# **FEBRUARY 17, 2000**

## BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT MEETING

3 4 5 6 7 APPEARANCES:

- 9 BY BOARD MEMBERS

- 10 Nancy Cardone11 Glenn Wichinsky12 Robert Basehart

- 12 Robert Dasenat
  13 Raymond Puzzitiello
  14 Joseph Jacob
  15 Chair Person Chelle Konyk

- 18 APPEARANCES BY
- 19 STAFF:
- 20 David Cuffe
- 21 Laura Beebe
- 22 Jon MacGillis
- 23 Mark Penney
- 24 Bill Cross

27

CHAIR PERSON KONYK: I'd like to call to order 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 the February 17, 2000, Board of Adjustment meeting and start with a roll call and declaration of quorum. MS. MOODY: Mr. Bob Basehart? MR. BASEHART: Here. MS. MOODY: Mr. Joseph Jacobs? MR. JACOBS: (No response.) MS. MOODY: Ms. Nancy Cardone? 10 MS. CARDONE: (No response.) MS. MOODY: Mr. Raymond Puzzitiello? 11 MR. PUZZITIELLO: Here. 12 13 MS. MOODY: Mr. Glenn Wichinsky? 14 MR. WICHINSKY: Here. 15 MS. MOODY: Mr. Stanley Misroch? MR. MISROCH: (No response.) 16 MS. MOODY: And Ms. Chelle Konyk. 17 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Here. 18 19 I have before me proof of publication in the 20 Palm Beach Post. 21 Remarks of the Chair. I'm going to make this 22 real quick because I don't think there's 23 anybody here that's not familiar. But is there 24 anybody not with how the board conducts -- I'll 25 do it one last time. 26 For those of you who are not familiar with the 27 how the board conducts its business, the 28 meeting is divided into two parts, the consent 29 and the regular agenda. Items on the consent 30 agenda are items that are recommended for 31 approval by staff either with or without 32 conditions, the applicant agrees with the 33 conditions, there's no opposition from the 34 public and no board member feels that the item 35 warrants a full hearing. 36 Items on the regular agenda are items that have 37 been recommended for denial by staff or the 38 applicant does not agree with the conditions or 39 there's opposition from the public or a board 40 member feels the item warrants a full hearing. 41 If your item's on the regular agenda, it will 42 be introduced by staff. The applicant will 43 give their presentation. The staff will give 44 their presentation. At this point, we'll hear 45 from the public. After the public portion of 46 the hearing is closed, the board will have an 47 opportunity to ask questions and then vote on 48 the item. 49 Jon anything? 50 MR. MacGILLIS: Nothing under zoning director 51 comments. 52 MR. BASEHART: It's already at the end. Oh, 53 no. It's not okay. Yeah. This is our month 54 for annual elections. And rather than bore the 55 public with that event, I want to make a motion 56 that we move elections to the end of the agenda 57 and hear the items on the agenda first. 58 MR. PUZZITIELLO: Second. 59 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Motion by Mr. Basehart. 60 Second by Mr. Puzzitiello. All those in favor? 61

(Panel indicates aye.) 62

1 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Motion carries unanimously. 2 Any changes to the agenda? 3 MR. MacGILLIS: Just one item, request for a thirty-day postponement. That's item four on your agenda, 4 5 BofA 20008, the petition of Donald Herring. There was some 6 people in the audience --7 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Let the record reflect that 8 Mr. Jacobs has gotten here. MR. JACOBS: With no thanks to I-95. 9 10 MR. MacGILLIS: This item, staff had requested the agent take a thirty-day postponement. We requested a letter 11 from the City of -- Town of Jupiter because this is in their 12 future annexation area so we want to make sure that the 13 variance they requested was consistent with their idea of 14 what was going on in this area. And we never got the letter, 15 so Don Herring said he didn't have a problem. Also there's 16 17 some concerns with neighbors. So the extra thirty days will 18 give them time to work their issues out. 19 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: That's by right? MR. MacGILLIS: Yes. 20 21 THE COURT: So we don't need to vote on that item. 22 MR. MacGILLIS: And it will be time certain to --23 MS. BEEBE: March 18th. 24 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: BofA 20008 will be postponed to 25 the March 18th hearing, time certain. First item on the consent, BofA 9900092, Kilday and 26 27 Associates, agent for Kenco Communities at the Ranch, to allow for a proposed PUD to comply with the RE zoning 28 29 district regulation. 30 Your name for the record? 31 MR. BASEHART: We need to approve the minutes. CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Did everybody receive a copy 32 33 of the minutes from the last meeting? (Panel indicates aye.) 34 35 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Does anyone have any corrections or additions. 36 37 (Panel indicates aye.) 38 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Can we have a motion for 39 approval. 40 MR. BASEHART: So moved. 41 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Motion by Mr. Basehart. 42 MR. WICHINSKY: Second. 43 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Second by Mr. Wichinsky. 44 All those in favor? 45 (Panel indicates aye.) CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Motion carries unanimously. 46 47 Sorry about that. 48 Your name for the record? 49 MS. ANDERSON: Candy Anderson, Kilday and Associates. 50 51 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Staff has recommended two 52 conditions. Do you understand and agree with those conditions? 53 54 MS. ANDERSON: Yes, we do. 55 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Any letters? 56 MR. MacGILLIS: No letters. CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Any opposition from the 57 58 public? 59 MR. MacGILLIS: No opposition. 60 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Any board member feel this item warrants a full hearing? 61 (No response.) 62

CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Seeing none, this item will 1 2 remain on consent. 3 4 STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS 5 6 APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS, based upon the following 7 application of the standards enumerated in Article 5. Section 5.7.E. of the Palm Beach County Unified Land Development Code 8 (ULDC), which a petitioner must meet before the Board of 9 10 Adjustment may authorize a variance. 11 12 ANALYSIS OF ARTICLE 5, SECTION 5.7.E VARIANCE STANDARDS 13 14 1. SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND CIRCUMSTANCES EXIST THAT ARE PECULIAR TO THE PARCEL OF LAND, BUILDING OR STRUCTURE, THAT 15 ARE NOT APPLICABLE TO OTHER PARCELS OF LAND. STRUCTURES OR 16 17 BUILDINGS IN THE SAME DISTRICT: 18 19 YES. There are unique circumstances surrounding the project and the application of the Comprehensive Plan and ULDC 20 provision that warrants special consideration. This proposed 21 189 acre residential development is proposed in the Ag 22 23 Reserve. The project is located on Lyons Road, south of Linton Road and east of 441. The property has AGR land use 24 and zoning classification. This project is located within 25 26 the area Ag Reserve Master Plan. The BCC has designated the Ag Reserve as a unique area in Palm Beach County whose 27 character and land uses must be preserved and promoted. A 28 29 Master Plan was prepared by a consultant hired by the BCC to determine how these goals could be achieved and implemented. 30 31 The applicant is proposing to develop 37 single-family lots 32 on 189 acres at a density of .2 units per 5 acres. However, 33 34 in order to develop this site for 37 single-family lots, variances from the AGR ULDC property development regulations 35 are required. In order to meet the Comp Plan minimum 36 density, the applicant must reduce the lot size to 2.5 acres. 37 38 This is, in part, due to the fact the acreage that is 39 dedicated to lake maintenance easements, right-of-ways cannot 40 be included in the lot area. This reduction in land area makes it impossible to meet the established minimum density 41 for the AGR land use. The applicant has met with Planning 42 and Zoning staff to review the proposal to demonstrate that 43 if this proposal was permitted to utilize the RE property 44 45 development regulations, the minimum density of the Comp plan could be met. Also the applicant states that this project is 46 consistent with the intent of the Ag Reserve Master Plan. 47 The BCC has directed staff to do a text amendment to the ULDC 48 49 AGR property Residential 50 Estate regulations. This text amendment will not occur until 51 later in 2000; and, therefore, this project cannot move forward unless the requested variance is approved. 52 53 Therefore, if the variance, if approved, for this project to 54 allow the RE regulations to be applied to an AGR zoned 55 56 property, then it can proceed through the zoning review process. The project complies with the general intent of the 57 Ag Reserve Master Plan and BCC goals for maintaining and 58 establishing low intensity rural residential communities in 59 this area. Also to preserve the rural quality of life by 60 61 developing residential projects that preserve land, while maximizing the land area to be developed for more intense 62

