons, Chairman

FROM: Robert Weisman, PE County Administrator

RE: REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

Pursuant to PPM# CW-F 003, your signature is needed on Request for Proposal (RFP) between Palm Beach County Commissioners and the Area Agency on Aging of Palm Beach/Treasure Coast, Inc. (AAA) for the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act Senior Nutrition Services period of June 1, 2009 through September 30, 2010. The RFP was received on May 6, 2009. The application is due to the Area Agency on Aging of Palm Beach/Treasure Coast, Inc. on May 8, 2009, by 12:00 pm. There was not enough time to place this item on the Board's agenda for approval.

If additional information is needed please contact Mrs. Faith R. Manfra-Martin, Director, Division of Senior Services at (561) 355-4753.

Staff will submit this item at the Board's June 16, 2009 Commission Agenda.

County Attorney

109 Date

pc: Jon Van Arnam, Assistant County Administrator Faith R. Manfra-Martin, Director, Division of Senior Services



Department of Community Services Division of Senior Services

810 Datura Street

West Palm Beach FL 33401

(561) 355-4746

FAX: (561) 355-3222

http://www.pbcgov.com

Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners

Jeff Koons, Chairman

Burt Aaronson, Vice Chairman

Karen T. Marcus

Shelley Vana

Steven L. Abrams

Jess R. Santamaria

Addie L. Greene

County Administrator Robert Weisman



"An Equal Opportunity Affirmative Action Employer" May 7, 2009

Nancy Yarnall Planner Area Agency on Aging of Palm Beach/Treasure Coast, Inc. 4400 North Congress Avenue West Palm Beach, FL 33407

Dear Ms. Yarnall:

I am pleased to present Palm Beach County's Division of Senior Services 2009 Request for Proposal for American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA).

Division of Senior Services (DOSS) has over thirty-one (31) years of experience helping the senior community and has a long standing commitment to its mission which is to provide accessible and high-quality services to help seniors sixty (60) and older attain optimal independence and promote quality of life for seniors and their caregivers.

Coming on board as Director of the Division of Senior Services in August of 2007, I have seen the extraordinary work of the Division improving the lives of senior residents in Palm Beach County. Staff has an overwhelming commitment and dedication demonstrated by the professional work they do each and every day. DOSS has been and currently is an exemplary agency to continue to meet the diverse and complex needs of seniors in Palm Beach County.

Enclosed please find for the submission one (1) original copy.

Thank you for your consideration and please contact me with any questions related to the Request for Proposal. I look forward to your response.

Respectfully Submitted,

= R. Martin ال سلية

Faith R. Martin Director Division of Senior Services Palm Beach County Community Services Department

Section - Service Provider Summary Information : To be completed by every applicant Format follows.

SERVICE PROVIDERSUMMARY INFORMATION PAGE ORIGINAL SUBMISSION [X] REVISION [] **1. PROVIDER INFORMATION:** 2. GOVERNING BOARD CHAIR: Executive Director/Owner: {Name/Address/Phone} {Name/Address/Phone} John F. Koons, Chairman Faith R. Manfra-Martin, MSW, MS Palm Beach County Director **Board of County Commissioners** 810 Datura Street 301 North Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, FL 33401 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 (561) 355-4746 (561) 355-2203 Name of Grantee Agency: Legal Name of Agency: Palm Beach County **Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners Division of Senior Services Community Services Department** 3. ADVISORY COUNCIL CHAIR: Mailing Address: {Name/Address/Phone} 810 Datura Street. Not Applicable West Palm Beach, FL 33401 **Telephone Number:** 561-355-4746 4. TYPE OF AGENCY/ORGANIZATION: 5. PROPOSED FUNDING PERIOD: NOT FOR PROFIT: _ PRIVATE June 1, 2009 - September 30, 2010 X_PUBLIC(Government) A. New Applicant X PRIVATE FOR PROFIT **B.** Continuation 6. FUNDS REQUESTED: [] OAA Title III-C1 [X] OAA Title III-C2 7. SERVICE AREA: [X] Single County: (Specify) Palm Beach County A (North of Hypoluxo Road and Glades / West County) [] Selected Communities of a County, (Specify CCSA) 8. ADDRESS FOR PAYMENT OF CHECKS ITEM #: [X]#1 []#2 APPROVED AS TO FORM Palm Beach County FNQY **Division of Senior Services Community Service Department** 810 Datura Street West Palm Beach, FL 33401 ATTORN COUNTY 9. CERTIFICATION BY AUTHORIZED AGENCY OFFICER: I hereby certify that the contents of this document are true, accurate and complete statements. I acknowledge that intentional misrepresentation or falsification may result in the termination of financial assistance. John F. Koons, Chairman Name: Signature: Title: Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners Date:

1. <u>MATCHING COMMITMENT DOCUMENTATION</u> Provider must be able to meet match requirement. (The match requirements mirror Title III C – 10% cash and in-kind). The inkind contributions must meet the same grant requirements as cash match for OAA funds.

STAFF OR OTHER ORGANIZATIONS	NON APPLICABLE
SERVICES BY STAFF OF SERVICE PROVIDER OR	
MATCH COMMITMENT OF IN-KING CONTRIBUTION OF	
MATCH COMMITMENT OF EQUIPMENT	NON APPLICABLE
MATCH COMMITMENT OF SUPPLIES	NON APPLICABLE
MATCH COMMITMENT OF DONATION OF BUILDING SPACE	NON APPLICABLE
MATCH COMMITMENT OF CASH DONATION	SEE ATTACHMENT I

MATCH COMMITMENT OF IN-KIND VOLUNTEER PERSONNEL NON APPLICABLE AND TRAVEL

Items should be addressed completely using narrative and formats provided as required.

1. <u>MATCHING COMMITMENT DOCUMENTATION</u> Provider must be able to meet match requirement. (The match requirements mirror Title III C - 10% cash and in-kind). The in-kind contributions must meet the same grant requirements as cash match for OAA funds.

The use of the commitment formats is to document the availability of non federal and non general revenue (local) financial participation. The commitment forms must support all matching requirements identified in the Budget Explanation Worksheets Sections 1 & 2 and Budget Narrative. Signed documentation is required for the local matching resources. Formats for cash, building space, supplies, equipment, staff time, and volunteer personnel and travel are provided. Entries on the Match Commitment of Cash Donation format are self-explanatory. Four formats need additional explanation:

MATCH COMMITMENT OF CASH DONATION

ſ

ATTACHMENT 1

Total Amount	# Payments	Amount/Payment	Contribution Period
\$ 27,877	16	\$ 1,742	6/1/09 – 9/30/10
Special Condition Total Palm Beach		equired Match in Suppor	t of the 09-10 ARRA OAA C2 Program.
during the p other State government X	tify intent to make the rogram's upcoming fu or Federally assisted p	nding period. This cash is program or any Federal con nder any federal grant or c <u> Date: 51810</u>	

	APPROVED AS TO FORM
_	AND LEGAL SUFFLETENCY
	Apte
0	COUNTY ATTORNEY

This format provides two methods of calculating the value of donated space; a) usage charge; b) fair market value. When the grantee agency or provider offers the use of space in an owned building, the provider must utilize the "usage" methods of calculating the in-kind commitment.

When using fair rental value for in-kind commitment of space the service provider must provide written documentation from qualified individuals (e.g., Realtors, property management specialists) regarding the estimated value of the space.

MATCH COMMITMENT FOR DONATION OF BUILDING SPACE

[] Original, dated

[] Revision, dated

NON APPLICABLE

Description of Space: [] Office [] Site [] Other
Provider Owned Space: 1. Number of square feet used by projectsq.ft. 2. Appraised rental value per square foot \$/sq.ft. 3. Total value of space used by project (1x2) \$
Donor Owned Space: 1. Established monthly rental value \$ 2. Number of months rent to be paid by donor mos 3. Value of donated space (1x2) \$
Special Conditions:
Donor Certification:
I hereby certify intent to donate use of the space set forth above for the program specified above during the program's upcoming funding period. This space is not being used as match for any other State on Federal program or contract.
x Car F 2009 Date: 518109 Signature of Donor or Representative

APPROVED AS TO FORM EGALSUFFICTENEY COUNTY ATTORNEY

The commitment formats for both supplies and equipment should be filled out as outlined on the format. The significant distinction between "Supplies" and "Equipment" is whether the cost of the donated items meets the State of Florida definition of equipment. If the criteria are met the item should be identified as In-kind equipment and placed on the provider equipment inventory records and the Equipment Inventory Form. Items not meeting this criterion are considered supplies and are not to be included on the equipment inventory form. If property purchased with federal funds is to be considered as nonfederal in-kind contributions, the authorizing federal regulation or law must be cited in the appropriate space in the Donor Certification section of the format. Otherwise, N/A should be entered into this space.

MATCH COMMITMENT OF SUPPLIES

[] Original, dated [] Revision, dated

NON APPLICABLE

omputation of Value:		
alue to be claimed by project:	\$	
pecial Conditions:		
onor Certification:		
I haraby partify intent to denote the	se supplies for the program specified a	bove during the program's
Thereby certify intent to donate the	supplies are not being used as match for	or any other State or Federally
upcoming funding period. These	supplies are not being used as match in	of any other otate of rederany

APPROVED AS TO FORM LEGALSUERCTERICY COUNTY ATTORNEY

MATCH COMMITMENT OF EQUIPMENT

	ision, dated	NON APPLICABLE
	cription of Equipment: below described equipment is co	ommitted for use by the project for the period of: (From) (To)
	Description of Item	Acquisition <u>Number Cost</u> <u>Value to Project*</u>
1. 2. 3.		
4. 5.		Total Value Claimed:
	*Items that are currently owned annual rate of 6-2/3 percent of 6-2/3 perc	ed by the Grantee or are loaned or donated to the project are valued at an of the acquisition value.
Don	or Certification:	al as a sant-ity tion for any other State or Enderelly Assisted program or
	contract and costs are not bo or contract except as provide	ed as a contribution for any other State or Federally Assisted program or orne by the Federal Government directly or indirectly under any Federal gra ed for under: (cite the
	authorizing Federal regulation	n or law if applicable)
	authorizing Federal regulation X Signature of Donor or Repr	n or law if applicable).
	authorizing Federal regulation	n or law if applicable).
	authorizing Federal regulation	n or law if applicable).
	authorizing Federal regulation	n or law if applicable).
	authorizing Federal regulation	n or law if applicable).
	authorizing Federal regulation	n or law if applicable). Date: 518109 resentative
	authorizing Federal regulation	APPROVED AS TO FORM
	authorizing Federal regulation	n or law if applicable). Date: 518109 resentative
	authorizing Federal regulation	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL STUFFICE INCR COUNTY ATTORNEY
	authorizing Federal regulation	APPROVED AS TO FORM
	authorizing Federal regulation	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL STAFFICK INCO COUNTY ATTORNEY

This format is to be utilized to document in-kind staff services available to the service provider from their staff or from staff members of other organizations. Staff members can only commit to providing in-kind services during times when they are not being paid by the provider agency. For staff members of other organizations, their time cannot be counted as in-kind service if the services being donated are included as contributions for other programs or contracts. A separate form for in-kind staff services should be completed for each organization donating staff services. If services purchased with federal funds are to be considered as nonfederal in-kind contributions, the authorizing federal regulation or law must be cited in the appropriate space in the Donor Certification section of the format. Otherwise, N/A should be entered into this space.

MATCH COMMITMENT OF IN-KIND CONTRIBUTION OF SERVICES BY STAFF OF SERVICE PROVIDER OR STAFF OF OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

[] Original, dated

[] Revision, dated

NON APPLICABLE

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
P	ons of Positions: Position <u>Title</u>	<u>Service</u>	Hourly Rate or <u>Annual Salary</u>	# Hours <u>Worked</u>	Value to <u>Project*</u>
1 2 3 *Value to	project = (# of hours w	orked) x (Hourly rate	\$ \$ \$ e) or (Annual Salary ÷ 2080	 Total - \$) hrs) x (# of ho	\$ \$ \$ ours worked)
		······································			
Th an co Fe	nd costs are not borne l Intract except as provid	by the Federal Gove led for under: if applicable). It is	any other State or Federa rnment directly or indirectly certified that the time de	under any Fe (c	deral grant or ite the authorizing
×	gnature of Donor or F	-oon	Date: 518109		

APPROVED AS TO FORM OUNTY ATTORNEY

MATCH COMMITMENT OF IN-KIND VOLUNTEER PERSONNEL AND TRAVEL

Original, dated

, Revision, dated

NON APPLICABLE

supervised a volunteer ac	er staff positions iden as an ongoing activity tivity. /olunteer Effort:	tified below will t of our agency.	pe filled by local v We will maintain	volunteer voluntee	s who will be rear r records to doc	cruited, trained and ument individual
	Position Ti	tle	Equivalent Hourly Rate		# of Hours	Value to Project
1		\$			\$)
2		\$			\$;
3	<u> </u>	\$	······			<u> </u>
TOTAL	VALUE TO AGENCY	ſ	•		\$	5
[] Rates fo [] State En	t Hourly Rates were r comparable position nployment Service es r comparable position	ns within own ag stimate of rates fo	ency. or type of work.		•	
ESTIMA	ATED MILEAGE	x	RATE PER I	MILE	=	VALUE
		•				\$
	reby certify that comr vide the volunteer hou of the volunteer hou Signature of Ager	urs and travel ide				groups sufficient to
(9		APPROVED AS	

7

COUNTY ATTORNEY

2. Explain how your agency will increase the number of congregate and home delivered meals to older adults. Required reporting must document increases. The bulk of funding must be used for meal provision. (No more than 10% of funding can be used for nutrition screening, nutrition education and nutrition counseling.)

The Division of Senior Services (DOSS) will increase the number of home delivered meals to older senior consumers by providing 108,145 home delivered meals to adults age sixty (60) and older who are the most in need. DOSS will remove the names of those seniors most in need from the Client Information and Registration Tracking System (CIRTS) Waitlist. In addition, outreach efforts will be expanded in the most challenged targeted communities in DOSS's service areas: Belle Glade, Pahokee, South Bay, Lake Harbor, West Palm Beach, Riviera Beach and Lake Worth in order to locate minority, low income, rural individuals who are in the greatest economic and/or social need for meal provisions. DOSS is not requesting congregate meal (OAA IIICI) funds at this time, but instead is requesting one hundred percent (100%) of the home delivered meals (OAAIIC2) funds since there are currently three hundred and five (305) seniors on waitlists for this very essential basic need.

3 COST PRESENTATION: Each applicant must identify the total funds requested for each meal service type (C1 and C2) as well as the number meals to be served. For directions on completing this project budget, please see the links for "Unit Cost Overview" and "Unit Cost Worksheets" on the web page which contains this application.

Please see Attachment A.

4. CLIENT INFORMATION AND REGISTRATION TRACKING SYSTEM (CIRTS). Describe your organization's capacity to use CIRTS in accordance with the Department of Elder Affair's guidelines.

As the current Lead Agency and recipient of the Older Americans Act (OAA) funds, DOSS has utilized CIRTS since its inception.

DOSS staff enters each senior consumer's client assessment tool (701B) directly into CIRTS. Updates to the CIRTS Enrollment and Care Plan screens are entered daily. The units of service are entered monthly for reimbursement reporting. DOSS's previous annual, CCE/ADI/HCE/OAA monitoring and biannual Medicaid Waiver Monitoring indicated one hundred percent (100%) compliance with the Department of Elder Affairs (DOEA) guidelines for CIRTS input.

5. MEAL SERVICE CAPACITY: Describe your organization's capacity to serve meals in accordance with the Department of Elder Affairs guidelines contained <u>Programs and</u> <u>Services Handbook, July 2008.</u>

DOSS contracts with Diana Food Group, Inc. which is a catering company that is a vendor for Hispanic home delivered meals and GA Foods, Inc. which is a catering company that is a vendor for Kosher home delivered meals; as well as regular home delivered meals. All meals served meet the Department of Elder Affairs (DOEA) meal requirements and menus are submitted to the Area Agency on Aging (AAA) at least four (4) weeks prior to the start date. Both meal vendors' contracts are renewable for up to two (2) years. DOSS senior consumers will receive the following type of meals based on need:

- Hot (Hispanic, Kosher, Regular)
- Frozen (Hispanic, Kosher, Regular)
- Breakfast (Hispanic, Kosher, Regular)
- Pureed (Hispanic, Regular)
- Ensure Supplement

Frequency, i.e., the number of meals per day and number of days per week is based on the senior consumers need as determined by the assigned DOSS Case Manager or Outreach Worker.

6. TRANSPORTATION: Describe your agency's ability to fund and provide transportation to congregate meal sites.

Not applicable. DOSS is not requesting Congregate Meals (O3CI) funds.

7. VOLUNTEERS: Describe your agency's ability to use volunteers to deliver home delivered meals.

DOSS is currently in the process of expanding its volunteer support services. DOSS is seeking Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners approval to administer a volunteer stipend program. Historically, DOSS has been most successful recruiting volunteers when there is a stipend and reimbursement for mileage. DOSS's current RELIEF program, a volunteer stipend program, provides respite services to homebound seniors and has been recognized by DOEA as an exemplary volunteer program.

This new program will allow stipend volunteers to be utilized to deliver home delivered meals and provide companionship, homemaker, shopping assistance and respite to homebound seniors. The projected start up date is expected to be August 1, 2009.

8. TARGETING: Describe your agency's ability to reach and serve targeted individuals in accordance with the Older Americans Act Targeting requirements as stated:

Historically, DOSS has sought to target O3C2 Home Delivered Meals to individuals most in need. DOSS has continuously outreached and served targeted individuals in the highest poverty areas, including rural areas with low income minorities. Theses targeted seniors have the greatest need in our community.

Since January 1, 2009, DOSS has provided 03C2 services to 519 seniors from the following targeted groups:

Target Group	Category	# of Seniors	% of Total served
	African American	177	34.1%
Minority	Hispanic	90	17.3%
	Total	267	51.4%
Greatest Economic Need	Monthly Income	# of Seniors	% of Total served
(all races)	0-\$918	437	84.2%
	(100% Poverty Line)		
	\$919-\$1300	78	15%
	(150% Poverty Line)		
	TOTAL	515	99.2%
Greatest Social Need	Seniors Living Alone	269	51.83%
Residing in Rural	Seniors Living in:		
Areas	Belle Glade	54	10.44%
	Pahokee	16	3.09%
	South Bay	11	2.13%
	TOTAL	81	15.7%

The total percent of each of the above targeted groups served by DOSS far exceeds that groups percent of the general population of Palm Beach County.

