Agenda Item: 3F1

PALM BEACH COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Meeting Date: November 15, 2011 Department:	[X] []	Consent Workshop	[]	Regular Public Hearing
Submitted By: Department of Airports				
Submitted For:			: 	

I. EXECUTIVE BRIEF

Motion and Title: Staff recommends motion to approve: Change Order No. 3 to the contract with David Brooks Enterprises, Inc. for a non-compensable time extension of 107 Calendar Days to the Terminal Flooring Improvements-Phase 1 project at Palm Beach International Airport (PBIA).

Summary: On October 5, 2010 the BCC approved the contract with David Brooks Enterprises, Inc. in the amount of \$1,196,311 (R-2010-1605). Change Orders 1 and 2 were approved in accordance with the authority delegated in PPM No. CW-F-50 for an increase to the contract in the amount of \$107,570.15 and no extension of time. Approval of Change Order No. 3 will extend the contract by 107 Calendar Days due to delays beyond the contractor's control associated with procurement of the tile, with no increase to the contract amount. The Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Goal for this project was established at 18%. The total DBE participation to date is 54%. **Countywide (JCM)**

Background and Justification: The contract specified porcelain tile based on color renderings that were approved by the Aviation and Airports Advisory Board (AAAB). Following the award of the contract and completion of the sample mock-up it was determined that the color variations in the specified tile did not meet the intent of the color scheme of the airport or the rendering that was approved by the AAAB. Alternate tile samples were submitted by different manufacturers meeting the specifications of the contract including the one that was the basis of design until a selection was made that more closely met the design intent. In addition, there were revisions to the layout of the tile to incorporate recently modified tenant space that impacted the quantity of tile; therefore, it was necessary to finalize the total quantity prior to placement of the order for quality assurance. Multiple orders may have resulted in variations in the production of the tile. Approval of Change Order No. 3 addresses the additional non-compensatory time requested by the contractor for procurement of the tile that was beyond the contractor's control. Change Order No. 3 for an extension to the contract time of 107 Calendar Days is being brought to the BCC due to the time extension exceeding the 90 Calendar Day threshold pursuant to PPM No. CW-F-050.

Attachments:

Change Order No. 3 with Change Order History- (3 Originals)

Recommended	ву:	un Pelly	10/20/11
		Department Director	Date
Approved By:	od	aprilu	(57/C)
	1	County Administrator	Date

II. FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

Α. Ι	Five Year Summary	of Fiscal Imp	act:			
	Fiscal Years	20 <u>12</u>	20 <u>13</u>	20 <u>14</u>	20 <u>15</u>	20 <u>16</u>
Сар	ital Expenditures					
Ope	rating Costs					
Exte	ernal Revenues (FDC	OT)				
Prog	gram Income (Coun	ty)				
In-K	ind Match (County)					
NET	FISCAL IMPACT	~0~ *See	below ——	-		
	ODITIONAL FTE SITIONS (Cumulative	e)	·			
	em Included In Curro get Account No.: Ro	Fund <u>4111</u>		nt_121 Unit	<u>A212</u> Objed	ct <u>6211</u>
B.	Recommended So	ources of Fu	nds/Summar	y of Fiscal Im	pact:	
	★There is no Fiscal	Impact associ	ated with this	įtem.		
C.	Departmental Fisc	cal Review:	<u>cm</u> S	um		
		III. <u>REV</u>	IEW COMME	<u>NTS</u>		
A.	OFMB Fiscal and	or Contract I	Dev. and Con	trol Commen	its:	
	Jahl Well OFMI	0/25/11 B VA 10/24(11	Co	ntract Dev. a	awh 10 nd Control) {\f\ <u> </u>
B.	Legal Sufficiency	•			plies with curren	t.
	Assistant County	Attorney	<u>/z</u> 8/11	County polici	es.	
C.	Other Department	Review:				
	Department D	irector				

REVISED 9/03 ADM FORM 01 (THIS SUMMARY IS NOT TO BE USED AS A BASIS FOR PAYMENT.)

