

**PALM BEACH COUNTY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY**

Meeting Date:	November 15, 2011	Consent [X]	Regular []
		Public Hearing []	
Submitted By:	Water Utilities Department		
Submitted For:	Water Utilities Department		

I. EXECUTIVE BRIEF

Motion and Title: Staff recommends motion to approve: Contract with Aquifer Maintenance and Performance Systems, Inc. (AMPS) for the Systemwide Wellfield Rehabilitation and Maintenance Project over a 12 month term in an amount not to exceed \$577,159 with an option to renew for two (2) additional 12 month terms at current prices.

Summary: On September 20, 2011, four (4) bids were received for the Systemwide Wellfield Improvements Project with, AMPS being the lowest responsive, responsible bidder in the amount of \$577,159. This contract will be used for wellfield rehabilitation to maintain adequate raw water supply to the Department's water treatment plants. Contract award is for a 12 month term with an option to renew for two (2) additional 12 month terms at current prices. The contract is for a not to exceed amount of \$577,159, based on the anticipated use, and the projects will be ordered on a priority basis. Work will be assigned during the 12 month contract period by formal Construction Delivery Orders (KDOs) drawn against this continuing contract with the project cost identified in each KDO. The unit prices contained in this contract will be used in determining the cost of these KDOs. The Small Business Enterprise (SBE) participation goal established by the SBE Ordinance is 15% overall. This contract with AMPS provides for SBE participation of 100% overall. AMPS is a Palm Beach County Company and a certified SBE contractor. (WUD Project No. 11-005/VMG) Districts 2, 3, 5 and 6 (JM)

Background and Justification: This project provides for rehabilitation and maintenance of Surficial production wells for Water Treatment Plant Nos. 2, 3, 8 and 9. On September 20, 2011, four (4) bids were received with AMPS submitting the second lowest bid in the amount of \$577,159. The Office of Small Business Assistance determined that AMPS met the SBE goal while the low bidder failed to meet the SBE goal. AMPS' bid is within the 10% SBE preference therefore they are the lowest responsive, responsible bidder. Staff has reviewed the bids and recommends award to AMPS in an amount not to exceed \$577,159.

Attachments:

1. Two (2) Original Contracts
2. Location Map
3. Bid Tabulation Sheet
4. SBE Compliance Review

Recommended By: _____


Department Director

11/8/11
Date

Approved By: _____


Assistant County Administrator

11/10/11
Date

II. FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

A. Five Year Summary of Fiscal Impact:

Fiscal Years	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Capital Expenditures	\$ 577,159	\$0	\$0	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
External Revenues	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
Program Income (County)	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
In-Kind Match County	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
NET FISCAL IMPACT	\$577,159	\$0	\$0	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>
# ADDITIONAL FTE POSITIONS (Cumulative)	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>

Budget Account No.:	Fund	<u>4001</u>	Dept	<u>720</u>	Unit	<u>2531</u>	Object	<u>4615</u>	\$144,289.75
Budget Account No.:	Fund	<u>4001</u>	Dept	<u>720</u>	Unit	<u>2535</u>	Object	<u>4615</u>	\$144,289.75
Budget Account No.:	Fund	<u>4001</u>	Dept	<u>720</u>	Unit	<u>2533</u>	Object	<u>4615</u>	\$144,289.75
Budget Account No.:	Fund	<u>4001</u>	Dept	<u>720</u>	Unit	<u>2532</u>	Object	<u>4615</u>	\$144,289.75

Is Item Included in Current Budget? Yes **X** No

Reporting Category N/A

B. Recommended Sources of Funds/Summary of Fiscal Impact:

One (1) time capital expenditure from user fees with balances brought forward.

C. Department Fiscal Review: Liliana M. West

III. REVIEW COMMENTS


A. OFMB Fiscal and/or Contract Development and Control Comments:

ORMB

In. J. [Signature] 11/8/11
Contract Development and Control

B. Legal Sufficiency:

Legal Sufficiency:


Assistant County Attorney

11/18/11

The Contract does not require a Bond.

C. Other Department Review:

Department Director

This summary is not to be used as a basis for payment.