Per CIRTS, the present waitlist for 03C2 Home Delivered Meals in DOSS's service area is three hundred and five (305) seniors. This is accompanied with additions as new seniors are screened by the AAA's Area Resource Center (ARC) and placed on waitlist daily. Below is a breakdown of the three hundred and five (305) seniors by the targeted categories:

Target Group	Category	# of Seniors	% of Total Seniors
Minority	African American	81	26.5%
	Hispanic	54	17.7%
· · , · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	TOTAL	135	44.2%
Greatest Economic Need	Monthly Income	# of Seniors	% of Total served
(all races)	0-\$918	178	58.4%
	\$918-\$1300	80	26.2%
	TOTAL	258	84.6%
Minority with Greatest	African American/Low Income		
Economic Need	Monthly Income \$0-\$918 \$919-\$1,300	54 20	17.7% 6.6%
	Hispanic/ Low Income \$0-\$918 \$919-\$1,300	41 10	13.4% 3.2%
	TOTAL	125	40.9%
Residing in Rural Areas	Living in Belle Glade Pahokee	16 9	5.3% 3.0%
	Canal Point South Bay	1 2	0.3% 0.6%
	TOTAL	28	9.2%
Target Group	Category	# of Seniors	% of Total Seniors
Greatest Social Need	Seniors Living Alone	175	33.7%

The Division's <u>Plan of Action</u> with American Recovery and Reinvestment Act Senior Nutrition Program funds is:

1. To select seniors in the four (4) targeted group who have the greatest social need, greatest economic need, are of low income and minority and reside in rural areas and then remove them from the waitlist based on a waitlist start date.

2. To have staff assess each senior utilizing the 701B.

3. To have each eligible senior consumer receive home delivered meals with the type of meal and frequency based on their need.

4. To have DOSS staff follow up with each senior consumer within two (2) weeks of the meal provision to assure the meal type and frequency is satisfactory and meeting each senior consumer needs.

Seniors to be served:

Category	<u># Served *</u>	<u>% Served</u>
Greatest social need	130	52%
Greatest economic need	250	100%
Low Income Minority	145	58%
Residing in Rural Areas	45	21.9%

*Figures exceed the number on the present waitlist in CIRTS since it is anticipated growth and DOSS intends to conduct outreach in the following targeted areas of Palm Beach County: Western Communities, West Palm Beach, Riviera Beach and Lake Worth. Additionally, many seniors fall into more than one (1) targeted category.

9. CONTINUING SERVICE: Describe your agency's ability to continue services to clients beyond the funding period.

DOSS has the ability to continue providing home delivered meals utilizing Palm Beach County funds. Palm Beach County is committed as a partner in meeting the basic needs of seniors in the community.

Attachment A

3. COST PRESENTATION: Each applicant must identify the total funds requested for each meal service type (C1 and C2) as well as the number meals to be served. For directions on completing this project budget, please see the links for "Unit Cost Overview" and "Unit Cost Worksheets" on the web page which contains this application.

% Increased by:

1. JORDAN, P - 07 ASST COMM CNTR MGR 34,798.40 - 34,798.40 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 2. AUSTIN, A - 073 ASST COMM CNTR MGR 32,485.44 - 32,485.44 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 3. VAN SICKLE, A ASST COMM CNTR MGR 28,986.88 - 28,986.88 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 4. BABB, K W - 070 CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.02% 604.8 5. HERRING-ROD CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.02% 1,322.0 6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV 75,3651.52 - 53,651.52 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.21% 1,323.0 7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC 28,995.20 -<	t Pool
STAFF CURRENT PROPOSED BROPOSED BROPOSED BROPOSED AVAILABLE HOLIDAY SICK ANNUAL BIL AVAILABLE HOURS SICK ANNUAL BIL AVAILABLE HOURS BIL AVAILABLE AVAILABLE AVAILABLE BIL	<u>t Pool</u>
STAFF PROPOSED PROPOSED <t< th=""><th></th></t<>	
The state CURRENT PPOPOSED INCREASE PROPOSED BUDGET AVAILABLE HOLDAS SIGE HOLDAS NUAL BILE AVE NUAL BILE AVE AVAILABLE HOLDAS NUAL BILE HOLDAS NUAL BILE AVE AVAILABLE HOURS AV	영화 소문 영화 영화
CTACE PROFOSED AVAILABLE HOURS HOURS LEAVE TIME AVAILABLE % OF TIME HR/UNIT WA 1. JORDAN, P - 074 ASST COMM CNTR MGR 34,798.40 - 34,798.40 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 2. AUSTIN, A - 073 ASST COMM CNTR MGR 32,485.44 - 32,485.44 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 3. VAN SICKLE, A ASST COMM CNTR MGR 32,485.44 - 32,898.68 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 4. BABB, K W - 070 CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.02% 1,320.0 6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,20.48 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.21% 1,320.0 7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC <th></th>	
1. JORDAN, P - 07 ASST COMM CNTR MGR 34,798.40 - 34,798.40 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 2. AUSTIN, A - 073 ASST COMM CNTR MGR 32,485.44 - 32,485.44 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 3. VAN SICKLE, A ASST COMM CNTR MGR 28,986.88 - 28,986.88 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 4. BABB, K W - 070 CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.02% 604.8 5. HERRING-RODCASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.02% 1,320.0 6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV 53,651.52 - 53,651.52 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.21% 1,323.0 7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC 28,995.20 - 28,995.20 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120	
2. AUSTIN, A - 073 ASST COMM CNTR MGR 32,485.44 - 32,485.44 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 3. VAN SICKLE, A ASST COMM CNTR MGR 28,986.88 - 28,986.88 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 4. BABB, K W - 070 CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 38.04% 604.8 5. HERRING-ROD CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.02% 1,320.0 6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV 53,651.52 - 53,651.52 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.02% 1,320.0 7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC 28,952.0 - 2,895.20 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 8.02% 9.20% 9.20% 9.20% 9.20% 9.20% 9.20% 9.20% 9	SE COST
3. VAN SICKLE, A ASST COMM CNTR MGR 28,986.88 - 28,986.88 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 4. BABB, K W - 07C CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 38.04% 604.8 5. HERRING-RODC CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.02% 1,320.0 6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV 53,651.52 - 53,651.52 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.21% 1,323.0 7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC 28,995.20 - 28,995.20 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.21% 1,323.0 9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC 27,520.48 - 27,520.48 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 60.42.2 10. SANTIBANEZ, G CLERICAL SPEC 26,958.88 - 26,958.88 2,080.0 96.0 104.0	-
4. BABB, K W - 070 CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 38.04% 604.8 5. HERRING-RODC CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.02% 1,320.0 6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV 53,651.52 - 53,651.52 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.21% 1,323.0 7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC 28,995.20 - 28,995.20 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.21% 1,323.0 8. GOMEZ, P - 447 CLERICAL SPEC 27,520.48 - 27,520.48 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 85.03% 875.0 9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC 26,958.88 - 26,958.88 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 40.39% 642.2 10. SANTIBANEZ, G CLERICAL SPEC 26,958.88 2,080.0 96.0 1	•
5. HERRING-ROD CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.02% 1,320.0 6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV 53,651.52 - 53,651.52 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.21% 1,323.0 7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC 28,995.20 - 28,995.20 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.21% 1,323.0 8. GOMEZ, P - 447 CLERICAL SPEC 27,520.48 - 27,520.48 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 55.03% 875.0 9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC 24,293.60 - 44,293.60 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 40.39% 642.2 10. SANTIBANEZ, G CLERICAL SPEC 26,958.88 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 18.45% 293.4 11. BLAKE, D L - 078 COMM CNTR MGR 38,043.20 - 38,043.20 2,080.0	-
6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV 53,651.52 - 53,651.52 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 83.21% 1,323.0 7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC 28,995.20 - 28,995.20 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 8. GOMEZ, P - 447 CLERICAL SPEC 27,520.48 - 27,520.48 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 642.2 9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC 44,293.60 - 44,293.60 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 40.39% 642.2 10. SANTIBANEZ, G CLERICAL SPEC 26,958.88 - 26,958.88 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 18.45% 293.4 11. BLAKE, D L - 078 COMM CNTR MGR 42,542.24 - 42,542.24 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 12. HORVATH, J R - COMM CNTR MGR 38,043.20 - 38,043.20 2,080.0 96.0	8,686.12
7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC 28,995.20 - 20,995.20 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 8. GOMEZ, P - 447 CLERICAL SPEC 27,520.48 - 27,520.48 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 55.03% 875.0 9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC 44,293.60 - 44,293.60 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 40.39% 642.2 10. SANTIBANEZ, G CLERICAL SPEC 26,958.88 - 26,958.88 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 18.45% 293.4 11. BLAKE, D L - 078 COMM CNTR MGR 42,542.24 - 42,542.24 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 12. HORVATH, J R - COMM CNTR MGR 38,043.20 - 38,043.20 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR 37,770.72 - 37,770.72 2,080.0	2,605.71
8. GOMEZ, P - 447 CLERICAL SPEC 27,520.48 - 27,520.48 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 55.03% 875.0 9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC 44,293.60 - 44,293.60 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 40.39% 642.2 10. SANTIBANEZ, G CLERICAL SPEC 26,958.88 - 26,958.88 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 40.39% 642.2 11. BLAKE, D L - 078 COMM CNTR MGR 42,542.24 - 42,542.24 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 12. HORVATH, J R - COMM CNTR MGR 38,043.20 - 38,043.20 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR 37,770.72 - 37,770.72 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK 51,351.04 - 51,351.04 2,080.0 96.0 <td>4,643.43</td>	4,643.43
9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC 44,293.60 - 44,293.60 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 40.39% 642.2 10. SANTIBANEZ, G CLERICAL SPEC 26,958.88 - 26,958.88 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 18.45% 293.4 11. BLAKE, D L - 07 COMM CNTR MGR 42,542.24 - 42,542.24 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 12. HORVATH, J R - COMM CNTR MGR 38,043.20 - 38,043.20 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR 37,770.72 - 37,770.72 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK 51,351.04 - 51,351.04 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.68% 10.8 15. *VACANT (NGU DATA PROC II 29,947.84 - 29,947.84 2,080.0 96.0<	-
10. SANTIBANEZ, G CLERICAL SPEC 26,958.88 - 26,958.88 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 18.45% 293.4 11. BLAKE, D L - 07 COMM CNTR MGR 42,542.24 - 42,542.24 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 12. HORVATH, J R - COMM CNTR MGR 38,043.20 - 38,043.20 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR 37,770.72 - 37,770.72 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK 51,351.04 - 51,351.04 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.68% 10.8 15. *VACANT (NGU DATA PROC II 29,947.84 - 29,947.84 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 80.28% 1,276.5	5,144.52
11. BLAKE, D L - 07 COMM CNTR MGR 42,542.24 - 42,542.24 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 12. HORVATH, J R - COMM CNTR MGR 38,043.20 - 38,043.20 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR 37,770.72 - 37,770.72 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK 51,351.04 - 51,351.04 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 15. *VACANT (NGU DATA PROC II 29,947.84 - 29,947.84 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.68% 10.8	7,890.19
12. HORVATH, J R - COMM CNTR MGR 38,043.20 - 38,043.20 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR 37,770.72 - 37,770.72 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK 51,351.04 - 51,351.04 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 15. *VACANT (NGU DATA PROC II 29,947.84 - 29,947.84 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.68% 10.8	4,973.91
13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR 37,770.72 - 37,770.72 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% - 14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK 51,351.04 - 51,351.04 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.68% 10.8 15. *VACANT (NGU DATA PROC II 29,947.84 - 29,947.84 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.68% 10.8	-
14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK 51,351.04 - 51,351.04 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.68% 10.8 15. *VACANT (NGU DATA PROC II 29,947.84 - 29,947.84 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.68% 10.8	-
15. *VACANT (NGU) DATA PROC II 29,947.84 - 29,947.84 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 80.28% 1,276.5	-
	349.19
	4,042.13
	1,296.27
	8,877.41
	1,452.12
	3,222.59
	8,649.14
	6,353.56
22. JOHNSTON, M KL P N 36,670.40 - 36,670.40 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% -	-
23. STAMBAUGH, CL P N 35,776.00 - 35,776.00 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 170.0 1,590.0 0.00% -	-
	3,992.96
25. CARON, H L - 07 OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 28,932.80 - 28,932.80 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 264.0 1,496.0 0.00% -	-
26. FLEMING, E C - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 37,427.52 - 37,427.52 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 264.0 1,496.0 0.00% -	-
27. GAMMIE, C C - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 30,906.72 - 30,906.72 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 264.0 1,496.0 0.00% -	-
28. JOSEPH, S - 410 OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 28,932.80 - 28,932.80 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 264.0 1,496.0 0.00% -	-
29. HINOHOSA, S - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 29,248.96 - 29,248.96 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 264.0 1,496.0 0.00% -	-
30. PACKWOOD, A OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 32,720.48 - 32,720.48 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 264.0 1,496.0 0.00%	-
31. PERRY-ATKINS, OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 46,502.56 - 46,502.56 2,080.0 96.0 104.0 120.0 264.0 1,496.0 0.00% -	-

% Increased by:

		00/									ment & Gener	-10
		0%		and the second second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	fasti a state e		OTHER		Manage	ment & Gener	al Cost Pool
		- -		GROSS				NON-	NET		e talen t	
	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	AVAILABLE		And a second			AVAILABLE	% OF		
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	WAGES	INCREASE	BUDGET	HOURS	HOURS	LEAVE	LEAVE	TIME	HOURS	TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST
32. PARSON, B J - QSECY	31,794.88	•	31,794.88	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	170.0	1,590.0	72.39%	1,151.0	23,016.31
33. BELISLE, W - 07 SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	1,560.0	96.0	78.0	90.0	170.0	1,126.0	0.00%	-	-
34. CHIOFFE, M A - SITE MGR	22,554.48		22,554.48	1,560.0	96.0	78.0	90.0	170.0	1,126.0	0.00%	· _	· –
35. FIDLER, H - 0761 SITE MGR	22,969.44	-	22,969.44	1,560.0	96.0	78.0	90.0	170.0	1,126.0	0.00%	-	-
36. LARSEN, J A - 0 SITE MGR	22,969.44	-	22,969.44	1,560.0	96.0	78.0	90.0	170.0	1,126.0	0.00%	-	-
37. McDONALD, L B SITE MGR	21,740.16	- .	21,740.16	1,560.0	96.0	78.0	90.0	170.0	1,126.0	0.00%	-	-
38. PIGNATA, A - 07 SITE MGR	27,251.64	-	27,251.64	1,560.0	96.0	78.0	90.0	170.0	1,126.0	0.00%	-	· –
39. ROBINSON, K M SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	1,560.0	96.0	78.0	90.0	170.0	1,126.0	0.00%	-	-
40. SNEED, I J - 071 SITE MGR	25,980.24	-	25,980.24	1,560.0	96.0	78.0	90.0	170.0	1,126.0	0.00%	-	-
41. WESLEY, B B - SITE MGR	35,245.08	-	35,245.08	1,560.0	96.0	78.0	90.0	170.0	1,126.0	0.00%	. –	-
42. ALAM RAIHAN, ICASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	264.0	1,496.0	0.00%	· -	-
43. COOPER, S B - CASE MANAGER I	42,858.40	-	42,858.40	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	264.0	1,496.0	0.00%	-	-
44. COSBY, C R - 07 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	-	36,312.64	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	264.0	1,496.0	0.00%	-	-
45. DIEZ de ULZURI CASE MANAGER I	37,038.56	-	37,038.56	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	264.0	1,496.0	0.00%	-	-
46. EDWARDS, V M CASE MANAGER I	50,464.96	-	50,464.96	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	264.0	1,496.0	0.00%	-	-
47. GREEN, C - 071 CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	264.0	1,496.0	0.00%	-	-
48. HIBBERT, M S - CASE MANAGER I	40,728.48	-	40,728.48	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	264.0	1,496.0	0.00%	-	-
49. HILL-THOMPSO CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	264.0	1,496.0	0.00%	-	-
50. RODD, M B - 073 CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	264.0	1,496.0	0.00%	-	· _
51. SCHROEDER, NCASE MANAGER I	39,257.92	-	39,257.92	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	264.0	1,496.0	0.00%	-	_
52. SMITH, F D - 59 CASE MANAGER I	59,533.76	-	59,533.76	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	264.0	1,496.0	0.00%	-	-
53. SMITH, L K - 071 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	-	36,312.64	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	264.0	1,496.0	0.00%	· _	-
54. SMITH, R R - 59 CASE MANAGER I	42,494.40	-	42,494.40	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	264.0	1,496.0	0.00%	-	-
55. *VACANT (WELLCASE MANAGER I	34,731.84	-	34,731.84	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	264.0	1,496.0	0.00%	-	-
56. ATKINSON, R E CASE MANAGER II	46,743.84	· _	46,743.84	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	264.0	1,496.0	0.00%	-	-
57. BATISTA, M - 38 CASE MANAGER II	39,942.24	-	39,942.24	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	264.0	1,496.0	0.00%	. –	-
58. COLEMAN, A C SR SECY	33,648.16	-	33,648.16	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	170.0	1,590.0	0.00%	-	-
59. BARTHELEMY, ISR SVC AIDE	28,475.20	-	28,475.20	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	170.0	1,590.0	0.00%	-	-
60. CALHOUN, I - 07 SR SVC AIDE	44,293.60	-	44,293.60	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	170.0	1,590.0	0.00%	-	-
61. DHAITI, M - 7723 SR SVC AIDE	26,605.28	-	26,605.28	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	170.0	1,590.0	0.00%	· _	-
62 .PRIEDE, M G - 3 SR SVC AIDE	44,239.52	-	44,239.52	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	170.0	1,590.0	0.00%	-	-
DOSS ARRA OAA C2 UnitCost Worksheets		-09. Personnel Co							•			•

% Increased by:

		0%								Manager	nent & Gener	al Cost Pool
STAFE POSITION DESCRIPTION	CURRENT WAGES	PROPOSED INCREASE	PROPOSED BUDGET	GROSS AVAILABLE HOURS	HOLIDAY HOURS			OTHER NON- BILLABLE TIME	NET AVAILABLE HOURS	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST
63. UCEDA, J Z - 36 SR SVC AIDE	27,453.92		27,453.92	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	170.0	1,590.0	0.00%	-	
64. RICHARDSON, SR SVS OPER SUPV	84,876.48	-	84,876.48	•	96.0	104.0	120.0	170.0	1,590.0	83.51%	1,327.8	70,880.35
65. SERNA-YURKO SR SVS OPER SUPV	57,158.40	-	57,158.40	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	170.0	1,590.0	58.47%	929.7	33,420.52
66. LONGORIA, M CSR SVS QUAL ASR CD	68,144.96	-	68,144.96	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	170.0	1,590.0	70.46%	1,120.3	48,014.94
67. LITTLE, D N - 50 VOLUNTEER COORD	56,114.24	-	56,114.24	2,080.0	96.0	104.0	120.0	170.0	1,590.0	48.18%	766.1	27,035.84
TOTAL WAGES	2,662,713.04	-	2,662,713.04									634,547.20
PERCENTAGE OF WAGES			100.0%									23.8%
TOTAL HOURS PERCENTAGE OF HOURS									100,192.00 100.0%		18,653.1 18.6%	