ORDER						
	Errors/Omis	e Conditions e/Ordinance Ch sions/In Design	า		Quantity Overrun Request By Anot A. Reimbursable Other	s/Underruns her Agency/Outside Party
PROJECT:		ooring Improv International		ise 1		ROJECT NO: PB10-8 E: October 5, 2011
TO:	9000 Burma	ks Enterprises Rd., Ste 101 Gardens, Fl				
Description of This change or Order No 1 that	der includes th	ne extension of	time to the co	entract due to n. See page	o the delay in procu e 2 for further expla	rement referenced in Change anation
		Sta			ial Acquisition	Finish
Original by Con		2/1/			O Cal Days	5/1/11
Actual Time ela	psea	2/1/	Difference		7 Cal Days 7 Cal Days	8/16/11
Total ti	me extension	*********************				107 Cal Days
ASSOCIATED, DIR OR DISRUPTIONS ABOVE-STATED M The Original C Net change by The Contract S	ECTLY OR INDIR RESULTING FR IODIFICATION(S) Contract Sum previous Ch Sum prior to t	ECTLY, WITH THE COM, CAUSED BY, CONSTITUTES, IN Waswas ange Orders his Change Or	ABOVE STATED OR INCIDENT WHOLE OR PAR	MODIFICATIO TO, SUCH MC RT, A CARDINA	IN(S), INCLUDING ALL C IDIFICATION(S), AND IN IL CHANGE TO THE CO	CLAIMS FOR, COSTS AND TIME CLAIMS FOR CUMULATIVE DELAYS NCLUDING ANY CLAIM THAT THE NTRACT. \$1,196,311.00 \$107,570.15 \$1,303,881.15 \$0.00
The new Cont	ract Sum incl	uding Change	Order will be			\$1,303,881.15
The Time to co	omplete this (Contract will be	increased l	oy	**************	107 Calendar days.
The Date of S	ubstantial Co	mpletion of this	S Change Or	der therefor	e is	April 12, 2012
SCHENKELSI Architect 200 East Robi Orlando, Florida Address By: DDD	HULTZ	<u>Davio</u> Con t 300 9000	Brooks Entractor Burma Rd Bch, Florida	terprises	PBC Bd. c Owner P.O. Box 2	of County Commissioners
Control of the contro		PALM BEACI	H COUNTY D	EPARTMEN	T OF AIRPORTS	
Attest: SHARO Clerk &	N R. BOCK Comptroller		PROVED		Sufficience	as to Form and Legal
Depi	uty Clerk al Flooring Imp	rovements B	Directo	Relig or of Airpo	7	County Attorney

PB10-8 Terminal Flooring Improvements R2010-1605 Change Order No 3 Page 2

Description Continued:

The contract specified porcelain tile based on color renderings that were approved by the Aviation and Airports Advisory Board (AAAB). Following the award of the contract and completion of the sample mock-up it was determined that the color variations in the specified tile did not meet the intent of the color scheme of the airport or the rendering that was approved by the AAAB. Alternate tile samples were submitted by different manufacturers meeting the specifications of the contract including the one that was the basis of design until a selection was made that more closely met the design intent. In addition, there were revisions to the layout of the tile to incorporate recently modified tenant space that impacted the quantity of tile; therefore, it was necessary to finalize the total quantity prior to placement of the order for quality assurance. Multiple orders may have resulted in variations in the production of the tile.

SCHEDULE 1 LIST OF PROPOSED DBE FIRMS

(Attachment ____ to Bid Form)

