

II. FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

A. Five Year Summary of Fiscal Impact:

| Fiscal Years | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
|--------------------------|------------------|------|------|------|------|
| Capital Expenditures | \$354,105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Operating Costs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| External Revenues | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Program Income (County) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| In-Kind Match (County) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| NET FISCAL IMPACT | \$354,105 | | | | |
| # ADDITIONAL FTE | | | | | |
| POSITIONS (Cumulative) | | | | | |

Is Item Included in Current Budget? Yes No

Budget Account No: Fund 3801 Dept 411 Unit B552 Object 4907
Reporting Category _____

B. Recommended Sources of Funds/Summary of Fiscal Impact:

All expenses associated with the P25 Migration are funded from the 800 MHz System Renewal/Replacement Fund which is made up of annual renewal/replacement contributions by PBSO, FR, county departments, cities who have direct connect agreements on the existing County system as well as PBSO generated \$12.50 funding.

| | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| Planning/Engineering Services | \$ 304,105 |
| Staff Costs | \$ 50,000 |
| | \$ 354,105 |

OK [Signature] 9/22/14

III. REVIEW COMMENTS:

A. OFMB Fiscal and/or Contract Development and Control Comments:

5/10 9/17 [Signature] 9/18/2014
OFMB

[Signature] 9/22/14
Contract Administrator
F-22-14 B Wheeler

B. Legal Sufficiency:

[Signature]
Assistant County Attorney

C. Other Department Review:

Department Director

Background and Justification (Cont'd):
Page 3

No. 1 the consultant will be preparing technical specifications to solicit bids from contractors for a P25 compliant public safety radio system. CSA #1 includes eight tasks that will be completed in the following order: 1) assessment of the County's current trunked radio system, 2) conduct stakeholder (i.e. law enforcement, fire rescue, etc.) interview sessions, 3) provide options for system replacement to meet the County's goals, 4) develop design criteria package for the P25 system, 5) develop technical specifications and statement of work that will become the basis of the RFP, 6) support the County in its evaluation of RFP responses, 7) assist with vendor negotiation and provide support for the resulting agreement, and 8) prepare and present the contract to stakeholders and elected officials. Tasks 1-5 occur pre-selection of a radio system vendor.

Intergovernmental and vendor participation are key components of the scope of work. In addition to distributing a copy of this item to all city managers (of cities with their own police and/or fire departments), police chiefs and potential vendors, Staff attended the Criminal Justice Commission (CJC)/ Law Enforcement Planning Council (LEPC) meeting held on September 25 to explain the County's scope of work, intergovernmental participation program and anticipated schedule. The key components of the intergovernmental and vendor participation program are discussed below.

Major pre-selection decision points will arise at the end of Tasks 3 and 5. In Task 3, RCC is responsible for providing a recommendation as to a phased or full migration to the P25 system. Staff has given RCC the ability to speak with all potential vendors individually prior to making its recommendation. After the recommendation is made to the County, Staff will provide the vendors with a copy of RCC's detailed report detailing the selected option and invite all to an open attendance meeting to allow an opportunity for the vendors to ask questions, provide feedback, make comments and voice their own recommendations. The County will share the vendor workshop comments with all interested parties (including those vendors not in attendance) to allow open commenting on each other's comments. This follow-up step is necessary since vendors may otherwise make biased comments and recommendations that could strengthen their position within a competitive RFP environment.

In addition, Staff will distribute RCC's report directly to each municipality with its own law enforcement and/or fire department for review and comment. Shortly thereafter, Staff will present the report at a CJC/LEPC meeting. RCC will be available at that meeting to answer any operational questions and Staff will be available to answer any financial questions. RCC will then compile the feedback from that meeting, as well as written feedback received directly from municipalities, and update the report as appropriate. RCC will provide a written response for each comment indicating how the comment was accommodated, or if the comment could not be addressed, why it could not be accommodated. Staff will update the Board on RCC's recommendation and any outstanding municipal or vendor comments prior to authorizing work to proceed to the next task.

As part of Task 5, the draft of the RFP will be reviewed by vendors and municipalities in a manner that is similar to the approach outlined for Task 3. RCC will work with the County to develop a plan to allow the open attendance vendor meeting to occur in a professional environment with the ultimate goal of producing an RFP that will allow for fair competition from multiple responsive bidders. Again, Staff will update the Board on the results of the vendor and municipal review of the draft RFP prior to issuing the solicitation.