		% Increased by:	5. S.		(1)			(5)			(6)	
		00	н. Н		A							
		0%			Adult Day C	are		Case Aid	1997) 1997 - 1997		ase Manage	ment
and the second second second second	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	% OF			% OF		WAGE	% OF		
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION		INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST
1. JORDAN, P - 07 ASST COMM CNTR MGR	34,798.40		34,798.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
2. AUSTIN, A - 073 ASST COMM CNTR MGR	32,485.44	-	32,485.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
3. VAN SICKLE, A ASST COMM CNTR MGR	28,986.88	-	28,986.88	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· -
4. BABB, K W - 070 CASEWORK SUPV	75,410.40	-	75,410.40	0.00%	· -	-	1.50%	23.9	1,131.16	6.83%	108.6	5,150.53
5. HERRING-RODCASEWORK SUPV	75,410.40	-	75,410.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	- ⁻	-	0.00%	-	-
6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV	53,651.52	-	53,651.52	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		· –	1.13%	18.0	606.26
7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC	28,995.20	. –	28,995.20	51.48%	818.5	14,926.73	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	°=
8. GOMEZ, P - 447 CLERICAL SPEC	27,520.48	-	27,520.48	0.00%	-		1.85%	29.4	509.13	2.05%	32.6	564.17
9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC	44,293.60	-	44,293.60	2.69%	42.8	1,191.50	5.19%	82.5	2,298.84	20.04%	318.6	8,876.44
10. SANTIBANEZ, GCLERICAL SPEC	26,958.88	-	26,958.88	0.47%	7.5	126.71	0.52%	8.3	140.19	9.48%	150.7	2,555.70
11. BLAKE, D L - 07 COMM CNTR MGR	42,542.24	-	42,542.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-
12. HORVATH, J R - COMM CNTR MGR	38,043.20	-	38,043.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR	37,770.72	-	37,770.72	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK	51,351.04	-	51,351.04	0.00%	-	· -	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
15. *VACANT (NGU) DATA PROC II	29,947.84	-	29,947.84	1.06%	16.9	317.45	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
16. MARTIN, F R - 0 DIR SR SVS	87,020.96	-	87,020.96	0.91%	14.5	791.89	0.00%	-	_ -	0.34%	5.4	295.87
17. *VACANT (HOR\FIN ANALYST I	44,000.32	-	44,000.32	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
18. MOORE, T L - 07 FIN ANALYST II	56,584.32	-	56,584.32	0.91%	14.5	514.92	0.00%	-	-	0.34%	5.4	192.39
19. BURNEY, B L - OFISCAL SPEC I	41,724.80	-	41,724.80	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	. –	-
20. NICOSIA, K D - (FISCAL SPEC I	29,248.96	-	29,248.96	0.00%	-	· –	0.00%	-	· -	2.07%	32.9	605.45
21. HORVATH GOM FISCAL SPEC III	47,409.44	-	47,409.44	2.33%	37.0	1,104.64	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	- 1
22. JOHNSTON, MILPN	36,670.40	-	36,670.40	89.53%	1,423.5	32,831.01	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-
23. STAMBAUGH, CL P N	35,776.00	-	35,776.00	89.53%	1,423.5	32,030.25	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
24. MCCULLOUGH, NUTRITN SVS COORD	45,999.20	-	45,999.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	· - [
25. CARON, H L - 07OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	28,932.80	-	28,932.80	0.00%	-	-	1.22%	18.3	352.98	0.00%	-	-
26. FLEMING, E C - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	37,427.52	· –	37,427.52	0.00%		-	5.29%	79.1	1,979.92	0.00%	-	-
27. GAMMIE, C C - COUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	30,906.72	-	30,906.72	0.00%	-	-	1.87%	28.0	577.96	0.00%	-	-
28. JOSEPH, S - 410 OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	28,932.80	-	28,932.80	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
29. HINOHOSA, S - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	29,248.96	-	29,248.96	0.00%	-	-	1.47%	22.0	429.96	0.00%	-	-
30. PACKWOOD, A OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	32,720.48	-	32,720.48	0.00%	-	-	2.28%	34.1	746.03	0.00%	-	-
31. PERRY-ATKINS, OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	46,502.56	- 1	46,502.56	0.00%	-	-	0.70%	10.5	325.52	0.00%	-	-
28. JOSEPH, S - 410 OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 29. HINOHOSA, S - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 30. PACKWOOD, A OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	28,932.80 29,248.96 32,720.48 46,502.56	- - - - -	28,932.80 29,248.96 32,720.48 46,502.56	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	- - -	- - - -	0.00% 1.47% 2.28%	- 22.0 34.1	- 429.96 746.03	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%		-

SIMPLIFIED UNIT COST METHODOLOGY PERSONNEL COSTS WORKSHEET

BUDGET YEAR: 6/1/09-9/30/10

BIDDER NAME: Palm Beach County Division of Senior Services

		% Increased by:	_		(1)			(5)			(6)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		0%			Adult Day C	are		Case Aid			ase Manage	ment
	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	% OF			% OF		WAGE	% OF		
POSITION DESCRIPTION	WAGES	INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST
32. PARSON, B J - QSECY	31,794.88	-	31,794.88	0.00%	_	-	0.00%	_	-	0.00%	-	-
33. BELISLE, W - 07 SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
34. CHIOFFE, M A - SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	0.00%	-	· –	0.00%	· –	-	0.00%	-	· -
35. FIDLER, H - 076 SITE MGR	22,969.44	-	22,969.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
36. LARSEN, J A - 0 SITE MGR	22,969.44	-	22,969.44	0.00%	-		0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
37. McDONALD, L BISITE MGR	21,740.16	-	21,740.16	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
38. PIGNATA, A - 07 SITE MGR	27,251.64	-	27,251.64	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· -	
39. ROBINSON, K M SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-
40. SNEED, I J - 071 SITE MGR	25,980.24	-	25,980.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
41. WESLEY, B B - (SITE MGR	35,245.08	-	35,245.08	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	- '	-
42. ALAM RAIHAN, CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%	-	-	3.75%		1,334.97	47.30%	707.6	16,838.42
43. COOPER, S B - (CASE MANAGER I	42,858.40	-	42,858.40	0.00%	-	· _	0.78%	11.7	334.30	95.42%	1,427.5	40,895.49
44. COSBY, C R - 07 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	-	36,312.64	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		· –	88.52%	1,324.3	32,143.95
45. DIEZ de ULZUR CASE MANAGER I	37,038.56	-	37,038.56	0.00%	-		0.37%		137.04	92.91%	1,389.9	34,412.53
46. EDWARDS, V M CASE MANAGER I	50,464.96	-	50,464.96	0.00%	-	-	0.19%	2.8	95.88	83.59%	1,250.5	42,183.66
47. GREEN, C - 071 CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%	-	-	0.64%	9.6	227.83	66.30%	991.8	23,602.27
48. HIBBERT, M S - CASE MANAGER I	40,728.48	-	40,728.48	0.00%	-	-	2.60%	38.9	1,058.94	69.12%	1,034.0	28,151.53
49. HILL-THOMPSO CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
50. RODD, M B - 07 CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	69.97%	1,046.8	24,908.76
51. SCHROEDER, N CASE MANAGER I	39,257.92	-	39,257.92	0.00%	-	· –	0.58%	8.7	227.70	83.44%	1,248.3	32,756.81
52. SMITH, F D - 590 CASE MANAGER I	59,533.76		59,533.76	0.00%	-	-	0.49%	7.3	291.72	90.41%	1,352.5	53,824.47
53. SMITH, L K - 071 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	-	36,312.64	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	91.68%	1,371.5	33,291.43
54. SMITH, R R - 59 CASE MANAGER I	42,494.40	-	42,494.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-		99.32%	1,485.8	42,205.44
55. *VACANT (WELLCASE MANAGER I	34,731.84	· –	34,731.84	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	94.74%	1,417.3	32,904.95
56. ATKINSON, R E CASE MANAGER II	46,743.84	-	46,743.84	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	97.20%	1,454.1	45,435.01
57. BATISTA, M - 38 CASE MANAGER II	39,942.24	-	39,942.24	0.00%	· –	-	0.05%	0.7	19.97	59.82%	894.9	23,893.45
58. COLEMAN, A C SR SECY	33,648.16	-	33,648.16	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	98.55%	1,566.9	33,160.26
59. BARTHELEMY, ISR SVC AIDE	28,475.20	-	28,475.20	89.53%	1,423.5	25,493.85	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· –
60. CALHOUN, I - 07 SR SVC AIDE	44,293.60	-	44,293.60	89.53%	1,423.5	39,656.06	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
61. DHAITI, M - 7723 SR SVC AIDE	26,605.28	-	26,605.28	89.53%	1,423.5	23,819.71	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
62 .PRIEDE, M G - 3 SR SVC AIDE	44,239.52	- C OO Demonad C	44,239.52	89.53%	1,423.5	39,607.64	0.00%	· -	-	0.00%	-	-

		% Increased by:			(1)			(5)			(6)	<u>-</u>
		0%			Adult Day C	are		Case Aid		C	ase Manage	ement
										ŀ		
	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	% OF			% OF		WAGE	% OF		
STAFE POSITION DESCRIPTION	the second s	INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST
63. UCEDA, J Z - 36 SR SVC AIDE	27,453.92	-	27,453.92	82.82%	1,316.8	22,737.34	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	_	
64. RICHARDSON, SR SVS OPER SUPV	84,876.48	-	84,876.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.64%	10.2	543.21
65. SERNA-YURKOVSR SVS OPER SUPV	57,158.40	-	57,158.40	17.72%	281.7	10,128.47	0.00%	. –	-	0.00%	-	-
66. LONGORIA, M CSR SVS QUAL ASR CD	68,144.96	-	68,144.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
67. LITTLE, D N - 50 VOLUNTEER COORD	56,114.24	-	56,114.24	0.00%	· -	-	0.00%	• –	. –	0.00%	-	-
TOTAL WAGES	2,662,713.04	-	2,662,713.04			245,278.15			12,220.01			559,998.43
PERCENTAGE OF WAGES			100.0%			9.2%			0.5%			21.0%
TOTAL HOURS					11,091.4			477.4			20,646.3	
PERCENTAGE OF HOURS					11.1%			0.5%			20.6%	

SIMPLIFIED UNIT COST METHODOLOGY

PERSONNEL COSTS WORKSHEET

BUDGET YEAR: 6/1/09-9/30/10

BIDDER NAME: Palm Beach County Division of Senior Services

		% Increased by:			(8)			(10)			(11)	
					0) I h		0-	navo ústo Mos	In C4
		0%			Chore		<u>.</u> 	Companionsh	nip		ngregate Mea	
Contraction and a second second second second	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE	% OF	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -	WAGE
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	WAGES	INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST
1. JORDAN, P - 07 ASST COMM CNTR MGR	34,798.40		34,798.40	0.00%		-	0.00%	_	-	0.00%		-
2. AUSTIN, A - 073 ASST COMM CNTR MGR	32,485.44	-	32,485.44	0.00%	-	. –	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
3. VAN SICKLE, A ASST COMM CNTR MGR	28,986.88	-	28,986.88	0.00%	·		0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	- 1
4. BABB, K W - 070 CASEWORK SUPV	75,410.40	· –	75,410.40	0.00%	-	-	2.17%	34.5	1,636.41	0.33%	5.2	248.85
5. HERRING-RODCASEWORK SUPV	75,410.40	-	75,410.40	0.00%	· -	-	0.00%	· -	-	0.00%	-	-
6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV	53,651.52	- 1	53,651.52	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC	28,995.20	-	28,995.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	. –
8. GOMEZ, P - 447 CLERICAL SPEC	27,520.48	-	27,520.48	0.47%	7.5	129.35	0.72%		198.15	0.00%	-	-
9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC	44,293.60	-	44,293.60	0.00%	-	-	4.05%		1,793.89	0.00%	-	-
10. SANTIBANEZ, GCLERICAL SPEC	26,958.88	-	26,958.88	0.00%	-	-	4.38%		1,180.80	0.52%	8.3	140.19
11. BLAKE, D L - 07≸COMM CNTR MGR	42,542.24	· -	42,542.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
12. HORVATH, J R - COMM CNTR MGR	38,043.20	-	38,043.20	0.00%	-		0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR	37,770.72	-	37,770.72	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK	51,351.04	-	51,351.04	0.22%	3.5	112.97	3.32%		1,704.85	0.00%	-	-
15. *VACANT (NGU) DATA PROC II	29,947.84	-	29,947.84	0.22%	3.5	65.89	3.73%		1,117.05	0.00%	-	-
16. MARTIN, F R - 0 DIR SR SVS	87,020.96	-	87,020.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
17. *VACANT (HOR) FIN ANALYST I	44,000.32	-	44,000.32	0.00%	-	-	5.41%		2,380.42	0.00%	-	-
18. MOORE, T L - 07 FIN ANALYST II	56,584.32	-	56,584.32	0.00%	-	-	0.00%			0.00%	· –	-
19. BURNEY, B L - 0 FISCAL SPEC I	41,724.80	-	41,724.80	0.00%	-	-	1.41%		588.32	17.61%	280.0	7,347.74
20. NICOSIA, K D - (FISCAL SPEC I	29,248.96	-	29,248.96	0.00%	-	-	3.10%		906.72	0.00%	-	-
21. HORVATH GOM FISCAL SPEC III	47,409.44	-	47,409.44	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
22. JOHNSTON, M KL P N	36,670.40	-	36,670.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%		-
23. STAMBAUGH, CL P N	35,776.00	-	35,776.00	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
24. MCCULLOUGH, NUTRITN SVS COORD	45,999.20	-	45,999.20	0.00%	-		0.00%		-	43.92%	698.3	20,202.85
25. CARON, H L - 07OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	28,932.80	-	28,932.80	0.00%	-	-	0.00%			0.00%	-	-
26. FLEMING, E C - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	37,427.52	-	37,427.52	0.00%		-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
27. GAMMIE, C C - COUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	30,906.72	-	30,906.72	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
28. JOSEPH, S - 410 OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	28,932.80	-	28,932.80	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
29. HINOHOSA, S - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	29,248.96	-	29,248.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
30. PACKWOOD, A OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	32,720.48	-	32,720.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
31. PERRY-ATKINS, OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	46,502.56	-	46,502.56	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-

		% Increased by:	. -		(8)			(10)			(11)	
		0%			Chore	n Artes and	C	Companionsh	nip	Co	ngregate Meal	s C1
	1997 - Million Million (* 1997)											
	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	WAGES	INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST
32. PARSON, B J - OSECY	31,794.88		31,794.88	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-
33. BELISLE, W - 07 SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	60.00%	675.6	13,532.69
34. CHIOFFE, M A - SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	0.00%		· <u>-</u>	0.00%		· <u>-</u>	97.80%	1,101.2	22,058.28
35. FIDLER, H - 076 SITE MGR	22,969.44	- -	22,969.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	100.00%	1,126.0	22,969.44
36. LARSEN, J A - 0 SITE MGR	22,969.44	-	22,969.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		. 	96.70%	1,088.8	22,211.45
37. McDONALD, L B SITE MGR	21,740.16	-	21,740.16	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	- .	-	98.33%	1,107.2	21,377.10
38. PIGNATA, A - 07 SITE MGR	27,251.64	-	27,251.64	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	95.33%	1,073.4	25,978.99
39. ROBINSON, K M SITE MGR	22,554.48		22,554.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	99.16%	1,116.5	22,365.02
40. SNEED, I J - 071 SITE MGR	25,980.24	-	25,980.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	98.33%	1,107.2	25,546.37
41. WESLEY, B B - (SITE MGR	35,245.08	· –	35,245.08	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	99.17%	1,116.7	34,952.55
42. ALAM RAIHAN, I CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	· -	35,599.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
43. COOPER, S B - CASE MANAGER I	42,858.40	-	42,858.40	0.00%	-	- 1	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· _
44. COSBY, C R - 07 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	-	36,312.64	0.00%	· -	-	0.00%	· -	-	0.00%	-	-
45. DIEZ de ULZURI CASE MANAGER I	37,038.56	_	37,038.56	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	- 1
46. EDWARDS, V M CASE MANAGER I	50,464.96	-	50,464.96	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	_	-	0.00%	-	-
47. GREEN, C - 071 CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%	- -	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
48. HIBBERT, M S - CASE MANAGER I	40,728.48	-	40,728.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
49. HILL-THOMPSO CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%	· _	-	0.00%		· · · -	0.00%	· _	-
50. RODD, M B - 073 CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	—	0.00%	-	-
51. SCHROEDER, NCASE MANAGER I	39,257.92	-	39,257.92	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	_ 1	0.00%	-	-
52. SMITH, F D - 59 CASE MANAGER I	59,533.76	-	59,533.76	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· _
53. SMITH, L K - 071 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	-	36,312.64	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-
54. SMITH, R R - 59 CASE MANAGER I	42,494.40	-	42,494.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
55. *VACANT (WELLCASE MANAGER I	34,731.84	-	34,731.84	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· _	-	0.00%	_	· –
56. ATKINSON, R E CASE MANAGER II	46,743.84	. –	46,743.84	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	_	0.00%	-	-
57. BATISTA, M - 38 CASE MANAGER II	39,942.24		39,942.24	0.00%	<u> -</u>	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		- 1
58. COLEMAN, A C SR SECY	33,648.16	-	33,648.16	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· –	0.00%	-	-
59. BARTHELEMY, USR SVC AIDE	28,475.20	-	28,475.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· _		0.00%	-	-
60. CALHOUN, I - 07 SR SVC AIDE	44,293.60	-	44,293.60	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
61. DHAITI, M - 7723 SR SVC AIDE	26,605.28	-	26,605.28	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
62 .PRIEDE, M G - 3 SR SVC AIDE	44,239.52	-	44,239.52	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
DOSS ARRA OAA C2 UnitCost Worksheets	2009-04-24 6-16	3-09 Personnel Co	osts Worksheet			•				•		

	% Increased by:			(8)				(10)		(11)		
		0%			Chore	n de la composition d Composition de la composition de la comp	Ċ	Companionsh	nip	Co	ngregate Mea	uls C1
And Andrews Constant and Andrews Constant and	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	WAGES	INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST
63. UCEDA, J Z - 36 SR SVC AIDE	27,453.92		27,453.92	0.00%	-	- 1	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-
64. RICHARDSON, SR SVS OPER SUPV	84,876.48	-	84,876.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.74%	11.8	628.09
65. SERNA-YURKOVSR SVS OPER SUPV	57,158.40	-	57,158.40	0.00%	. –	-	0.00%	_	-	0.00%	-	· -
66. LONGORIA, M GSR SVS QUAL ASR CD	68,144.96	-	68,144.96	1.69%	26.9	1,151.65	4.05%	64.4	2,759.87	0.00%	-	-
67. LITTLE, D N - 50 VOLUNTEER COORD	56,114.24	-	56,114.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	- [
TOTAL WAGES	2,662,713.04	-	2,662,713.04			1,459.85			14,266.48			239,559.60
PERCENTAGE OF WAGES			100.0%			0.1%			0.5%			9.0%
TOTAL HOURS					41.3			514.2			10,516.3	
PERCENTAGE OF HOURS					0.0%			0.5%			10.5%	ł