Project/Bid Name: <u>Termina</u>	h1 Project	Project/Bid No: PB10-8					
Name of Prime Bidder: _Dav	Change	ange Order/Task No (if applicable): Chg Order #3					
Contact Person: Mark Day	ridson	Bid Op	ening Date:				
Address: _9000 Burma Rd, S	Ste 101, PBG, FL 33403	Depart	ment: <u>Depart</u>	ment of Airports			
Phone No.: <u>561-626-9960</u>	Fax No: <u>561-626-9980</u>	E-mail	Address: <u>mda</u>	vidson@dbrooks	c.com		
				Do	Ilar Amount		
Name, Address & Phone No. of DBE Firm	Description of Type of Work	Classification (Check applicable box)	Black	Hispanic	Women	Other (Please Specify)	
None		☐ Prime Contractor☐ Subcontractor☐ Supplier☐ Manufacturer☐	\$	\$	\$	\$	
		☐ Prime Contractor☐ Subcontractor☐ Supplier☐ Manufacturer☐	\$	\$	\$	\$	
		☐ Prime Contractor☐ Subcontractor☐ Supplier☐ Manufacturer☐	\$	\$	\$	\$	
		☐ Prime Contractor☐ Subcontractor☐ Supplier☐ Manufacturer☐	\$	\$	\$	\$	
Total Bid Price: \$0.00)	Total V	alue of DBE Pa	articipation: \$	0.00		
order to be counted toward atta. 2. Firms identified on this form multiple of the second of the sec	inment of the DBE goal. Ist be certified as a DBE by Palm Dosed to be purchased from a DBE rsigned Prime Bidder is cor	orted by the price or percentag Beach County or the State of F E regular dealer, sixty percent (ge included on Sche lorida's Unified Cer (60%) of the propos	edule 2, "Letter of Inter tification Program. ed expenditure is cou	nted toward attainm		
Date: [] []					*Addition	nal sheets may be used if necessary.	

PALM BEACH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT PB 10-8 Terminal Flooring Improvements Phase 1

FAA AIP NO. 3-12-0085-053-2010 Fin. Proj. No.: None Contract Date :10/05/10

CONTRACT HISTORY

	ORIGINAL	CURRENT	CURRENT
DATE: NTP	2/1/2011		
CONTRACT TIME = SUBSTANTIAL COMPLETION	330	437	
DATE	12/27/2011		4/12/2012
FINAL COMPLETION DATE CONTRACT AMOUNT = LIQUIDATED DAMAGES RESOLUTION NO	1/26/2011 \$1,196,311.00 \$1,000.00 R-2010-1605	\$1,303,881.15	5/12/2012

CHANGE ORDER No.	DATE	DESCRIPTION	CHANGE ORDER TIME	VALUE OF TIME EXTENSION	CHANGE ORDER AMOUNT	TOTAL VALUE OF CHANGE ORDER ADJUSTED FOR TIME ²	LEAD DEPT APPROVAL	CRC APPROVAL	CUMULATIVE APPROVAL	BCC APPROVAL	PERCENT CHANGE	NEW CONTRACT	STATUS
1		Tile Selection Changes	0	\$0	\$96,255.11	\$96,255.11		\$96,255.11	\$ 96,255.11		8.05%	\$1,292,566.11	5/4/11 CRC Meeting
2		Install Carpet, Kids Zoo tile	0	\$0	\$11,315.04	\$11,315.04	\$11,315.04		\$ 107,570.15		0.95%	\$1,303,881.15	Approved 10/18/11
3		Time Extension for tile selection in CO 1	107	\$107,000		\$107,000.00				See Note 2		\$1,303,881.15	11/15/11 Board Meeting
	To be approved by the	Total	107		\$ 107,570.15		\$ 11,315.04	\$ 96,255.11	\$ 107,570.15	See Note 2	8.99%	\$1,303,881.15	

To be approved by the Dept To be approved by the CRC To be approved by the Board

Angroval Authori

Approval Author	Ly			
CO Value	Cumm CO Value	Authority	Cumulative Days	Authority
\$0-50,000		Lead Dept	0-30 days	Lead Dept
:50,001-100,000		CRC	31-90	CRC
>\$100.001	See Note 1	BCC	120	BCC

Cumulative Value - Revised as of 6/24/09

- When the cumulative value of changes or additional work exceeds the greater of \$250,000 or 5% of the original contract then it must be brought to the board. The cumulative value is then reset to 0
- 2 Time must also be evaluated based on value of LD's for projects over \$1,000,000. The value of the time extension is not included when calc the cummulative value Time Extensions in excess of 90 Days must be approved by the Board and does not count towards the Cumulative Limit