



**II. FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS**

**A. Five Year Summary of Fiscal Impact:**

Fiscal Years	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
Capital Expenditures					
Operating Costs					
External Revenues					
Program Income (County)					
In-Kind Match (County)					
NET FISCAL IMPACT	None				
# ADDITIONAL FTE					
POSITIONS (Cumulative)					

Is Item Included In Current Budget? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
 Does this item include the use of federal funds? Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_  
 Budget Account No.: Fund \_\_\_\_\_ Agency \_\_\_\_\_ Org. \_\_\_\_\_ Object \_\_\_\_\_  
 Program Number \_\_\_\_\_ Revenue Source \_\_\_\_\_

**B. Recommended Sources of Funds/Summary of Fiscal Impact:**

No fiscal impact

A. Department Fiscal Review:

\_\_\_\_\_

**III. REVIEW COMMENTS:**

A. OFMB Fiscal and/or Contract Administration Comments:

*Raleigh Raw* 10/13/21  
 Budget/OFMB QA 10-12-21  
*Jovanna Jackson* 10/12/21

*John L. Gaudin* 10/19/21  
 Contract Administration  
 10-19-21 TW

B. Legal Sufficiency:

*Anne Delmont* 10-20-21  
 Assistant County Attorney for Dave Behar

C. Other Department Review:

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Department Director

# FY 2022 Adopted Audit Work Program

## How we develop the proposed audit work program

We base our audit work program on three basic components. First, we identify potential auditable units. Then we conduct a risk assessment. Lastly, we project the availability of audit resources. With these three components in place, we can select projects for inclusion in the annual audit work program.

## Auditable Units

We define auditable units as either county departments or divisions of county departments. A further requirement in the definition of an auditable unit is that each unit must be able to provide the information needed to conduct the risk assessment. For example, some county departments account for all departmental business in a single accounting unit regardless of how many divisions the department may have. This situation makes assigning the financial factors used in the risk assessment to the division level impossible. Accordingly, there will only be one auditable unit for that department even if there are multiple divisions within the department.

Many departments and divisions carry on multiple programs that might be auditable at the program level. There is rarely accounting information at the program level for these activities thus rendering the program as unusable within the risk assessment model. A variation on the divisional program theme is the capital improvement program. Five county departments are charged with carrying-out the capital improvement program. Responsibility for managing capital improvements within the department can be spread across several divisions. Accordingly, capital improvements do not fit into the definition of auditable units or into the structure of the risk assessment easily.

## Risk Assessment

There are three elements to our annual risk assessment. The elements are management input, financial factors, and audit input. We survey department and division directors to get their assessment of their unit's risk in five areas. We draw information from the county's accounting system for operating expenses, operating revenues, and grants at the department and division levels. Internal Audit periodically evaluates department internal control processes and management capabilities as evidenced by results of our ongoing audit program. Internal Audit also classifies departments and divisions by the recency of audit reports on the individual units.

We enter the results of the surveys and data gathering into a spreadsheet and calculate numerical risk scores for each auditable unit. We then sort the spreadsheet and assign risk ranks to each auditable unit based on where each unit falls in the sorted listing.

Availability of Resources

The first step in developing the proposed audit work program is determining the availability of audit resources. We currently have the following filled positions: three Senior Auditors, one Auditor 1, and two Staff Auditors. We are currently recruiting for one Staff Auditor. We are working as three teams of two auditors each with each team consisting of a senior auditor and a staff auditor (or auditor 1). We believe that each team can produce three audits per year in addition to other duties such as conducting follow-up work, training and development, research projects and other administrative type activities.

The table below shows the projects on our current project list including the fiscal year the project was scheduled, the original assessed risk for the project, and its status.

<b>FY</b>	<b>Project Title</b>	<b>Risk</b>	<b>Status</b>
19	Community Services - Community Action Program	High	Underway *
21	Community Services - Ryan White	High	Not Started
21	Fire Rescue - Operations	High	Underway
21	OEBO - Ordinance implementation	Medium	Underway
21	ISS - IT Governance	Medium	Underway
21	FDO - Electronic Services & Security	High	Underway

The project listed as "Underway \*" was started in the early days of the pandemic and put on hold due to demands on the agencies for pandemic support. We reached out to the department in August and extended the suspension until October. The four underway projects are currently in planning or fieldwork.