SIMPLIFIED UNIT COST METHODOLOGY PERSONNEL COSTS WORKSHEET BUDGET YEAR: 6/1/09-9/30/10

BIDDER NAME: Palm Beach County Division of Senior Services

	% Increased by:				(14)			(17)				
		0%			unseling (M ealth/Screer		Emerge	ncy Alert Re	sponse		Escort	
		State Carde States										
	CUIDDENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	CURRENT WAGES	INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST
	and a second		Construction of the second		HIVOINIT	0031			0031			0031
1. JORDAN, P - 07 ASST COMM CNTR MGR	34,798.40	-	34,798.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
2. AUSTIN, A - 073 ASST COMM CNTR MGR	32,485.44	-	32,485.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
3. VAN SICKLE, A ASST COMM CNTR MGR	28,986.88	-	28,986.88	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	- [
4. BABB, KW - 070 CASEWORK SUPV	75,410.40	-	75,410.40	1.00%	15.9	754.10	1.00%	15.9	754.10	0.00%	-	- [
5. HERRING-RODCASEWORK SUPV	75,410.40	-	75,410.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV	53,651.52	-	53,651.52	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		· -	0.00%	-	-
7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC	28,995.20	-	28,995.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	
8. GOMEZ, P - 447 CLERICAL SPEC	27,520.48	-	27,520.48	0.00%	-	-	1.12%	17.8	308.23	0.10%	1.6	27.52
9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC	44,293.60	-	44,293.60	0.00%	-	-	0.83%	13.2	367.64	0.00%	-	-
10. SANTIBANEZ, GCLERICAL SPEC	26,958.88	-	26,958.88	0.31%	4.9	83.57	2.60%	41.3	700.93	0.00%	-	· -
11. BLAKE, D L - 07 COMM CNTR MGR	42,542.24	-	42,542.24	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
12. HORVATH, J R -COMM CNTR MGR	38,043.20	-	38,043.20	0.00%	· -	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR	37,770.72	-	37,770.72	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK	51,351.04	-	51,351.04	0.00%	-	·	1.00%	15.9	513.51	0.00%	-	
15. *VACANT (NGU) DATA PROC II	29,947.84	-	29,947.84	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	• -	-	0.00%	-	-
16. MARTIN, F R - 0 DIR SR SVS	87,020.96	-	87,020.96	0.00%	.	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
17. *VACANT (HOR\FIN ANALYST I	44,000.32	-	44,000.32	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	- 1
18. MOORE, T L - 07 FIN ANALYST II	56,584.32	-	56,584.32	0.00%	· •	-	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-
19. BURNEY, B L - OFISCAL SPEC I	41,724.80	-	41,724.80	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· –	0.00%	-	. –
20. NICOSIA, K D - FISCAL SPEC I	29,248.96	-	29,248.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%			0.00%	• –	-
21. HORVATH GOM FISCAL SPEC III	47,409.44	-	47,409.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	
22. JOHNSTON, M KL P N	36,670.40	-	36,670.40	0.00%	· · · -	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
23. STAMBAUGH, CL P N	35,776.00	-	35,776.00	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
24. MCCULLOUGH, NUTRITN SVS COORD	45,999.20	-	45,999.20	0.00%	· –	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	• –	- 1
25. CARON, H L - 07OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	28,932.80	-	28,932.80	0.00%	-		0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-
26. FLEMING, E C - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	37,427.52	- · ·	37,427.52	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	- '	-	0.00%	-	-
27. GAMMIE, C C - COUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	30,906.72	-	30,906.72	0.00%	-	<u>-</u>	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
28. JOSEPH, S - 410OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	28,932.80	-	28,932.80	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
29. HINOHOSA, S - (OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	29,248.96	-	29,248.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	- 1	0.00%	-	-
30. PACKWOOD, A OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	32,720.48	-	32,720.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· _		0.00%	-	-
31. PERRY-ATKINS, OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	46,502.56	-	46,502.56	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
				•		-						•

SIMPLIFIED UNIT COST METHODOLOGY PERSONNEL COSTS WORKSHEET BUDGET YEAR: 6/1/09-9/30/10

BIDDER NAME: Palm Beach County Division of Senior Services

	% Increased by:				(14)			(17)		(18)			
	0%				unseling (M ealth/Screer		Emerge	ency Alert Re	esponse		Escort		
and the second	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	% OF	an a	WAGE	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE	
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	WAGES	INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	
32. PARSON, B J - OSECY	31,794.88	-	31,794.88	0.00%		· –	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-		
33. BELISLE, W - 07 SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· _	-	0.00%	-	-	
34. CHIOFFE, MA - SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	· _	-	
35. FIDLER, H - 076 SITE MGR	22,969.44	-	22,969.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
36. LARSEN, J A - 0 SITE MGR	22,969.44	-	22,969.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
37. McDONALD, L B SITE MGR	21,740.16	-	21,740.16	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
38. PIGNATA, A - 07 SITE MGR	27,251.64	-	27,251.64	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
39. ROBINSON, K M SITE MGR	22,554.48		22,554.48	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
40. SNEED, I J - 071 SITE MGR	25,980.24	-	25,980.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
41. WESLEY, B B - (SITE MGR	35,245.08	-	35,245.08	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
42. ALAM RAIHAN, I CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	. –	-	0.00%		-	
43. COOPER, S B - CASE MANAGER I	42,858.40	-	42,858.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
44. COSBY, C R - 07 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	-	36,312.64	0.00%	· _	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
45. DIEZ de ULZURI CASE MANAGER I	37,038.56	-	37,038.56	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-	
46. EDWARDS, V M CASE MANAGER I	50,464.96	· -	50,464.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	_	-	0.00%	-	-	
47. GREEN, C - 071 CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
48. HIBBERT, M S - CASE MANAGER I	40,728.48	-	40,728.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	_ ·	-	0.00%	-	-	
49. HILL-THOMPSO CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%	· _	-	0.00%	· _	-	0.00%	· _	-	
50. RODD, M B - 073CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%	- '	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
51. SCHROEDER, NCASE MANAGER I	39,257.92	-	39,257.92	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	_	0.00%	-	-	
52. SMITH, F D - 59 CASE MANAGER I	59,533.76	-	59,533.76	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
53. SMITH, L K - 071CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	-	36,312.64	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	
54. SMITH, R R - 59 CASE MANAGER I	42,494.40	-	42,494.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
55. *VACANT (WELLCASE MANAGER I	34,731.84	-	34,731.84	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
56. ATKINSON, R E CASE MANAGER II	46,743.84	-	46,743.84	0.00%	-	. .	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	-	-	
57. BATISTA, M - 38 CASE MANAGER II	39,942.24	•	39,942.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· –	-	0.00%	-	· -	
58. COLEMAN, A C SR SECY	33,648.16	-	33,648.16	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· -	0.00%	-	-	
59. BARTHELEMY, ISR SVC AIDE	28,475.20	-	28,475.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
60. CALHOUN, I - 07SR SVC AIDE	44,293.60	-	44,293.60	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
61. DHAITI, M - 7723SR SVC AIDE	26,605.28	-	26,605.28	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
62 .PRIEDE, M G - 3 SR SVC AIDE	44,239.52	-	44,239.52	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
DOSS ARRA OAA C2 UnitCost Worksheets	2009-04-24 6-16	S-09 Personnel C	osts Worksheet				-		•	-		•	

	% Increased by:				(14)			(17)				
	1	Counseling (Mental Health/Screening)			Emerge	ncy Alert Re	sponse					
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	Second s second second s second second se Second second se Second second sec	PROPOSED INCREASE	PROPOSED BUDGET	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST
63. UCEDA, J Z - 36 SR SVC AIDE 64. RICHARDSON, SR SVS OPER SUPV 65. SERNA-YURKOVSR SVS OPER SUPV	27,453.92 84,876.48	-	27,453.92 84,876.48 57,158.40	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	-	-	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	-	- -	0.00% 0.00% 0.00%	-	-
66. LONGORIA, M GSR SVS OPER SOPV 67. LITTLE, D N - 50 VOLUNTEER COORD	57,158.40 68,144.96 56,114.24	-	68,144.96 56,114.24	0.00% 0.00%	-	-	0.00% 0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
TOTAL WAGES PERCENTAGE OF WAGES	2,662,713.04	-	2,662,713.04 100.0%			837.68 0.0%			2,644.41 0.1%	-		27.52 0.0%
TOTAL HOURS PERCENTAGE OF HOURS					20.8 0.0%			104.1 0.1%	- - -		1.6 0.0%	

	% Increased by:				(26)			(29)		(30)			
		0%		Home	Delivered M	eals C2		Homemake	-	Hous	ing Improve	ment	
		0.0								11000			
	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE	
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	WAGES	INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	
1. JORDAN, P - 07 ASST COMM CNTR MGR	34,798.40	-	34,798.40	0.00%	. –	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
2. AUSTIN, A - 073 ASST COMM CNTR MGR	32,485.44	-	32,485.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
3. VAN SICKLE, A ASST COMM CNTR MGR	28,986.88	-	28,986.88	0.00%	· -	-	0.00%	· · · -	-	0.00%	-	-	
4. BABB, K W - 070 CASEWORK SUPV	75,410.40	-	75,410.40	4.83%	76.8	3,642.32	4.50%	71.6	3,393.47	0.00%	-	-	
5. HERRING-ROD¢CASEWORK SUPV	75,410.40	-	75,410.40	0.00%	-	. –	0.66%	10.5	497.71	0.00%	-	·	
6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV	53,651.52	-	53,651.52	0.45%	7.2	241.43	1.13%	18.0	606.26	0.00%	-	-	
7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC	28,995.20	-	28,995.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-	
8. GOMEZ, P - 447 CLERICAL SPEC	27,520.48	-	27,520.48	2.04%	32.4	561.42	1.59%	25.3	437.58	0.00%	-		
9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC	44,293.60	-	44,293.60	3.43%	54.5	1,519.27	14.02%	222.9	6,209.96	0.00%	-	-	
10. SANTIBANEZ, GCLERICAL SPEC	26,958.88	-	26,958.88	3.96%	63.0	1,067.57	4.89%	77.8	1,318.29	0.00%		-	
11. BLAKE, D L - 07 COMM CNTR MGR	42,542.24	-	42,542.24	0.00%		-	0.00%	· -	-	0.00%		-	
12. HORVATH, J R COMM CNTR MGR	38,043.20	-	38,043.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· –	0.00%	-	-	
13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR	37,770.72	-	37,770.72	0.00%	_	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK	51,351.04	-	51,351.04	0.00%	- "	-	25.00%	397.5	12,837.76	0.00%	-	-	
15. *VACANT (NGU) DATA PROC II	29,947.84	-	29,947.84	1.92%	30.5	575.00	4.47%	71.1	1,338.67	0.00%	-	-	
16. MARTIN, F R - 0 DIR SR SVS	87,020.96	-	87,020.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-		
17. *VACANT (HOR FIN ANALYST I	44,000.32	· -	44,000.32	0.00%	-	-	9.07%	144.2	3,990.83	0.00%	-	-	
18. MOORE, T L - 07 FIN ANALYST II	56,584.32	· _	56,584.32	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
19. BURNEY, B L - OFISCAL SPEC I	41,724.80	-	41,724.80	12.68%	201.6	5,290.70	9.15%	145.5	3,817.82	0.00%	-	· _	
20. NICOSIA, K D - OFISCAL SPEC I	29,248.96	· _	29,248.96	0.00%	-	-	9.65%	153.4	2,822.52	0.00%	_	-	
21. HORVATH GOM FISCAL SPEC III	47,409.44	-	47,409.44	0.00%	-	- 1	3.76%	59.8	1,782.59	0.00%	-		
22. JOHNSTON, M KL P N	36,670.40	-	36,670.40	0.00%	· · · _	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	- .	· _	
23. STAMBAUGH, CL P N	35,776.00	-	35,776.00	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	_ '	0.00%	-	-	
24. MCCULLOUGH, NUTRITN SVS COORD	45,999.20	-	45,999.20	25.46%	404.8	11,711.40	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	_	- 1	
25. CARON, H L - 07 OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	28,932.80	-	28,932.80	0.00%	-	_	0.00%	-	<u>_</u>	0.00%	-	-	
26. FLEMING, E C - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	37,427.52	_	37,427.52	0.00%	-	_ ·	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
27. GAMMIE, C C - COUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	30,906.72	_	30,906.72	0.00%	-	_	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	_	
28. JOSEPH, S - 410 OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	28,932.80	-	28,932.80	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	_	0.00%	-	-	
29. HINOHOSA, S - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	29,248.96	-	29,248.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	_	0.00%	-	_ 1	
30. PACKWOOD, A OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	32,720.48	-	32,720.48	0.00%	-	_	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
31. PERRY-ATKINS, OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	46,502.56	-	46,502.56	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	_	0.00%	-	_	
DOSS ARRA OAA C2 UnitCost Morkshoots		COL Demonstral C		0.0070			0.0070		ſ	0.0070		l l	

SIMPLIFIED UNIT COST METHODOLOGY PERSONNEL COSTS WORKSHEET BUDGET YEAR: 6/1/09-9/30/10

BIDDER NAME: Palm Beach County Division of Senior Services

	% Increased by:			(26)				(29)		(30)			
							·						
		0%		Home	Delivered M	leals C2		Homemaker	r	Housi	ng Improve	ment	
			1. 10 M								<u> </u>		
	CURRENT	PROPOSED	POSED	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE	
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	WAGES	An example of the second se	JPOSED IDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	
32. PARSON, B J - 0 SECY	31,794.88		31,794.88	0.00%	_		0.00%			0.00%	-		
33. BELISLE, W - 07 SITE MGR	22,554.48		22,554.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· _		0.00%	-	-	
34. CHIOFFE, M A - SITE MGR	22,554.48		22,554.48	0.00%	· •	. _	0.00%	· _	-	0.00%	-	_	
35. FIDLER, H - 076 SITE MGR	22,969.44		22,969.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
36. LARSEN, J A - 0 SITE MGR	22,969.44		22,969.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	_	-	0.00%	-		
37. McDONALD, L B SITE MGR	21,740.16		21,740.16	0.00%	-	_	0.00%	_ **	-	0.00%	_	-	
38. PIGNATA, A - 07 SITE MGR	27,251.64		27,251.64	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	_	-	
39. ROBINSON, K MSITE MGR	22,554.48		22,554.48	0.00%	-	. –	0.00%	-	_	0.00%	-	-	
40. SNEED, I J - 071 SITE MGR	25,980.24		25,980.24	0.00%	· _	-	0.00%	-	_	0.00%	-	-	
41. WESLEY, B B - (SITE MGR	35,245.08		35,245.08	0.00%	· –	· _	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	_	-	
42. ALAM RAIHAN, ICASE MANAGER I	35,599.20		35,599.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
43. COOPER, S B - (CASE MANAGER I	42,858.40		42,858.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
44. COSBY, C R - 07 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64		36,312.64	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
45. DIEZ de ULZURFCASE MANAGER I	37,038.56		37,038.56	0.00%	· _	-	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-	
46. EDWARDS, V M CASE MANAGER I	50,464.96		50,464.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
47. GREEN, C - 071 CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	- 3	35,599.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-	
48. HIBBERT, M S - CASE MANAGER I	40,728.48	- 4	10,728.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
49. HILL-THOMPSO CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	- 3	35,599.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	• –	-	0.00%	-	-	
50. RODD, M B - 073CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	- 3	35,599.20	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	- ·	
51. SCHROEDER, NCASE MANAGER I	39,257.92	- 3	39,257.92	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
52. SMITH, F D - 59 CASE MANAGER I	59,533.76	5	59,533.76	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
53. SMITH, L K - 071 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	- 3	36,312.64	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	-	-	
54. SMITH, R R - 59 CASE MANAGER I	42,494.40	- 4	2,494.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
55. *VACANT (WELLCASE MANAGER I	34,731.84	- 3	34,731.84	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
56. ATKINSON, R E CASE MANAGER II	46,743.84	_ 4	6,743.84	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
57. BATISTA, M - 38 CASE MANAGER II	39,942.24	- 3	39,942.24	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	· _	-	0.00%		·-	
58. COLEMAN, A C SR SECY	33,648.16	- 3	3,648.16	0.00%	-	_	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	-	-	
59. BARTHELEMY, ISR SVC AIDE	28,475.20	- 2	28,475.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	- .	-	
60. CALHOUN, I - 07 SR SVC AIDE	44,293.60	- 4	4,293.60	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
61. DHAITI, M - 7723SR SVC AIDE	26,605.28	- 2	26,605.28	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
62 .PRIEDE, M G - 3 SR SVC AIDE	44,239.52	- 4	4,239.52	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	

SIMPLIFIED UNIT COST METHODOLOGY PERSONNEL COSTS WORKSHEET BUDGET YEAR: 6/1/09-9/30/10

BIDDER NAME: Palm Beach County Division of Senior Services

	% Increased by:				(26)			(29)			(30)		
	0%				Home Delivered Meals C2			Homemake	r gar	Housing Improvement			
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	CURRENT WAGES	PROPOSED INCREASE	PROPOSED BUDGET	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST	
63. UCEDA, J Z - 36 SR SVC AIDE	27,453.92	-	27,453.92	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
64. RICHARDSON, \$SR SVS OPER SUPV	84,876.48	-	84,876.48	0.00%		-	0.11%	1.7	93.36	1.00%	15.9	848.76	
65. SERNA-YURKOVSR SVS OPER SUPV	57,158.40	-	57,158.40	0.00%		-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-	
66. LONGORIA, M CSR SVS QUAL ASR CD	68,144.96	-	68,144.96	7.32%		4,988.21	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
67. LITTLE, D N - 50 VOLUNTEER COORD	56,114.24	-	56,114.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
TOTAL WAGES	2,662,713.04	-	2,662,713.04			29,597.32			39,146.83			848.76	
PERCENTAGE OF WAGES			100.0%			1.1%			1.5%			0.0%	
TOTAL HOURS PERCENTAGE OF HOURS					987.2 1.0%			1,399.2 1.4%			15.9 0.0%		

