# PALM BEACH COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

36.7

#### AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Meeting Date: September 10, 2019	[X] Consent [ ] Workshop	[ ] Regular [ ] Public Hearing
Department: Office of Financial Manageme	ent and Budget	
I. EXEC	CUTIVE BRIEF	
Motion and Title: Staff recommends mot amount of \$4,600 for the full satisfaction of a Laurince on June 6, 2018.	ion to approve: a negotial code enforcement lien that	ated settlement offer in the was entered against Barbara
Summary: The Code Enforcement Special M 2017 for property owned by Barbara Laurince located at 2116 Sherwood Forest Blvd, #27, property had been cited for installing a screen permits. Compliance with the CESM's Order fine in the amount of \$50 per day was impossarbara Laurince on June 6, 2018. The Code Efor the property on May 2, 2019 stating that as corrected. The total accrued lien amount a discussions began, totaled \$18,365.80. Ms. La (25%) for full settlement of her outstanding of	e giving her until April 30, in West Palm Beach into room addition without first was not achieved by the order. The CESM then entered in the Enforcement Division issued of May 2, 2019 the cited case of May 31, 2019, the aurince has agreed to pay I	2018 to bring her property full code compliance. The obtaining required building dered compliance date and a cred a claim of lien against d an affidavit of compliance ode violation had been fully date on which settlement Palm Beach County \$4,600
Background and Justification: The violation installing a screen room addition without fir Magistrate gave Barbara Laurince until Approximation of a fine of \$50 per day would be Enforcement Division on May 1, 2018 confir code lien was then entered against Barbara Division issued an affidavit of compliance for 2019 the cited code violation had been correct by Ms. Laurince on May 31, 2019, to discuss evaluation, and discussions with the Code Enfohas agreed to present the proposed settleme approval.	est obtaining required built oril 30, 2018 to bring he begin to accrue. A follow-tend that the property was Laurince on June 6, 2018 the property on May 2, 20 ted. The Collections Sections a settlement. Collection forcement Division and the	ding permits. The Special or property into full code up inspection by the Code is still not in compliance. A set of the Code Enforcement 19 stating that as of May 2, on of OFMB was contacted ins, after extensive review, County Attorney's Office,
(Continu	ued on page 3)	
Recommended by: Slux/ Department Director	Bm=	8 20 19 Date

Approved by:

County Administrator

Date

#### II. FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS

### A. Five Year Summary of Fiscal Impact:

Fiscal Years	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Capital					
Expenditures					
<b>Operating Costs</b>					
External					***
Revenues	(4,600)				
Program					
Income(County)					
In-Kind				1	
Match(County					
NET FISCAL					
IMPACT	(4,600)				
#ADDITIONAL					
FTE					
POSITIONS					7,
(CUMULATIVE)					

Oper	ating Costs					
Exter	nal					
<b>leve</b>	nues	(4,600)				
rogi	ram					
acon	ne(County)					
1-Ki						
[atc	h(County					
	FISCAL					
	ACT	(4,600)				
	DITIONAL	(4,000)				
TE	THOMAL					
	TIONS					
UIV	IULATIVE)					
oes '	this item inclu	Current Budget de the use of fe	deral funds?		No <u>X</u> No <u>X</u>	
ıdg	et Account No	• Fund <u>0001</u>	_ Department	<u>600</u> U	Jnit <u><b>6241</b></u> Obje	ct <u>5900</u>
	Recommend	ed Sources of	Funds/Summ	ary of Fisc	al Impact:	
					•	
	Department	al Fiscal Revie	w·			
	Department.	ai riscai Revie	<b>**</b> •			
	•					
		III	. <u>REVIEW (</u>	COMMEN	<u>TS</u>	
	OFMR Fisco	l and/or Contr	and Day and	C41 C		
	OF WID FISCA	l and/or Cont	ract Dev. and	Control C	omments:	
	0					
4	Time (	2 कारगीय			N/A	
, , , , , ,	<b>OFMB</b>		It. d.la	, 7	Contract Dev. and	Control
131	19		8121 1/2/1/2	\	onti act Dev. and	Control
-			8/21 8/20/19	+		
	Legal Suffici		2 (00			
	zegar sumer	chey.				
		· ·	···			
	8	76	P			
	Assistant Co					
	Assistant Co	unty Attorney				
	04h . B					
	Otner Depart	tment Review:				
		TAT / A				
	<u> </u>	N/A		•		
	Department 1	Director				

(This summary is not to be used as a basis for payment)

## **Background and Justification Continued (Barbara Laurince) Page 3**

The factors considered during staff's review and evaluation of this settlement are as follows:

- 1. The subject property was purchased by Ms. Laurince on August 23, 2016 as an investment property. In April 2017, shortly after her purchase, code enforcement received a complaint about a screen room enclosure constructed without a permit. It was found that the screen room enclosure had been built without a building permit, and that it predated Ms. Laurince's ownership. It then became her responsibility to resolve the building code violation by either obtaining the required permit or having the screen room structure removed.
- 2. Ms. Laurince did attempt to hire a contractor to assist her in resolving the issue before the fine start date, but had no success until she was able to finally hire a licensed contractor in early February 2019 to assist in getting the required permits and inspections. An application for a demolition permit was submitted to the building department on February 19, 2019 and issued on April 30, 2019. It was determined during the permit process that the unpermitted structure could not be permitted and the structure was removed, for full code compliance confirmed on May 2, 2019.
- 3. Ms. Laurince was no longer able to financially afford her newly acquired property and had to sell it. The funds to pay off the proposed lien settlement will come from the sales proceeds which are currently being held in escrow by the title company.
- 4. The Building Department listed the valuation for demolition of the screen room addition at \$600.
- 5. The building code violation did not present any life safety issues and did not negatively impact the neighboring units.

An affidavit of compliance was issued by the Code Enforcement Division stating that the cited code violation was fully corrected as of May 2, 2019 and the property is in full compliance with the CESM's Order.

In light of the above stated circumstances, Staff believes that the proposed settlement is fair and in the best interest of Palm Beach County.

Settlement offers that reduce any debt amount due to Palm Beach County by more than \$2,500 require the approval of the Board of County Commissioners, per Countywide PPM# CW-F-048.