## Proposed FY 2022 Project List

	<b>Projects Carried Over from FY 2021</b>	
	Community Services - Community Action Program	High
	Community Services - Ryan White	High
	Fire Rescue - Operations	High
	OEBO - Ordinance implementation	Medium
	ISS - IT Applications Development Governance	Medium
	Facilities Development & Operations - Electronic Services and Security	High
	<b>New Projects for FY 2022</b>	
	Public Safety - Consumer Affairs MOU	Request
	IT Systems Access Controls	Request
	ARPA Program Internal Controls Review	Request
	Palm Tran Operations	High

There is no risk assessment score developed for the projects in the request category.

- The Public Safety - Consumer Affairs MOU audit is required by the Memorandum of Understanding authorizing the use of motor vehicle license data by the Department.
- The IT Systems Access Controls audit is derived from Audit Committee direction and results of several prior audits indicating issues with the subject area.
- The ARPA program internal controls review was suggested by several County Commissioners during our annual risk assessment interviews.
- The Palm Tran Operations audit is the highest risk auditable unit from the annual risk assessment not currently on an audit plan.

The risk assessment results are summarized on the following pages.

**Audit Risk Assessment for  
FY 2020 Combined Results**

Departments	Divisions	Management Input Result	Financial Result	Audit Result	Result	Risk Rank on Result	
Fire Rescue	Operations	205	104	130	439	1	H
CSD	Ryan White Program	190	72	130	392	2	H
Palm Tran	Operations	155	80	155	390	3	H
Fire Rescue	Community Risk Reduction	200	56	130	386	4	H
PZB	Building	225	48	106	379	5	H
PZB	Zoning	225	48	106	379	6	H
CSD	Senior and Veteran Services	205	80	93	378	7	H
Fire Rescue	Support Services	215	32	130	377	8	H
Public Safety	Animal Care & Control	200	64	112	376	9	H
DOA	Department	142	120	112	374	10	H
Public Safety	Victim Services	185	56	130	371	11	H
PZB	Code Enforcement	215	32	118	365	12	H
Fire Rescue	Administrative Services	165	80	118	363	13	H
FDO	ESS	200	56	105	361	14	H
P & R	Special Facilities	180	72	99	351	15	H
P & R	Parks Maintenance/Operations	185	56	105	346	16	H
Library	Department	175	56	112	343	17	H
P & R	Aquatics	200	56	87	343	18	H
P & R	Recreation Services	190	48	105	343	19	H
CSD	Human Services	165	64	105	334	20	H
H&ES	Countywide/Department	145	48	137	330	21	H
ISS	Network services	200	24	105	329	22	M
WUD	Finance & Administration	110	80	137	327	23	M
Public Affairs	Digital Marketing & Communications	180	16	130	326	24	M
CSD	FAAs	160	32	130	322	25	M
Public Safety	Emergency Management	160	56	106	322	26	M
Youth Services	Department	145	40	137	322	27	M
Public Safety	Justice Services	175	40	106	321	28	M
WUD	Customer Service & Communications	150	32	137	319	29	M
Human Resources	Department	200	24	93	317	30	M
FDO	Facilities Management	160	56	99	315	31	M
ISS	Platform Services	185	24	105	314	32	M
Palm Tran	Support Services	135	24	155	314	33	M
PZB	Administration	160	24	130	314	34	M
ISS	IT Operations	175	32	105	312	35	M
Palm Tran	Executive	140	16	155	311	36	M
Public Affairs	Channel 20	155	24	130	309	37	M
ISS	Enterprise Security	185	16	105	306	38	M
OEO	Office of Equal Opportunity	140	16	149	305	39	M
PZB	Contractor Certification	175	16	112	303	40	M
Public Affairs	Administration (for all divisions)	155	16	130	301	41	M
Public Safety	911 Tech Services	115	56	130	301	42	M
PZB	Planning	135	32	130	297	43	M
E&PW	Road & Bridge	175	40	80	295	44	M
Public Affairs	Graphics	140	24	130	294	45	M
CA	County Attorney	165	48	80	293	46	M
ERM	Environmental Enhancement	155	64	74	293	47	M
Medical Examiner	Medical Examiner	175	24	93	292	48	M