SIMPLIFIED UNIT COST METHODOLOGY PERSONNEL COSTS WORKSHEET

BUDGET YEAR: 6/1/09-9/30/10

BIDDER NAME: Palm Beach County Division of Senior Services

	% Increased by:				(33)			(38)		(39)			
												:	
		0%		Inter	oreter/Trans	lating	Nutri	ition Counse	eling	Nut	rition Educat	ion	
								n frakkradi och soliki Staal – Staal Straug Staal – Staal					
Address of the second	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	% OF	1997) 1997 - Maria Maria	WAGE	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE	
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	WAGES	INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	
1. JORDAN, P - 07 ASST COMM CNTR MGR	34,798.40		34,798.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%		-	
2. AUSTIN, A - 073 ASST COMM CNTR MGR	32,485.44	-	32,485.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
3. VAN SICKLE, A ASST COMM CNTR MGR	28,986.88	- .	28,986.88	0.00%		· _	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
4. BABB, K W - 070 CASEWORK SUPV	75,410.40	• –	75,410.40	0.00%	-	-,	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
5. HERRING-ROD CASEWORK SUPV	75,410.40	· -	75,410.40	0.00%	· _	-	0.00%	· · _	-	0.00%	-	-	
6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV	53,651.52	-	53,651.52	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-	
7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC	28,995.20	-	28,995.20	0.00%	_	-	0.00%	-	- '	0.00%	-	-	
8. GOMEZ, P - 447 CLERICAL SPEC	27,520.48	-	27,520.48	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC	44,293.60	-	44,293.60	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-		
10. SANTIBANEZ, GCLERICAL SPEC	26,958.88	-	26,958.88	0.00%	. –	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
11. BLAKE, D L - 078COMM CNTR MGR	42,542.24		42,542.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
12. HORVATH, J R - COMM CNTR MGR	38,043.20	· _	38,043.20	0.00%	-	· · · ·	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR	37,770.72	-	37,770.72	0.00%	- .	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK	51,351.04	-	51,351.04	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
15. *VACANT (NGU) DATA PROC II	29,947.84	-	29,947.84	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· _ `	-	0.00%	-	-	
16. MARTIN, F R - 0 DIR SR SVS	87,020.96	-	87,020.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
17. *VACANT (HOR FIN ANALYST I	44,000.32	-	44,000.32	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· -	
18. MOORE, T L - 07 FIN ANALYST II	56,584.32	-	56,584.32	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	- -	-	0.00%	· _	-	
19. BURNEY, B L - OFISCAL SPEC I	41,724.80	· _ ·	41,724.80	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· –	0.00%	-	-	
20. NICOSIA, K D - FISCAL SPEC I	29,248.96	-	29,248.96	2.80%	44.5	818.97	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-	
21. HORVATH GOM FISCAL SPEC III	47,409.44	-	47,409.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
22. JOHNSTON, M KL P N	36,670.40	-	36,670.40	0.00%	· · · ·	· -	0.00%	-	· -	0.00%	-	-	
23. STAMBAUGH, CL P N	35,776.00	- ·	35,776.00	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
24. MCCULLOUGH, NUTRITN SVS COORD	45,999.20	-	45,999.20	0.00%	-		0.10%	1.6	46.00	0.10%	1.6	46.00	
25. CARON, H L - 07OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	28,932.80	-	28,932.80	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
26. FLEMING, E C - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	37,427.52	-	37,427.52	0.00%	· –	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
27. GAMMIE, C C - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	30,906.72	-	30,906.72	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· · -	0.00%	-	-	
28. JOSEPH, S - 41(OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	28,932.80	-	28,932.80	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
29. HINOHOSA, S - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	29,248.96	-	29,248.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
30. PACKWOOD, A OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	32,720.48	-	32,720.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	
31. PERRY-ATKINS, OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	46,502.56	-	46,502.56	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	

SIMPLIFIED UNIT COST METHODOLOGY PERSONNEL COSTS WORKSHEET BUDGET YEAR: 6/1/09-9/30/10

BIDDER NAME: Palm Beach County Division of Senior Services

	% Increased by:			(33)				(38)	-	(39)		
									-			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0%		Inter	oreter/Trans	lating	Nutri	tion Counse	eling	Nutr	ition Educa	tion
and the second	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	WAGES	INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST
32. PARSON, B J - QSECY	31,794.88	_	31,794.88	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-
33. BELISLE, W - 07 SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
34. CHIOFFE, M A - SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	0.00%		-	0.00%	·	-	2.20%	24.8	496.20
35. FIDLER, H - 076 SITE MGR	22,969.44	-	22,969.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
36. LARSEN, JA - 0 SITE MGR	22,969.44	-	22,969.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· · _	-	3.30%	37.2	757.99
37. McDONALD, L B SITE MGR	21,740.16	-	21,740.16	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	- .	-	1.67%	18.8	363.06
38. PIGNATA, A - 07 SITE MGR	27,251.64	· –	27,251.64	3.00%	33.8	817.55	0.00%	-	-	1.67%	18.8	455.10
39. ROBINSON, K MSITE MGR	22,554.48	- .	22,554.48	0.00%	-		0.00%	· _	-	0.84%	9.5	189.46
40. SNEED, I J - 071 SITE MGR	25,980.24	-	25,980.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	1.67%	18.8	433.87
41. WESLEY, B B - SITE MGR	35,245.08	-	35,245.08	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-		0.83%	9.3	292.53
42. ALAM RAIHAN, CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	1.00%	15.0	355.99	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	
43. COOPER, S B - (CASE MANAGER I	42,858.40	-	42,858.40	0.00%	-	- 1	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· _	- 1
44. COSBY, C R - 07 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	. –	36,312.64	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
45. DIEZ de ULZURI CASE MANAGER I	37,038.56	-	37,038.56	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	- 1
46. EDWARDS, V M CASE MANAGER I	50,464.96	-	50,464.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· _	-	0.00%	-	-
47. GREEN, C - 071 CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	. -	35,599.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
48. HIBBERT, M S - CASE MANAGER I	40,728.48	-	40,728.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
49. HILL-THOMPSO CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	_	-	0.00%	· -	-
50. RODD, M B - 07 CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· -
51. SCHROEDER, NCASE MANAGER I	39,257.92	- .	39,257.92	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	- [
52. SMITH, F D - 590 CASE MANAGER I	59,533.76	-	59,533.76	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
53. SMITH, L K - 071 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	-	36,312.64	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	. –
54. SMITH, R R - 59 CASE MANAGER I	42,494.40	-	42,494.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
55. *VACANT (WELLCASE MANAGER I	34,731.84	-	34,731.84	0.00%	· _	-	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	· _	-
56. ATKINSON, R E CASE MANAGER II	46,743.84	-	46,743.84	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
57. BATISTA, M - 38 CASE MANAGER II	39,942.24	-	39,942.24	0.00%	-		0.00%	- "	-	0.00%	-	-
58. COLEMAN, A C SR SECY	33,648.16	-	33,648.16	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
59. BARTHELEMY, ISR SVC AIDE	28,475.20	-	28,475.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
60. CALHOUN, I - 07 SR SVC AIDE	44,293.60	-	44,293.60	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
61. DHAITI, M - 7723SR SVC AIDE	26,605.28	-	26,605.28	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
62 .PRIEDE, M G - 3 SR SVC AIDE	44,239.52	-	44,239.52	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	- 1
DOSS ARRA OAA C2 UnitCost Worksheets		6-09 Personnel Co	osts Worksheet			•			•			

		% Increased by:			(33)			(38)			(39)	
		0%		Inter	preter/Transl	lating	Nutr	tion Counse	ling	Nut	rition Educa	tion
	and the second				ta ta serie a construction Alternational de la construction de							
	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE	% OF	1	WAGE
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	the second s	INCREASE	and the first spinster of the state of the s	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST
63. UCEDA, J Z - 36 SR SVC AIDE	27,453.92	-	27,453.92	0.00%	· _	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-
64. RICHARDSON, \$SR SVS OPER SUPV	84,876.48	-	84,876.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
65. SERNA-YURKO SR SVS OPER SUPV	57,158.40	-	57,158.40	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-	0.00%		. –
66. LONGORIA, M CSR SVS QUAL ASR CD	68,144.96	-	68,144.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
67. LITTLE, D N - 50 VOLUNTEER COORD	56,114.24	-	56,114.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-
TOTAL WAGES	2,662,713.04	•.	2,662,713.04			1,992.51			46.00			3,034.21
PERCENTAGE OF WAGES			100.0%			0.1%			0.0%			0.1%
TOTAL HOURS					93.3			1.6			138.7	a
PERCENTAGE OF HOURS					0.1%			0.0%			0.1%	

SIMPLIFIED UNIT COST METHODOLOGY

PERSONNEL COSTS WORKSHEET

BUDGET YEAR: 6/1/09-9/30/10

BIDDER NAME: Palm Beach County Division of Senior Services

ACCENTION POSITION DESCRIPTION WAGES INCREASE BIDGETS TIME HR/UNIT COST TIME <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>% Increased by:</th><th></th><th></th><th>(41)</th><th></th><th></th><th>(42)</th><th></th><th></th><th>(43)</th><th></th></th<>			% Increased by:			(41)			(42)			(43)	
Average Output													
Average OWAGE OWAGE PROPOSED PROPOSED PROPOSED WAGE			004			or: (PELL	E E) 100		Outroach			Pareonal Ca	
ACCITENCE POSITION DESCRIPTION WAGES INCREASE BUDGET TIME HR/UNIT COST TIME			0%		Ul		E.F.J		Outreach			rersonal Cal	e
ACCITENCE POSITION DESCRIPTION WAGES INCREASE BUDGET TIME HR/UNIT COST TIME								•					
1. JORDAN, P - 07/ASST COMM CNTR MGR 34,798.40 - 34,798.40 0.00% - - 0.00%	and approximate where the standard strategy and the	and the second	the second s	The second s								5- E	WAGE
2. AUSTIN, Å - 073 ASST COMM CNTR MGR 32,485.44 - 32,485.44 0.00% - - 0.00%	STAFE POSITION DESCRIPTION	WAGES	INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST
3. VAN SICKLE, A ASST COMM CNTR MGR 28,986.88 - 28,986.88 0.00% - - 0.00%	1. JORDAN, P - 07 ASST COMM CNTR MGR	34,798.40	-	34,798.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	+	0.00%	-	- `
4. BABB, KW - 070 CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 10.50% 167.0 7,918.09 3.64% 57.9 2,744.94 1.50% 23.9 1,13 5. HERRING-RODCASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 1.32% 21.0 99 6. LAMPI, TB - 077 CASEWORK SUPV 53,651.52 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 1.05% 1.67.0 7.918.09 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - </td <td>2. AUSTIN, A - 073 ASST COMM CNTR MGR</td> <td>32,485.44</td> <td>-</td> <td>32,485.44</td> <td>0.00%</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.00%</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>0.00%</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>	2. AUSTIN, A - 073 ASST COMM CNTR MGR	32,485.44	-	32,485.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-
5. HERRING-RODC CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 1.32% 21.0 99 6 6. LAMPI, T.B. 077/CASEWORK SUPV 53,651.52 - 53,651.52 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.45% 7.2 24 7. ASANTE, Y.J. 0 CLERICAL SPEC 28,995.20 - 28,995.20 - 28,995.20 - 0.00% -	3. VAN SICKLE, A ASST COMM CNTR MGR	28,986.88	-	28,986.88		. –	-		-			-	_ `
6. LAMPI, T. B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV 53,651.52 - 53,651.52 0.00% - - 0.00% -	4. BABB, K W - 070 CASEWORK SUPV	75,410.40	· _	75,410.40	10.50%	167.0	7,918.09	3.64%	57.9	2,744.94	1.50%	23.9	1,131.16
7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC 28,995.20 - 28,995.20 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 2.39% 38.0 1.05 11.8LAKE, D L - 07 (COMM CNTR MGR 42,542.24 - 42,542.24 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.0	5. HERRING-RODOCASEWORK SUPV	75,410.40	-	75,410.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	1. s –	-	1.32%	21.0	995.42
8. GOMEZ, P - 447 CLERICAL SPEC 27,520.48 - 27,520.48 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 1.08% 17.2 29 9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC 44,293.60 - 44,293.60 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 2.39% 38.0 1.05 10. SANTIBANEZ, G CLERICAL SPEC 26,958.88 - 26,958.88 5.10% 81.1 1,374.90 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 3.23% 51.4 87 11. BLAKE, D L - 078 COMM CNTR MGR 42,542.24 - 42,542.24 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - <td< td=""><td>6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV</td><td>53,651.52</td><td>-</td><td>53,651.52</td><td>0.00%</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0.00%</td><td>-</td><td>-</td><td>0.45%</td><td>7.2</td><td>241.43</td></td<>	6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV	53,651.52	-	53,651.52	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.45%	7.2	241.43
9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC 44,293.60 - 44,293.60 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 2.39% 38.0 1,05 10. SANTIBANEZ, G CLERICAL SPEC 26,958.88 - 26,958.88 5.10% 81.1 1,374.90 0.00% - - 3.23% 51.4 87 11. BLAKE, D L - 07 COMM CNTR MGR 42,542.24 - 42,542.24 0.00% - - 0.00%	7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC	28,995.20	-	28,995.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
10. SANTIBANEZ, G CLERICAL SPEC 26,958.88 - 26,958.88 5.10% 81.1 1,374.90 0.00% - - 3.23% 51.4 87. 11. BLAKE, D L - 078 COMM CNTR MGR 42,542.24 - 42,542.24 0.00% - -	8. GOMEZ, P - 447 CLERICAL SPEC	27,520.48	-	27,520.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	1.08%	17.2	297.22
11. BLAKE, D L - 07 COMM CNTR MGR 42,542.24 - 42,542.24 0.00% - - 0.00%	9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC	44,293.60	-	44,293.60	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	2.39%	38.0	1,058.62
12. HORVATH, J.R COMM CNTR MGR 38,043.20 - 38,043.20 0.00% - - 0.00% -	10. SANTIBANEZ, GCLERICAL SPEC	26,958.88	-	26,958.88	5.10%	81.1	1,374.90	0.00%	-	-	3.23%	51.4	870.77
13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR 37,770.72 - 37,770.72 0.00% - - 0.00% -	11. BLAKE, D L - 07 COMM CNTR MGR	42,542.24	-	42,542.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	– ¹	0.00%	-	-
14. MURILLO, SA - CONTRACT MGMT CLK 51,351.04 - 51,351.04 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 830% 132.0 4,26 15. *VACANT (NGU DATA PROC II 29,947.84 - 29,947.84 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 3.41% 54.2 1,02 16. MARTIN, F R - 0 DIR SR SVS 87,020.96 - 87,020.96 0.68% 10.8 591.74 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 10.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 10.00% - - 10.00% - - 13.8 3.15% 3.15% 3.15% 3.16% 3.84.77 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 10.00% - - 10.00% - - 10.00% - - 10.00% - - 10.00% - - 10.00% - -	12. HORVATH, J R COMM CNTR MGR	38,043.20	-	38,043.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
15. *VACANT (NGU DATA PROC II 29,947.84 - 29,947.84 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 3.41% 54.2 1,02 16. MARTIN, FR - 0 DIR SR SVS 87,020.96 - 87,020.96 - 87,020.96 0.68% 10.8 591.74 0.00% - - 0.00%	13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR	37,770.72	-	37,770.72	0.00%		-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
16. MARTIN, F R - 0 DIR SR SVS 87,020.96 - 87,020.96 0.68% 10.8 591.74 0.00% - - 0.00% - </td <td>14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK</td> <td>51,351.04</td> <td>-</td> <td>51,351.04</td> <td>0.00%</td> <td>· - ·</td> <td>-</td> <td>0.00%</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>8.30%</td> <td>132.0</td> <td>4,262.14</td>	14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK	51,351.04	-	51,351.04	0.00%	· - ·	-	0.00%	-	-	8.30%	132.0	4,262.14
17. *VACANT (HOR FIN ANALYST I 44,000.32 - 44,000.32 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 7.16% 113.8 3,150 18. MOORE, T L - 07 FIN ANALYST II 56,584.32 - 56,584.32 0.68% 10.8 384.77 0.00% - - 0.00% 10.8 2.01	15. *VACANT (NGU) DATA PROC II	29,947.84	-	29,947.84	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	·	-	3.41%	54.2	1,021.22
18. MOORE, TL - 07 FIN ANALYST II 56,584.32 - 56,584.32 0.68% 10.8 384.77 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 6.34% 100.8 2,64% 19. BURNEY, B L - 0 FISCAL SPEC I 41,724.80 - 41,724.80 4.93% 78.4 2,057.03 0.00% - - 6.34% 100.8 2,64% 20. NICOSIA, K D - 0 FISCAL SPEC I 29,248.96 - 29,248.96 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 7.58% 120.5 2,21% 21. HORVATH GOM FISCAL SPEC III 47,409.44 - 47,409.44 4.17% 66.3 1,976.97 0.00% - - 4.45% 70.8 2,10%	16. MARTIN, F R - 0 DIR SR SVS	87,020.96	-	87,020.96	0.68%	10.8	591.74	0.00%	-	_ ·	0.00%	-	-
19. BURNEY, B L - 0 FISCAL SPEC I41,724.80-41,724.804.93%78.42,057.030.00%6.34%100.82,6420. NICOSIA, K D - 0 FISCAL SPEC I29,248.96-29,248.960.00%0.00%7.58%120.52,2121. HORVATH GOM FISCAL SPEC III47,409.44-47,409.444.17%66.31,976.970.00%4.45%70.82,109	17. *VACANT (HOR) FIN ANALYST I	44,000.32	-	44,000.32	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	- 1	7.16%	113.8	3,150.42
20. NICOSIA, K D - CFISCAL SPEC I 29,248.96 - 29,248.96 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 7.58% 120.5 2,21 21. HORVATH GOM FISCAL SPEC III 47,409.44 - 47,409.44 4.17% 66.3 1,976.97 0.00% - - 4.45% 70.8 2,109	18. MOORE, T L - 07 FIN ANALYST II	56,584.32	-	56,584.32	0.68%	10.8	384.77	0.00%		-	0.00%	· •	-
21. HORVATH GOM FISCAL SPEC III 47,409.44 - 47,409.44 4.17% 66.3 1,976.97 0.00% 4.45% 70.8 2,109	19. BURNEY, B L - OFISCAL SPEC I	41,724.80	-	41,724.80	4.93%	78.4	2,057.03	0.00%	-	· · · · -	6.34%	100.8	2,645.35
	20. NICOSIA, K D - (FISCAL SPEC I	29,248.96	-	29,248.96	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-	7.58%	120.5	2,217.07
22. JOHNSTON, MILPN 36,670.40 - 36,670.40 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -	21. HORVATH GOM FISCAL SPEC III	47,409.44	-	47,409.44	4.17%	66.3	1,976.97	0.00%	-	· -	4.45%	70.8	2,109.72
	22. JOHNSTON, M KL P N	36,670.40	-	36,670.40	0.00%		. –	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	
23. STAMBAUGH, CL P N 35,776.00 - 35,776.00 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -	23. STAMBAUGH, CL P N	35,776.00	-	35,776.00	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
24. MCCULLOUGH, NUTRITN SVS COORD 45,999.20 - 45,999.20 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -	24. MCCULLOUGH, NUTRITN SVS COORD	45,999.20	-	45,999.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	_
25. CARON, H L - 07OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 28,932.80 - 28,932.80 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% - 0.00% -	25. CARON, H L - 07 OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR		-	28,932.80	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-
26. FLEMING, E C - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 37,427.52 - 37,427.52 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% - 0.00% -	26. FLEMING, E C - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	37,427.52	· –	37,427.52	0.00%	• •	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
27. GAMMIE, C C - COUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 30,906.72 - 30,906.72 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% - 0.00% -			-	30,906.72	0.00%	-	` <u>-</u>	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-
28. JOSEPH, S - 410 OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 28,932.80 - 28,932.80 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% - 0.00% -	28. JOSEPH, S - 410 OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	28,932.80	-	28,932.80	0.00%	- "	-	0.00%	-	_ *	0.00%	-	-
29. HINOHOSA, S - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 29,248.96 - 29,248.96 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -			-	29,248.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
30. PACKWOOD, A OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 32,720.48 - 32,720.48 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% -			-	32,720.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
31. PERRY-ATKINS, OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 46,502.56 - 46,502.56 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% - 0.00% -			-	46,502.56	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-

SIMPLIFIED UNIT COST METHODOLOGY PERSONNEL COSTS WORKSHEET

BUDGET YEAR: 6/1/09-9/30/10 BIDDER NAME: Palm Beach County Division of Senior Services

	c	% Increased by:	· -		(41)	<u></u>		(42)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(43)	
		na. La constante										
		0%		Otl	ner: (R.E.L.I.	E E)	: 	Outreach			Personal Ca	ré
		078						Outreach				
				~ ~ ~ ~						AK 05		
POOL POOL POOL POOL	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	% OF		WAGE COST	% OF TIME		WAGE COST	% OF		WAGE
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	WAGES	INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST		HR/UNIT	CUST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST
32. PARSON, B J - OSECY	31,794.88	-	31,794.88	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· -
33. BELISLE, W - 07 SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
34. CHIOFFE, M A - SITE MGR	22,554.48	- .	22,554.48	0.00%	· -	· –	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-
35. FIDLER, H - 076 SITE MGR	22,969.44	-	22,969.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
36. LARSEN, J A - 0 SITE MGR	22,969.44	-	22,969.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· · -	-	0.00%	-	-
37. McDONALD, L B SITE MGR	21,740.16	-	21,740.16	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
38. PIGNATA, A - 07 SITE MGR	27,251.64	-	27,251.64	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-
39. ROBINSON, K MSITE MGR	22,554.48		22,554.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-
40. SNEED, I J - 071 SITE MGR	25,980.24	-	25,980.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
41. WESLEY, B B - (SITE MGR	35,245.08	-	35,245.08	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-
42. ALAM RAIHAN, CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	· _	35,599.20	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	
43. COOPER, S B - CASE MANAGER I	42,858.40	-	42,858.40	0.00%	-	. -	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
44. COSBY, C R - 07 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	-	36,312.64	0.00%	· –	. –	0.00%	-	· –	0.00%	-	-
45. DIEZ de ULZURI CASE MANAGER I	37,038.56	-	37,038.56	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	- "	-	0.00%	-	-
46. EDWARDS, V M CASE MANAGER I	50,464.96	-	50,464.96	0.00%	-		0.00%	· –	-	0.00%	-	-
47. GREEN, C - 071 CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	- '	0.00%	-	-
48. HIBBERT, M S - CASE MANAGER I	40,728.48	. –	40,728.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	. –
49. HILL-THOMPSO CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
50. RODD, M B - 073 CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	· –	35,599.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
51. SCHROEDER, NCASE MANAGER I	39,257.92	-	39,257.92	0.00%	-	· –	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-
52. SMITH, F D - 59 CASE MANAGER I	59,533.76	-	59,533.76	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
53. SMITH, L K - 071 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	-	36,312.64	0.00%	· · · ·	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
54. SMITH, R R - 59 CASE MANAGER I	42,494.40	-	42,494.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
55. *VACANT (WELLCASE MANAGER I	34,731.84		34,731.84	0.00%	_	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	<u>1</u>
56. ATKINSON, R E CASE MANAGER II	46,743.84	-	46,743.84	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-		0.00%	_	-
57. BATISTA, M - 38 CASE MANAGER II	39,942.24	·. –	39,942.24	0.00%	· _	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· _	
58. COLEMAN, A C SR SECY	33,648.16	_ .	33,648.16	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	-	-
59. BARTHELEMY, ISR SVC AIDE	28,475.20	-	28,475.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· -	0.00%	-	-
60. CALHOUN, I - 07 SR SVC AIDE	44,293.60	-	44,293.60	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
61. DHAITI, M - 7723SR SVC AIDE	26,605.28	-	26,605.28	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	_
62 .PRIEDE, M G - 3 SR SVC AIDE	44,239.52	-	44,239.52	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	. –
DOSS ARRA OAA C2 UnitCost Worksheets		-09 Personnel Co	,									

		% Increased by:			(41)			(42)			(43)	
		0%		Oth	ner: (R.E.L.I.	.E.F.)	e Terrestantes Northeastantes	Outreach			Personal Ca	re
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	CURRENT WAGES	PROPOSED INCREASE	PROPOSED BUDGET	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST
63. UCEDA, J Z - 36 SR SVC AIDE	27,453.92	-	27,453.92	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
64. RICHARDSON, SR SVS OPER SUPV	84,876.48	-	84,876.48	0.00%	-	-	0.65%	10.3	551.70	0.53%	8.4	449.85
65. SERNA-YURKOVSR SVS OPER SUPV	57,158.40	-	57,158.40	0.00%	· -	-	0.00%	-	- 1	0.00%	-	-
66. LONGORIA, M CSR SVS QUAL ASR CD	68,144.96	-	68,144.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	4.05%	64.4	2,759.87
67. LITTLE, D N - 50 VOLUNTEER COORD	56,114.24	-	56,114.24	0.00%	-	-	51.82%	823.9	29,078.40	0.00%	-	-
TOTAL WAGES	2,662,713.04	-	2,662,713.04			14,303.52			32,375.03			23,210.26
PERCENTAGE OF WAGES			100.0%	· · ·		0.5%			1.2%			0.9%
TOTAL HOURS					414.4			892.1			823.5	
PERCENTAGE OF HOURS					0.4%			0.9%			0.8%	l I

		% Increased by:	-		(46)			(52)		а.	(53)	
											2	
		0%		Pest Co	ntrol (Mainte	enance)	Resp	oite (Facility	Based)	Re	espite (In-Ho	me)
	100 C											
and the second	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	WAGES	INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST
1. JORDAN, P - 07 ASST COMM CNTR MGR	34,798.40	-	34,798.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	
2. AUSTIN, A - 073 ASST COMM CNTR MGR	32,485.44	-	32,485.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	_	-
3. VAN SICKLE, A ASST COMM CNTR MGR	28,986.88	-	28,986.88	0.00%	- ⁻		0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
4. BABB, K W - 070 CASEWORK SUPV	75,410.40	· _	75,410.40	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-	6.50%	103.4	4,901.68
5. HERRING-RODICASEWORK SUPV	75,410.40	-	75,410.40	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	_	-
6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV	53,651.52	-	53,651.52	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.34%	5.4	182.42
7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC	28,995.20	-	28,995.20	0.00%	-	-	6.02%	95.7	1,745.51	0.00%	-	
8. GOMEZ, P - 447 CLERICAL SPEC	27,520.48	-	27,520.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.92%	14.6	253.19
9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC	44,293.60	_	44,293.60	0.00%	-	-	0.32%	5.1	141.74	4.15%	66.0	1,838.18
10. SANTIBANEZ, GCLERICAL SPEC	26,958.88	-	26,958.88	0.73%	11.6	196.80	0.05%	0.8	13.48	3.02%	48.0	814.16
11. BLAKE, D L - 078COMM CNTR MGR	42,542.24	-	42,542.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
12. HORVATH, J R - COMM CNTR MGR	38,043.20	-	38,043.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR	37,770.72	-	37,770.72	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK	51,351.04	-	51,351.04	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-		13.16%	209.2	6,757.80
15. *VACANT (NGU) DATA PROC II	29,947.84	-	29,947.84	0.00%	-	-	0.12%	1.9	35.94	4.37%	69.5	1,308.72
16. MARTIN, F R - 0 DIR SR SVS	87,020.96	-	87,020.96	0.00%	-	-	0.11%	1.7	95.72	0.00%	-	· _ !
17. *VACANT (HOR FIN ANALYST I	44,000.32	-	44,000.32	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	10.98%	174.6	4,831.24
18. MOORE, T L - 07 FIN ANALYST II	56,584.32	-	56,584.32	0.00%	· –	-	0.11%	1.7	62.24	0.00%	· _	-
19. BURNEY, B L - OFISCAL SPEC I	41,724.80	-	41,724.80	0.00%	- "	-	0.00%	-	- *	5.63%	89.5	2,349.11
20. NICOSIA, K D - (FISCAL SPEC I	29,248.96	-	29,248.96	0.00%	-	· · · · -	0.00%	-	- -	6.21%	98.7	1,816.36
21. HORVATH GOM FISCAL SPEC III	47,409.44	-	47,409.44	0.00%	-	-	0.27%	4.3	128.01	5.84%	92.9	2,768.71
22. JOHNSTON, M KL P N	36,670.40	-	36,670.40	0.00%	-	-	10.47%	166.5	3,839.39	0.00%	- .	
23. STAMBAUGH, CL P N	35,776.00	-	35,776.00	0.00%	-	-	10.47%	166.5	3,745.75	0.00%	-	-
24. MCCULLOUGH, NUTRITN SVS COORD	45,999.20	- '	45,999.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
25. CARON, H L - 07 OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	28,932.80	-	28,932.80	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	· -	0.00%	-	-
26. FLEMING, E C - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	37,427.52	· _	37,427.52	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	· –	-	0.00%	-	· _
27. GAMMIE, C C - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	30,906.72	-	30,906.72	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	•	· _	0.00%	-	-
28. JOSEPH, S - 410 OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	28,932.80	-	28,932.80	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-
29. HINOHOSA, S - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	29,248.96	-	29,248.96	0.00%	•	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
30. PACKWOOD, A OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	32,720.48	-	32,720.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
31. PERRY-ATKINS, OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	46,502.56	- 1	46,502.56	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-

		% Increased by:		<u></u>	(46)			(52)			(53)	
		0%		Pest Co	ntrol (Mainte	enance)	Resp	ite (Facility	Based)	Re	espite (In-Ho	me)
a de la companya de la	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE
POSITION DESCRIPTION	WAGES	INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST
32. PARSON, B J - OSECY	31,794.88	_	31,794.88	0.00%			0.00%		-	0.00%		
33. BELISLE, W - 07 SITE MGR	22,554.48	_	22,554.48	0.00%	_	_	0.00%	_	-	0.00%		-
34. CHIOFFE, M A - SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	0.00%		· -	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
35. FIDLER, H - 076 SITE MGR	22,969.44		22,969.44	0.00%		-	0.00%	_	-	0.00%		-
36. LARSEN, J A - 0 SITE MGR	22,969.44	_	22,969.44	0.00%			0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
37. McDONALD, L BSITE MGR	21,740.16		21,740.16	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	- .	-
38. PIGNATA, A - 07 SITE MGR	27,251.64	-	27,251.64	0.00%		-	0.00%	_	-	0.00%	-	-
39. ROBINSON, K MSITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	0.00%	· _	_	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-
40. SNEED, I J - 071 SITE MGR	25,980.24	_	25,980.24	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	-	-
41. WESLEY, B B - QSITE MGR	35,245.08	_	35,245.08	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	_	0.00%	-	-
42. ALAM RAIHAN, ICASE MANAGER I	35,599.20		35,599.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	_	-
43. COOPER, S B - (CASE MANAGER I	42,858.40	-	42,858.40	0.00%	-		0.00%	_	_	0.00%	_	-
44. COSBY, C R - 07CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	-	36,312.64	0.00%	_	-	0.00%	_	-	0.00%	-	_
45. DIEZ de ULZURI CASE MANAGER I	37,038.56	-	37,038.56	0.00%	-		0.00%	_		0.00%	_	
46. EDWARDS, V.M CASE MANAGER I	50,464.96	_	50,464.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	_	-	0.00%	_	-
47. GREEN, C - 071 CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%	· _	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	_	_
48. HIBBERT, M S - CASE MANAGER I	40,728.48	-	40,728.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
49. HILL-THOMPSO CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	0.00%	· -	_	0.00%		-	0.00%		_
50. RODD, M B - 073CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	· _ ·	35,599.20	0.00%	-	_	0.00%	_	1.	0.00%	_	-
51. SCHROEDER, NCASE MANAGER I	39,257.92	_	39,257.92	0.00%	_	· _	0.00%	_		0.00%	_	_
52. SMITH, F D - 590 CASE MANAGER I	59,533.76	_	59,533.76	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· _	_	0.00%	_	
53. SMITH, L K - 071CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	_	36,312.64	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	_	_
54. SMITH, R R - 59 CASE MANAGER I	42,494.40	_	42,494.40	0.00%	_	_	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	_	_
55. *VACANT (WELLCASE MANAGER I	34,731.84	· _	34,731.84	0.00%		-	0.00%	_	_	0.00%	_	
56. ATKINSON, R E CASE MANAGER II	46,743.84	_	46,743.84	0.00%	_	_	0.00%	_		0.00%	_	
57. BATISTA, M - 38 CASE MANAGER II	39,942.24	_	39,942.24	0.00%	-	_	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-
58. COLEMAN, A C SR SECY	33,648.16	-	33,648.16	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	- -	0.00%	-	-
59. BARTHELEMY, ISR SVC AIDE	28,475.20	-	28,475.20	0.00%	-	-	10.47%	166.5	2,981.35	0.00%	-	- -
60. CALHOUN, I - 07SR SVC AIDE	44,293.60	-	44,293.60	0.00%		-	10.47%	166.5	4,637.54	0.00%	-	-
61. DHAITI, M - 7723SR SVC AIDE	26,605.28	-	26,605.28	0.00%	-	-	10.47%	166.5	2,785.57	0.00%	_	-
62 .PRIEDE, M G - 3 SR SVC AIDE	44,239.52	-	44,239.52	0.00%	-	· _	10.47%	166.5	4,631.88	0.00%	-	-
DOSS ARRA OAA C2 UnitCost Worksheets		6-09. Personnel C		2.0070		1				0.0070		

	% Increased by:				(46)			(52)			(53)	
		0%		Pest Co	ntrol (Mainte	enance)	Resp	oite (Facility	Based)	Re	espite (In-Ho	me)
												nastra i Sastra i Sastra i Sastra i Sas
West and a state of the second second	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	WAGES	INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST
63. UCEDA, J Z - 36 SR SVC AIDE	27,453.92	· · ·	27,453.92	0.00%	_	-	9.68%	153.9	2,657.54	0.00%	. –	-
64. RICHARDSON, \$SR SVS OPER SUPV	84,876.48	. –	84,876.48	0.00%	• –	-	0.00%	-	-	0.32%	5.1	271.60
65. SERNA-YURKOVSR SVS OPER SUPV	57,158.40	_	57,158.40	0.00%	· -	-	2.07%	32.9	1,183.18	0.00%	-	-
66. LONGORIA, M CSR SVS QUAL ASR CD	68,144.96	-	68,144.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	4.73%	75.2	3,223.26
67. LITTLE, D N - 50 VOLUNTEER COORD	56,114.24	-	56,114.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	- 1
TOTAL WAGES	2,662,713.04	-	2,662,713.04			196.80			28,684.84			31,316.41
PERCENTAGE OF WAGES			100.0%			0.0%			1.1%			1.2%
								4 007 0			4 0 5 0 4	
					11.6			1,297.0			1,052.1	
PERCENTAGE OF HOURS			· · ·		0.0%		l	1.3%	I	I	1.1%	ł

OK Screening/Assessment C2 Shopping Assistance Specialized Medical Equipment Services & Supplies STAEP POSITION DESCRIPTION CURRENT PROPOSED PROPOSED % OF WAGE % OF WAGE % OF WAGE % OF WAGE % OF HR/UNIT COST TIME HR/UNIT COST COST TIME HR/UNIT COST TIME HR/UNIT COST COST COST COST
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION WAGES INCREASE BUDGET TIME HR/UNIT COST TIME HR/U
STAFF. POSITION DESCRIPTION WAGES INCREASE BUDGET TIME HR/UNIT COST TIME HR/
STAFE POSITION DESCRIPTION WAGES INCREASE BUDGET TIME HR/UNIT COST TIME HR/U
1. JORDAN, P - 078 ASST COMM CNTR MGR 34,798.40 - 34,798.40 - - 0.00% - -
2. AUSTIN, A - 073 ASST COMM CNTR MGR 32,485.44 - 32,485.44 0.00% - - 0.00%
3. VAN SICKLE, A ASST COMM CNTR MGR 28,986.88 - 28,986.88 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 4.33% 68.8 3,265.2 5. HERRING-ROD CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 4.33% 68.8 3,265.2 - 5.5 10.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - 0.00%<
4. BABB, K W - 070 CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 3.33% 52.9 2,511.17 0.00% - - 4.33% 68.8 3,265.2 5. HERRING-RODC CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - - 0.00% - - - - 0.00% - - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - 0.00%
5. HERRING-ROD CASEWORK SUPV 75,410.40 - 75,410.40 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - - 0.00% - - - - 0.00% - <td< td=""></td<>
6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV 53,651.52 - 53,651.52 0.00% - - 0.79% 12.6 423.85 0.00% -
7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC 28,995.20 - 28,995.20 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.00% - - 0.20% 3.2 55.0%
8. GOMEZ, P - 447 CLERICAL SPEC 27,520.48 - 27,520.48 0.47% 7.5 129.35 0.00% 0.20% 3.2 55.0
10. SANTIBANEZ, GCLERICAL SPEC 26,958.88 - 26,958.88 0.00% 13.54% 215.3 3,650.23 13.75% 218.6 3,706.8
11. BLAKE, D L - 078COMM CNTR MGR 42,542.24 - 42,542.24 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
12. HORVATH, J.RCOMM CNTR MGR 38,043.20 - 38,043.20 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR 37,770.72 - 37,770.72 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK 51,351.04 - 51,351.04 0.00% 0.00% 15.82% 251.5 8,123.7
15. *VACANT (NGU) DATA PROC II 29,947.84 - 29,947.84 0.00% 0.21% 3.3 62.89 0.21% 3.3 62.8
16. MARTIN, F R - 0 DIR SR SVS 87,020.96 - 87,020.96 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
17. *VACANT (HORVFIN ANALYST I 44,000.32 - 44,000.32 0.00% 1.75% 27.8 770.01 0.00%
18. MOORE, TL - 07FIN ANALYST II 56,584.32 - 56,584.32 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
19. BURNEY, B L - OFISCAL SPEC I 41,724.80 - 41,724.80 0.00% 1.41% 22.4 588.32 9.15% 145.5 3,817.8
20. NICOSIA, K D - QFISCAL SPEC I 29,248.96 - 29,248.96 0.00% 2.07% 32.9 605.45 0.00%
21. HORVATH GOM FISCAL SPEC III 47,409.44 - 47,409.44 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
22. JOHNSTON, MILPN 36,670.40 - 36,670.40 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
23. STAMBAUGH, CL P N 35,776.00 - 35,776.00 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
24. MCCULLOUGH, NUTRITN SVS COORD 45,999.20 - 45,999.20 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
25. CARON, H L - 07OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 28,932.80 - 28,932.80 96.28% 1,440.3 27,856.50 0.00% 0.00%
26. FLEMING, E C - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 37,427.52 - 37,427.52 92.21% 1,379.5 34,511.92 0.00% 0.00%
27. GAMMIE, C C - CUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 30,906.72 - 30,906.72 95.63% 1,430.6 29,556.10 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
28. JOSEPH, S - 41QOUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 28,932.80 - 28,932.80 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% 0.00%
29. HINOHOSA, S - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 29,248.96 - 29,248.96 93.53% 1,399.2 27,356.55 0.00% 0.00%
30. PACKWOOD, A OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 32,720.48 - 32,720.48 95.22% 1,424.5 31,156.44 0.00% 0.00%
31. PERRY-ATKINS, OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR 46,502.56 - 46,502.56 94.82% 1,418.5 44,093.73 0.00% 0.00%

BIDDER NAME: Palm Beach County Division of Senior Services

	Q	% Increased by:	-		(54)			(55)			(58)	
		0%		Scree	ening/Assess	ment C2	Shop	oping Assista	ance		ed Medical E vices & Sup	
		1.12				에는 물기 사람이 가격 이 것은 것은 것은 것이 같다.						가 가장 가 있다. 이 가장 가 다 다.
	CURRENT	(의R(C)의C)의트D)	PROPOSED	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE	% OF		WAGE
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	WAGES	INCREASE	BUDGET	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST	TIME	HR/UNIT	COST
32. PARSON, B J - CSECY	31,794.88		31,794.88	0.00%	-	_	0.00%	-	-	27.61%	439.0	8,778.57
33. BELISLE, W - 07 SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	_ ·	0.00%	-	-
34. CHIOFFE, M A - SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	0.00%	-	- 1	0.00%		-	0.00%	-	
35. FIDLER, H - 0761SITE MGR	22,969.44	-	22,969.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
36. LARSEN, J A - 0 SITE MGR	22,969.44	-	22,969.44	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	• –	-	0.00%	-	· _
37. McDONALD, L B SITE MGR	21,740.16	-	21,740.16	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
38. PIGNATA, A - 07 SITE MGR	27,251.64	-	27,251.64	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· _	-
39. ROBINSON, K M SITE MGR	22,554.48	· _	22,554.48	0.00%		. -	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
40. SNEED, I J - 071 SITE MGR	25,980.24	-	25,980.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· -	<u> </u>	0.00%	-	-
41. WESLEY, B B - SITE MGR	35,245.08	-	35,245.08	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
42. ALAM RAIHAN, I CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	45.45%	679.9	16,179.84	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
43. COOPER, S B - CASE MANAGER I	42,858.40	-	42,858.40	1.30%	19.4	557.16	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
44. COSBY, C R - 07 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	·_	36,312.64	8.98%	134.3	3,260.88	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	_ .	-
45. DIEZ de ULZURI CASE MANAGER I	37,038.56		37,038.56	4.22%	63.1	1,563.03	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
46. EDWARDS, V M CASE MANAGER I	50,464.96	-	50,464.96	13.72%	205.3	6,923.79	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	
47. GREEN, C - 071 CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	30.56%	457.2	10,879.12	0.00%	-	_ '	0.00%	-	-
48. HIBBERT, M S - CASE MANAGER I	40,728.48	-	40,728.48	25.78%	385.7	10,499.80	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
49. HILL-THOMPSO CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	- '	35,599.20	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· _	-
50. RODD, M B - 07 CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	27.53%	411.8	9,800.46	0.00%	-		0.00%	-	
51. SCHROEDER, NCASE MANAGER I	39,257.92	-	39,257.92	13.48%	201.7	5,291.97	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%		-
52. SMITH, F D - 59 CASE MANAGER I	59,533.76	_	59,533.76	6.60%	98.7	3,929.23	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· –
53. SMITH, L K - 071 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	-	36,312.64	5.82%	87.1	2,113.40	0.00%	-	· –	0.00%		-
54. SMITH, R R - 59 CASE MANAGER I	42,494.40	-	42,494.40	0.68%	10.2	288.96	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
55. *VACANT (WELLCASE MANAGER I	34,731.84	· _	34,731.84	5.26%	78.7	1,826.89	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· –
56. ATKINSON, R E CASE MANAGER II	46,743.84	-	46,743.84	0.30%	4.5	140.23	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	_	-
57. BATISTA, M - 38 CASE MANAGER II	39,942.24	· –	39,942.24	37.63%	562.9	15,030.26	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
58. COLEMAN, A C SR SECY	33,648.16		33,648.16	0.41%	6.5	137.96	0.00%	. - '	-	0.00%	-	-
59. BARTHELEMY, ISR SVC AIDE	28,475.20	-	28,475.20	0.00%	-	· _	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
60. CALHOUN, I - 07 SR SVC AIDE	44,293.60	-	44,293.60	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
61. DHAITI, M - 7723SR SVC AIDE	26,605.28	-	26,605.28	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
62 .PRIEDE, M G - 3 SR SVC AIDE	44,239.52	-	44,239.52	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-

		% Increased by:			(54)			(55)			(58)	
		0%		Scree	ning/Assess	ment C2	Sho	pping Assist	ance		ed Medical E vices & Sup	
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	CURRENT	PROPOSED INCREASE	PROPOSED BUDGET	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST
63. UCEDA, J Z - 36 SR SVC AIDE	27,453.92	· · · · ·	27,453.92	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	
64. RICHARDSON, SR SVS OPER SUPV	84,876.48	-	84,876.48	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	· _
65. SERNA-YURKO SR SVS OPER SUPV	57,158.40	-	57,158.40	0.00%	-	· -	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	-	-
66. LONGORIA, M CSR SVS QUAL ASR CD	68,144.96	-	68,144.96	0.00%	-	-	2.70%	42.9	1,839.91	0.00%	-	-
67. LITTLE, D N - 50 VOLUNTEER COORD	56,114.24	-	56,114.24	0.00%	-	-	0.00%	· –	-	0.00%	-	1
TOTAL WAGES	2,662,713.04	-	2,662,713.04			285,594.72	:		8,494.33			28,363.84
PERCENTAGE OF WAGES			100.0%			10.7%			0.3%			1.1%
TOTAL HOURS				- -	11,960.1			377.1			1,149.9	
PERCENTAGE OF HOURS					11.9%			0.4%			1.1%	

	(% Increased by:					_ /
		0%				Activities (Senior FP, LIHEAP)	
							% Usage
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	CURRENT	PROPOSED	PROPOSED BUDGET	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST	Check Total
1. JORDAN, P - 07 ASST COMM CNTR MGR	34,798.40	_	34,798.40	100.00%	1,590.0	34,798.40	100.00%
2. AUSTIN, A - 073 ASST COMM CNTR MGR	32,485.44	-	32,485.44	100.00%	1,590.0	32,485.44	100.00%
3. VAN SICKLE, A ASST COMM CNTR MGR	28,986.88	-	28,986.88	100.00%	1,590.0	28,986.88	100.00%
4. BABB, K W - 070 CASEWORK SUPV	75,410.40	-	75,410.40	10.00%	159.0	7,541.04	100.00%
5. HERRING-ROD CASEWORK SUPV	75,410.40	-	75,410.40	15.00%	238.5	11,311.56	100.00%
6. LAMPI, T B - 077 CASEWORK SUPV	53,651.52	-	53,651.52	12.50%	198.8	6,706.44	100.00%
7. ASANTE, Y J - 0 CLERICAL SPEC	28,995.20	. –	28,995.20	42.50%	675.8	12,322.96	100.00%
8. GOMEZ, P - 447 CLERICAL SPEC	27,520.48	-	27,520.48	32.36%	514.5	8,905.63	100.00%
9. CORT, J T - 071 CLERICAL SPEC	44,293.60	-	44,293.60	0.00%	-	-	100.00%
10. SANTIBANEZ, GCLERICAL SPEC	26,958.88	-	26,958.88	15.00%	238.5	4,043.83	100.00%
11. BLAKE, D L - 07 COMM CNTR MGR	42,542.24	-	42,542.24	100.00%	1,590.0	42,542.24	100.00%
12. HORVATH, J R - COMM CNTR MGR	38,043.20	· -	38,043.20	100.00%	1,590.0	38,043.20	100.00%
13. DEVENANZI, Y - COMM CNTR MGR	37,770.72	-	37,770.72	100.00%	1,590.0	37,770.72	100.00%
14. MURILLO, S A - CONTRACT MGMT CLK	51,351.04	-	51,351.04	32.50%	516.8	16,689.09	100.00%
15. *VACANT (NGU) DATA PROC II	29,947.84	-	29,947.84	0.00%	-	-	100.00%
16. MARTIN, F R - 0 DIR SR SVS	87,020.96	-	87,020.96	16.03%	254.9	13,949.46	100.00%
17. *VACANT (HOR\FIN ANALYST I	44,000.32	-	44,000.32	0.00%	-	-	100.00%
18. MOORE, T L - 07 FIN ANALYST II	56,584.32	-	56,584.32	7.03%	111.8	3,977.88	100.00%
19. BURNEY, B L - OFISCAL SPEC I	41,724.80	-	41,724.80	0.00%	-	· –	100.00%
20. NICOSIA, K D - (FISCAL SPEC I	29,248.96	-	29,248.96	2.76%	43.9	807.27	100.00%
21. HORVATH GOM FISCAL SPEC III	47,409.44	-	47,409.44	2.50%	39.8	1,185.24	100.00%
22. JOHNSTON, M L P N	36,670.40	-	36,670.40	0.00%	-	-	100.00%
23. STAMBAUGH, CL P N	35,776.00	-	35,776.00	0.00%		-	100.00%
24. MCCULLOUGH, NUTRITN SVS COORD	45,999.20	-	45,999.20	0.00%	-	-	100.00%
25. CARON, H L - 07OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	28,932.80	-	28,932.80	2.50%	37.4	723.32	100.00%
26. FLEMING, E C - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	37,427.52	-	37,427.52	2.50%	37.4	935.69	100.00%
27. GAMMIE, C C - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	30,906.72	-	30,906.72	2.50%	37.4	772.67	100.00%
28. JOSEPH, S - 41OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	28,932.80	-	28,932.80	100.00%	1,496.0	28,932.80	100.00%
29. HINOHOSA, S - OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	29,248.96	-	29,248.96	5.00%	74.8	1,462.45	100.00%
30. PACKWOOD, A OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	32,720.48	-	32,720.48	2.50%	37.4	818.01	100.00%
31. PERRY-ATKINS,OUTRCH/DIR SVC WKR	46,502.56	-	46,502.56	4.48%	67.0	2,083.31	100.00%

		% Increased by:					/
		0%				Activities (Senior FP, LIHEAP)	
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	CURRENT WAGES	PROPOSED INCREASE	PROPOSED BUDGET	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST	♥ % Usage Check Total
32. PARSON, B J - OSECY	31,794.88		31,794.88	0.00%	-	_	100.00%
33. BELISLE, W - 07 SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	40.00%	450.4	9,021.79	100.00%
34. CHIOFFE, M A - SITE MGR	22,554.48	-	22,554.48	0.00%	-	-	100.00%
35. FIDLER, H - 0761SITE MGR	22,969.44	· _	22,969.44	0.00%	_	_ ·	100.00%
36. LARSEN, J A - 0 SITE MGR	22,969.44	-	22,969.44	0.00%	-	-	100.00%
37. McDONALD, L B SITE MGR	21,740.16		21,740.16	0.00%	· _	-	100.00%
38. PIGNATA, A - 07 SITE MGR	27,251.64	-	27,251.64	0.00%	_	-	100.00%
39. ROBINSON, K MSITE MGR	22,554.48	_ .	22,554.48	0.00%	-	-	100.00%
40. SNEED, I J - 071 SITE MGR	25,980.24	-	25,980.24	0.00%	-	-	100.00%
41. WESLEY, B B - (SITE MGR	35,245.08	·	35,245.08	0.00%	-		100.00%
42. ALAM RAIHAN, ICASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	2.50%	37.4	889.98	100.00%
43. COOPER, S B - (CASE MANAGER I	42,858.40	-	42,858.40	2.50%	37.4	1,071.46	100.00%
44. COSBY, C R - 07 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64		36,312.64	2.50%	37.4	907.82	100.00%
45. DIEZ de ULZURI CASE MANAGER I	37,038.56	-	37,038.56	2.50%	37.4	925.96	100.00%
46. EDWARDS, V M CASE MANAGER I	50,464.96	-	50,464.96	2.50%	37.4	1,261.62	100.00%
47. GREEN, C - 071 CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	2.50%	37.4	889.98	100.00%
48. HIBBERT, M S - CASE MANAGER I	40,728.48		40,728.48	2.50%	37.4	1,018.21	100.00%
49. HILL-THOMPSO CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	100.00%	1,496.0	35,599.20	100.00%
50. RODD, M B - 07\$CASE MANAGER I	35,599.20	-	35,599.20	2.50%	37.4	889.98	100.00%
51. SCHROEDER, N CASE MANAGER I	39,257.92	-	39,257.92	2.50%	37.4	981.45	100.00%
52. SMITH, F D - 59 CASE MANAGER I	59,533.76		59,533.76	2.50%	37.4	1,488.34	100.00%
53. SMITH, L K - 071 CASE MANAGER I	36,312.64	-	36,312.64	2.50%	37.4	907.82	100.00%
54. SMITH, R R - 59 CASE MANAGER I	42,494.40	-	42,494.40	0.00%	-	-	100.00%
55. *VACANT (WELLCASE MANAGER I	34,731.84	-	34,731.84	0.00%	-	. –	100.00%
56. ATKINSON, R E CASE MANAGER II	46,743.84	-	46,743.84	2.50%	37.4	1,168.60	100.00%
57. BATISTA, M - 38 CASE MANAGER II	39,942.24	-	39,942.24	2.50%	37.4	998.56	100.00%
58. COLEMAN, A C SR SECY	33,648.16	-	33,648.16	1.04%	16.5	349.94	100.00%
59. BARTHELEMY, ISR SVC AIDE	28,475.20	-	28,475.20	0.00%	-	-	100.00%
60. CALHOUN, I - 07SR SVC AIDE	44,293.60	-	44,293.60	0.00%	-	-	100.00%
61. DHAITI, M - 7723SR SVC AIDE	26,605.28	-	26,605.28	0.00%	-	-	100.00%
62 .PRIEDE, M G - 3 SR SVC AIDE	44,239.52	-	44,239.52	0.00%	-	-	100.00%
DOSS ARRA OAA C2 UnitCost Workshoots	2009-04-24 6-16	-09 Personnel Co	nsts Worksheet				

		% Increased by:					
		0%		Non-DOEA Centers			
STAFF POSITION DESCRIPTION	CURRENT WAGES	PROPOSED. INCREASE	PROPOSED BUDGET	% OF TIME	HR/UNIT	WAGE COST	► % Usag Check Total
63. UCEDA, J Z - 36 SR SVC AIDE	27,453.92		27,453.92	7.50%	119.3	2,059.04	100.00
64. RICHARDSON, SR SVS OPER SUPV	84,876.48	-	84,876.48	12.50%	198.8	10,609.56	100.00
65. SERNA-YURKOVSR SVS OPER SUPV	57,158.40	-	57,158.40	21.74%	345.7	12,426.24	100.00
66. LONGORIA, M CSR SVS QUAL ASR CD	68,144.96	-	68,144.96	5.00%	79.5	3,407.25	100.00
67. LITTLE, D N - 50 VOLUNTEER COORD	56,114.24	-	56,114.24	0.00%	-	-	100.00
TOTAL WAGES	2,662,713.04	-	2,662,713.04			424,668.32	
PERCENTAGE OF WAGES			100.0%			15.9%	
TOTAL HOURS PERCENTAGE OF HOURS					17,511.8 17.5%		

DIDDER TANKE. Takin beach county Division of Center C	0.11.000			(Service Reference)	(1)	(5)	(6)	(8)	(10)	(11)	(14)	(17)
LINETTEMEXPENSES	Historical Costs	Proposed Increase/ Decrease	Proposed Budget Totals	Management & General Cost Pool	Adult Day Care		Case Management	Chore	Companionship	Congregate Meals C1	Counseling (Mental Health/Screening)	Emergency Alert Response
Wages	2,662,713.04	0%	2,662,713.04	634,547.20	245,278.15	12,220.01	559,998.43	1,459.85	14,266.48	239,559.60	837.68	2,644.41
Fringe	1,088,739.00	0%	1,088,739.00	259,455.78	100,290.15	4,996.56	228,974.03	596.91	5,833.32	97,951.93	342.51	1,081.26
Travel	75,798.00	0%	75,798.00	18,063.31	6,982.20	347.86	15,941.17	41.56	406.12	6,819.41	23.85	75.28 2.82
Education/Training	2,835.00	0%	2,835.00	675.60	261.15	13.01	596.23	1.55	15.19	255.06 6.611.77	0.89	2.82 72.98
Communications & Postage	73,490.00	0%	73,490.00	17,513.29	6,769.60	337.27	15,455.77	40.29	393.75	6,112.00	23.12	
Utilities	203,005.00	0%	203,005.00	10,889.00	3,565.00	-	56.116.71	-	1 400 62	•	- 83.94	- 264.99
Printing & Supplies	266,827.00	0%	266,827.00	63,587.15	24,579.00	1,224.55	50,110.71	146.29	1,429.63	24,005.95	03.94	204.99
Advertising	-	0%	67 692 00	16 120 44	- 	- 310 62	14 224 40	- 37.11	- 362.64	6.089.32	21.29	- 67.22
Insurance Maintenance & Banair	67,683.00	0% 0%	67,683.00 5,385.00	16,129.44 1,283.29	6,234.68 496.04	310.62 24.71	14,234.49 1,132.53	2.95	28.85	484.48	1.69	5.35
Maintenance & Repair	5,385.00	0%	5,143.00	1,205.29	490.04	23.60	1,081.63	2.82	20.03	462.71	1.62	5.11
Space Costs	5,143.00 29,961.00	0%	29,961.00	7,139.96	2,759.88	137.50	6,301.13	16.43	160.53	2,695.54	9.43	29.76
Equipment Professional fees/Legal/Audit	29,901.00	0%	29,901.00	7,159.90	2,755.00	- 107.00	0,001.10	-	100.00	2,035.54	3.45	23.70
Sub-contractors #1 (\$2,166,298), In-home Services CM	- 2,166,298.00	0%	2,166,298.00				_	3,284.00	374,969.00	-	_	-
Sub-contractors #1 (\$2,100,298), In-nome Services Civ Sub-contractors #2 (\$1,679,940), Meals, Regular CMA	1,679,940.00	0%	1,679,940.00		-	_	-	-	-	462,007.00		-
Sub-contractors #3 (\$77,900), Meals, Ensure CMA 388	77,900.00	0%	77,900.00		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sub-contractors #4 (\$192,223), Meals, Hispanic CMA (192,223.00	0%	192,223.00	_	-	-	-	-	-	44,940.00	-	-
Sub-contractors #5 (\$42,518), Meals, Kosher CMA 08	42,518.00	0%	42,518.00	_	-		-	-	-	18,390.00	-	-
Sub-contractors #6 (\$13,272), Nutritious Lifestyles, Inc.	13,272.00	0%	13,272.00	_	-	-	<u>-</u>	-	-	4,312.00	-	-
Sub-contractors #7 (\$181,848), Medical Supplies CMA	181,848.00	0%	181,848.00	-	. –	-	. –	-	-	-	-	-
Sub-contractors #8 (\$39,236), Friends - Nurses Staffing	39,236.00	0%	39,236.00	-	35,128.00	-			-	-	-	-
Sub-contractors #9 (\$36,226), ADC/RESF Vendor Con	36,226.00	0%	36,226.00	-	32,433.00	-	-	.=	-	· -	-	-
Sub-contractors #10 (\$2,700), Counseling Vendor Con	2,700.00	0%	2,700.00	_	-	-	-	-	- ·	-	2,700.00	-
Sub-contractors #11 (\$18,954), EARS Vendor Contract	18,954.00	0%	18,954.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	· -	-,	18,954.00
Sub-contractors #12 (\$350), Pest Control Vendor Cont	350.00	0%	350.00	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	. –	•
Sub-contractors #13 (\$15,000), Housing Improvement	15.000.00	0%	15,000.00		-	-	•	-	· -	-	-	-
Sub-contractors #14 (\$16,000), Adult Care Food Progra	16,000.00	0%	16,000.00	-	•	-		-	-	-	-	-
Program Supplies	-	0%	-	-	· _	-	•	. .	· -	· -	-	-
Depreciation	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
Food & Food Supplies	14,423.00	0%	14,423.00	3,437.12	1,328.59	66.19	3,033.32	7.91	77.28	1,297.61	4.54	14.32
Aiscellaneous TOTAL ALLOWABLE COSTS	155,984.00 9,134,451.04	0%	155,984.00 9,134,451.04	1,590.00 1,035,536.76	466 579 20	- 19,701.89	- 902.865.46	5,637.67	- 397.970.33	- 921,994.37	- 4.050.56	- 23,217.49
OTAL ALLOHABLE COSTS	3,134,431.04			1,000,000.70				and a state of the second s	007,070,000	021,001.01		
Service Subcontract Allowance Service Subcontract Adjustment					44,764.91 22,796.09	-	:	37.90 3,246.10	4,327.30 370,641.70	29,909.67 499,739.33	2,700.00	18,954.00 -
eallocate Management & General Costs	-	arana eta	a da Xana an San	(1,035,536.76)	118,095.95 443,783.11	5,242.91 19,701.89	240,263,21 902,865.46	636.42 2,391.57	7,272.47 27,328.63	112,367.08 422,255.04	1,077.90 4,050.56	6,178.4 5 23,217.49
Reallocate Facilities & Maintenance (Space) costs	0				-		- 0	- -	•	-	-	⁻
TOTAL COSTS BY SERVICE		an in the second			584,675.14	24,944.80	1,143,128.66	6,274.09	405,242.81	1,034,361.45	5,128.46	29,395.94

DOSS ARRA OAA C2 UnitCost Worksheets_2009-04-24, 6-16-09.xls (Support Budget)

			(Service Reference)	(1)	(5)	(6)	(8)	(10)	(11)	(14)	(17)
LINE ITEM EXPENSES.	Prior Year Historical Costs	Proposed Increase/ Proposed Decrease Budget Totals	Management & General Cost Pool	Adult Day Care	Case Aid	Case Management	Chore	Companionship	Congregate Meals C1	Counseling (Mental Health/Screening)	Emergency Alert Response
Budgeted In-Kind Valuation Total Costs Less In-Kind by Service	-	0% -		- 584,675.14	24,944.80	- 1,143,128.66	6,274.09	405,242.81	1,034,361.45	5,128.46	- 29,395.94
Number of Billing Units (estimated)				31,017	259	13,713	179	25,938	163,484	45	24,000
UNIT COST (Actual Cost)				18.85	96.31	83.36	35.05	15.62	6.33	113.97	1.22
UNIT RATE (Actual Cost LESS In-Kind Support)				18.85	96.31	83.36	35.05	15.62	6.33	113.97	1.22

				(18)	(26)	(29)	(30)	(33)	(38)	(39)	(41)	(42)	(43)
LINE ITEMIEXPENSES	Prior Year Historical Costs	Proposed Increase/ Decrease	Proposed Budget Totals	Escort	Home Delivered Meals C2	Homemaker	Housing Improvement	Interpreter/Tr anslating	Nutrition Counseling	Nutrition Education	Other: (R.E.L.I.E.F.)	Outreach	Personal Care
Wages	2,662,713.04	0%	2,662,713.04	27.52	29,597.32	39,146.83	848.76	1,992.51	46.00	3,034.21	14,303.52	32,375.03	23,210.26
Fringe	1,088,739.00	0%	1,088,739.00	11.25	12,101.85	16,006.49	347.05	814.70	18.81	1,240.64	5,848.47	13,237.61	9,490.29
Travel	75,798.00	0%	75,798.00	0.78	842.53	1,114.37	24.16	56.72	1.31	86.37	407.17	921.60	660.71
Education/Training	2,835.00	0%	2,835.00	0.03	31.51	41.68	0.90	2.12	0.05	3.23	15.23	34.47	24.71
Communications & Postage	73,490.00	0%	73,490.00	0.76	816.88	1,080.44	23.43	54.99	1.27	83.74	394.77	893.54	640.60
Utilities	203,005.00	0%	203,005.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Printing & Supplies	266,827.00	0%	266,827.00	2.76	2,965.91	3,922.85	85.05	199.67	4.61	304.05	1,433.34	3,244.26	2,325.87
Advertising	•	0%	-	-	-	-	· –	-	-	-	- -		, -
Insurance	67,683.00	0%	67,683.00	0.70	752.33	995.07	21.57	50.65	1.17	77.13	363.58	822.93	589.98
Maintenance & Repair	5,385.00	0%	5,385.00	0.06	59.86	79.17	1.72	4.03	0.09	6.14	28.93	65.47	46.94
Space Costs	5,143.00	0%	5,143.00	0.05	57.17	75.61	1.64	3.85	0.09	5.86	27.63	62.53	44.83
Equipment	29,961.00	0%	29,961.00	0.31	333.03	440.48	9.55	22.42	0.52	34.14	160.94	364.29	261.16
Professional fees/Legal/Audit	-	0%	- -	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sub-contractors #1 (\$2,166,298), In-home Services CN	2,166,298.00	0%	2,166,298.00	1,297.00	-	649,565.00	· -	-	-	-	-	-	460,232.00
Sub-contractors #2 (\$1,679,940), Meals, Regular CMA	1,679,940.00	0%	1,679,940.00	-	1,496,706.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	• -
Sub-contractors #3 (\$77,900), Meals, Ensure CMA 388	77,900.00	0%	77,900.00	-	77,900.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sub-contractors #4 (\$192,223), Meals, Hispanic CMA (192,223.00	0%	192,223.00	-	147,283.00	-	-	-	-	-	. +	-	-
Sub-contractors #5 (\$42,518), Meals, Kosher CMA 08	42,518.00	0%	42,518.00	-	24,128.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	-
Sub-contractors #6 (\$13,272), Nutritious Lifestyles, Inc.	13,272.00	0%	13,272.00	-	3,716.00	-	- 1	-	1,119.00	4,125.00	-	-	-
Sub-contractors #7 (\$181,848), Medical Supplies CMA	181,848.00	0%	181,848.00	· -	· -	-	-	· –	-	-	-	-	-
Sub-contractors #8 (\$39,236), Friends - Nurses Staffing	39,236.00	0%	39,236.00		• · ·	-	· · · -	-	- .	-	•	-	-
Sub-contractors #9 (\$36,226), ADC/RESF Vendor Con	36,226.00	0%	36,226.00	-	-	-	•	•		-	-	-	-
Sub-contractors #10 (\$2,700), Counseling Vendor Con	2,700.00	0%	2,700.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sub-contractors #11 (\$18,954), EARS Vendor Contract	18,954.00	0%	18,954.00	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sub-contractors #12 (\$350), Pest Control Vendor Cont	350.00	0%	350.00	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sub-contractors #13 (\$15,000), Housing Improvement	15,000.00	0%	15,000.00	-	. •	-	15,000.00	-	-	-		-	-
Sub-contractors #14 (\$16,000), Adult Care Food Progra	16,000.00	0%	16,000.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Program Supplies	- -	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- · · ·	-	-
Depreciation	•	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Food & Food Supplies	14,423.00	0%	14,423.00	0.15	160.32	212.04	4.60	10.7 9	0.25	16.44	77.48	175.36	125.72
Miscellaneous	155,984.00	0%	155,984.00								99,394.00	-	-
TOTAL ALLOWABLE COSTS	9,134,451.04		9,134,451.04	1,341.37	1,797,451.71	712,680.03	16,368.43	3,212.46	1,193.16	9,016.95	122,455.05	52,197.11	497,653.07
Service Subcontract Allowance				14.97	86,751.95	7,496.26	15,000.00		1,119.00	4,125.00	-	_	5,311.27
Service Subcontract Adjustment				1,282.03	1,662,981.05	642,068.74	-	-	-		-	-	454,920.73
Reallocate Management & General Costs Total Modified Direct Costs				15.79 59.34	35,784.24 134,470.66	18,790.50 70,611.29	4,355.83 16,368.43	854.87 3,212.46	317.51 1,193.16	2,399.52 9,016.95	32,586.74 122,455.05	13,890.27 52,197.11	11,371.5 8 42,732.34
Reallocate Facilities & Maintenance (Space) costs Square Footage Occupied	0	, T	9 2 -		- -	-	•	-	- -	- -	•	-	•
TOTAL COSTS BY SERVICE		geologia e		1,357.16	1,833,235.95	731,470.53	20,724.27	4,067.33	1,510.68	11,416.47	155,041.79	66,087.38	509,024.65
DOSS ARRA OAA C2 UnitCost Worksheets_2009-04	-24, 6-16-09.xls (Su	pport Budgel)		Page 3	of 6						5/15/2009	10:03 AM

·		(18)	(26)	(29)	(30)	(33)	(38)	(39)	(41)	(42)	(43)
LINE:ITEM:EXPENSES	Prior Year Proposed- Historical Increase/ Proposed Costs Decrease Budget Totals	Escort	Home Delivered Meals C2	Homemaker	Housing Improvement	Interpreter/Tr anslating	Nutrition Counseling	Nutrition Education	Other: (R.E.L.I.E.F.)	Outreach	Personal Care
Budgeted In-Kind Valuation Total Costs Less In-Kind by Service	- 0% -	1,357.16	1,833,235.95	- 731,470.53	20,724.27	- 4,067.33	- 1,510.68	11,416.47	155,041.79	- 66,087.38	509,024.65
Number of Billing Units (estimated)		86	557,395	45,478	95	73	38	350	14,222	2,122	32,173
UNIT COST (Actual Cost)		15.78	3.29	16.08	218.15	55.72	39.75	32.62	10.90	31.14	15.82
UNIT RATE (Actual Cost LESS In-Kind Support)		15.78	3.29	16.08	218.15	55.72	39.75	32.62	10.90	31.14	15.82

SIMPLIFIED UNIT COST METHODOLOGY LINE ITEM BUDGET PROJECTIONS

BUDGET YEAR: 6/1/09-9/30/10

BIDDER NAME: Palm Beach County Division of Senior Services

BIDDER NAME: Palm Beach County Division of Senior S	Services			(46)	(52)	(53)	(54)	(55)	(58)			1
LINE ITEM EXPENSES	Historical	Proposed Increase/ Decrease	Proposed	Pest Control (Maintenance)	Respite (Facility Based)	Respite (In- Home)	Screening/A ssessment C2	Shopping Assistance	Specialized Medical Equipment, Services & Supplies	Total Program Costs	Non-DOEA Services & Activities (Senior Centers, BRITE, ACFP, LIHEAP)	Check for Total Costs
Wages	2,662,713.04	0%	2,662,713.04	196.80	28,684.84	31,316.41	285,594.72	8,494.33	28,363.84	1,603,497.52	424,668.32	-
Fringe	1,088,739.00	0%	1,088,739.00	80.47	11,728.75	12,804.76	116,774.92	3,473.19	11,597.50	655,643.42	173,639.80	-
Travel	75,798.00	0%	75,798.00	5.60	816.56	891.47	8,129.87	241.80	807.42	45,645.89	12,088.80	-
Education/Training	2,835.00	0%	2,835.00	0.21	30.54	33.34	304.07	9.04	30.20	1,707.25	452.15	· •
Communications & Postage	73,490.00	0%	73,490.00	5.43	791.69	864.32	7,882.32	234.44	782.83	44,256.00	11,720.71	-
Utilities	203,005.00	0%	203,005.00	-	417.00	· •	•	-	-	10,094.00	182,022.00	-
Printing & Supplies	266,827.00	0%	266,827.00	19.72	2,874.47	3,138.18	28,619.07	851.21	2,842.30	160,684.40	42,555.46	-
Advertising	200,027.00	0%			_,	-		-			-	-
Insurance	67,683.00	0%	67,683.00	5.00	729.13	796.03	7,259,48	215.92	720.98	40.759.00	10,794.56	-
Maintenance & Repair	5,385.00	0%	5,385.00	0.40	58.01	63.33	•	17.18	57.36	3.242.87	858.84	-
•	5,143.00	0%	5,143.00	0.38	55.40	60.49		16.41	54.78	3,097.14	820.24	
Space Costs			•	2.21		352.37		95.58	319.15	18,042.65	4,778.39	-
Equipment	29,961.00	0%	29,961.00	2.21	322.76	352.37	3,213.53	95.56	319.15	10,042.00	4,770.39	-
Professional fees/Legal/Audit	-	0%	-	-	-	-	-	-	• •	-	-	-
Sub-contractors #1 (\$2,166,298), In-home Services CM		0%	2,166,298.00	-	-	665,526.00		11,425.00	-	2,166,298.00	-	-
Sub-contractors #2 (\$1,679,940), Meals, Regular CMA		0%	1,679,940.00	-	-	. •	-	-	-	1,958,713.00	-	(278,773.00)
Sub-contractors #3 (\$77,900), Meals, Ensure CMA 388	77,900.00	0%	77,900.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	77,900.00	-	-
Sub-contractors #4 (\$192,223), Meals, Hispanic CMA (192,223.00	0%	192,223.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	192,223.00	-	-
Sub-contractors #5 (\$42,518), Meals, Kosher CMA 08	42,518.00	0%	42,518.00	-	-	-	-		-	42,518.00	-	-
Sub-contractors #6 (\$13,272), Nutritious Lifestyles, Inc.	13,272.00	0%	13,272.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	13,272.00	-	-
Sub-contractors #7 (\$181,848), Medical Supplies CMA	181,848.00	0%	181,848.00	-	-	-	-	•	181,848.00	181,848.00	-	-
Sub-contractors #8 (\$39,236), Friends - Nurses Staffing	39,236.00	0%	39,236.00	-	4,108.00	-	-	-	-	39,236.00	-	-
Sub-contractors #9 (\$36,226); ADC/RESF Vendor Con	36,226.00	0%	36,226.00	-	3,793.00	-	-	-	. -	36,226.00	-	-
Sub-contractors #10 (\$2,700), Counseling Vendor Con	2,700.00	0%	2,700.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,700.00	-	-
Sub-contractors #11 (\$18,954), EARS Vendor Contract	18,954.00	0%	18,954.00	-	-		-	-	-	18,954.00	· -	-
Sub-contractors #12 (\$350), Pest Control Vendor Conti	350.00	0%	350.00	350.00	-	-	-	-	· -	350.00	-	-
Sub-contractors #13 (\$15,000), Housing Improvement	15,000.00	0%	15,000.00	-	-	· · ·	-	-	-	15,000.00	-	-
Sub-contractors #14 (\$16,000), Adult Care Food Progra	16,000.00	0%	16,000.00	· ·	-	-	-	-	-	_	16,000.00	-
Program Supplies	-	0%		l .	-	-	-	-	-	-	•	_
Depreciation	· ·	0%	-	· .	-	_	·_	-	-	· .	-	-
Food & Food Supplies	14,423.00	0%	14,423.00	1.07	155.38	169.63	1.546.97	46.01	153.64	8,685,59	2,300.28	-
Miscellaneous	155,984.00	0%	155,984.00		-		1,010.01		55,000.00	154,394.00	_,000.20	·
TOTAL ALLOWABLE COSTS	9,134,451.04	672	9,134,451.04	667.29	54,565.54	716,016.33	460,454.15	25,120.11	282,578.00	Names - Andrewski w anter the rest of the State of the second state of	882,699.55	(278,773.00)
				350.00	5,235.09	7,680.45		131.85	25,000.00		16,000.00	
Service Subcontract Allowance Service Subcontract Adjustment				-	2,665.91	657,845.55	-	11,293.15	156,848.00		-	
Reallocate Management & General Costs		e generation and a second		667.29	13,811,11 51,899.63	15,479.94 58,170.79		3,679.52 13,826.96	33,458.24 125,730.00		234,896,82 882,699.55	
Reallocate Facilities & Maintenance (Space) costs Square Footage Occupied	0	a dinaka		•	-	-	-	-	-		- in 1997	
TOTAL COSTS BY SERVICE				844.87	68,376.65	731,496.27	582,986.46	28,799.63	316,036.25		1,117,596.37	
DOCC ADDA OAA CO UnitCost Mintehasta 2000 04	04 6 46 00 via (Cu	manart Duda	-		Dona 5 r							5/15/2000 10:03 AM

DOSS ARRA OAA C2 UnitCost Worksheets_2009-04-24, 6-16-09.xls (Support Budget)

· · · · ·			(46)	(52)	(53)	(54)	(55)	(58)	
LINE ITEM EXPENSES	Historical	Proposed Increase/ Proposed Decrease Budget-Totals	Pest Control (Maintenance)	Respite (Facility Based)	Respite (In- Home)	Screening/A ssessment C2	Shopping Assistance	Specialized Medical Equipment, Services & Supplies	Non-DOEA Services & Activities (Senior Centers, BRITE, ACFP, Costs
Budgeted In-Kind Valuation Total Costs Less In-Kind by Service	-	0% -	- 844.87	68,376.65	- 731,496.27	582,986.46	28,799.63	316,036.25	- 1,117,596.37
Number of Billing Units (estimated)			12	3,627	41,385	12,353	769	4,880	n/a
UNIT COST (Actual Cost)			70.41	18.85	17.68	47.19	37.45	64.76	n/a
UNIT RATE (Actual Cost LESS In-Kind Support)			70.41	18.85	17.68	47.19	37.45	64.76	n/a

Check for Total Costs

ORIGINAL DATE: 5/8/09 REVISED DATE: REVISION NUMBER:

III.B. SUPPORTING BUDGET SCHEDULE BY PROGRAM ACTIVITY * (Indicate all DOEA funding sources applicable to your agency)

Funding Source	Mark which one applies:
ARRA OAA C1	
ARRA OAA C2	X
Others Funded by Agency	

	(Service Reference)	(26)
DESCRIPTION	TOTAL SERVICES	Home Delivered Meals C2
1. Total Budgeted Cash Costs 1. (a) Add Inkind Cost 1. (b) Total Budgeted Costs	355,681.00 0.00 355,681.00	0.00
2. Total Budgeted Units	108,145	108,145
2.(a) Total Cost Per Unit of Service	n/a	3.29
3. Less NSIP	66,369.00	66,369.00
4. Less Cash Match	27,877.00	27,877.00
5. Less Inkind Match	0.00	0.00
6. Less Program Income Used as Match	0.00	0.00
Sub-Total Match:	27,877.00	27,877.00
7. Less Program Income	10,539.00	10,539.00
Less Other Non-Matching Cash & Co-payments	0.00	0.00
9. Adjusted Budgeted Costs	250,896.00	250,896.00
10. Adjusted Cost Per Unit of Service	n/a	2.32
12. Estimated Number of UNDUPLICATED Clients	250	250