land use. 1 2 3 2. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES AND CONDITIONS ARE THE RESULT OF 4 ACTIONS OF THE APPLICANT: 5 NO. The requested variance is not a self created situation. 6 7 The applicant has worked closely with staff for the past several months to determine how the proposal can comply with 8 9 existing and proposed AGR regulations. The applicant has worked closely with Planning staff in ensuring the project 10 11 meets the intent of the Ag Reserve Master Plan and AGR minimum density. The project will support 37 acre minimum 12 2.5 acre lots. This is consistent with the land use 13 designation and Master Plan. The applicant's proposal will 14 15 be consistent with the property develop-ment regulations that are currently being reviewed by the Zoning staff. The ULDC 16 text amendments to the AGR property development regulations 17 will not be reviewed by the BCC until later in 2000. 18 19 However, the Board has given staff clear direction that the 20 AGR zoning category needs to allow additional options for property development regulations, if the intent and goals of 21 the Ag Master Plan can be accomplished. 22 23 3. GRANTING THE VARIANCE SHALL CONFER UPON THE APPLICANT 24 SPECIAL PRIVILEGE(S) DENIED BY THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AND 25 26 THIS CODE TO OTHER PARCELS OF LAND, BUILDINGS OR STRUCTURES, 27 IN THE SAME DISTRICT: 28 29 NO. The granting of this variance will not grant any special privilege upon the applicant. The applicant is proposing a 30 31 project that is consistent with the general Master Plan for the Ag Reserve. However, in order to comply with the minimum 32 density of the Comp. Plan, while also complying with the ULDC 33 AGR zoning regulations, a variance will be necessary. The 34 35 variance will allow the project to comply with the 36 development regulations similar to RE to be an option under 37 AGR zoning category. However, this text amendment to the 38 ULDC will not occur until late in 2000. The applicant's 39 client must move forward on the project and thus is applying 40 for a variance. 41 42 4. A LITERAL INTERPRETATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE TERMS AND PROVISIONS OF THIS CODE WILL DEPRIVE THE APPLICANT OF RIGHTS 43 44 COMMONLY ENJOYED BY OTHER PARCELS OF LAND IN THE SAME DISTRICT, AND WOULD WORK AN UNNECESSARY AND UNDUE HARDSHIP: 45 46 47 YES. The applicant cannot move forward with this development until the ULDC AGR property development regulations are 48 amended in later 2000. However, the project is consistent 49 with the BCC direction on how property in the AGR is to be 50 developed. Also the project complies with the Ag Reserve 51 Master Plan. The applicant's client has commitments to 52 53 proceed with the development of this property that must move forward. The granting of the variance will simply allow the 54 project to move forward, prior to the ULDC text amendments to 55 AGR being adopted. 56 57 58 5. THE APPROVAL OF VARIANCE IS THE MINIMUM VARIANCE THAT

5

59 WILL ALLOW A REASONABLE USE OF THE PARCEL OF LAND, BUILDING

60 OR STRUCTURE:

to ensure this project complies with the land use and zoning 1 2 regulations. Also this project was reviewed against the Ag 3 Master Plan to ensure compliance with the general intent and 4 specific goals. The project does comply with the intent of 5 all ULDC, however, cannot move forward unless the variance is approved. The variance will allow the applicant to apply RE 6 property development regulations to this project. The RE 7 8 property development regulations will ensure the land use AGR 9 designation minimum density is met. 10 Therefore, the applicant is only requesting the necessary 11 variance that will allow this project to move forward in a 12 13 timely manner. The variance will be consistent with the text amendments to the AGR that are being considered by staff and 14 15 the Citizen Task Force. 16 17 6. GRANT OF THE VARIANCE WILL BE CONSISTENT WITH THE PURPOSES, GOALS, OBJECTIVES AND POLICIES OF THE COMPREHENSIVE 18 19 PLAN AND THIS CODE: 20 21 YES. The granting of this variance will be consistent with the Comp Plan and ULDC provisions. As previously stated, the 22 23 properties AGR land use and zoning does not allow the 24 applicant to meet the required minimum density. Since land 25 that is dedicated to common uses (lake maintenance areas, 26 road right-of-ways) are excluded for the 5-acre minimum lot 27 area required under AGR zoning. Therefore, the applicant is 28 requesting that in order to comply with the land use 29 designation minimum density that RE property development 30 regulations be applied to the project. 31 32 7. THE GRANT OF THE VARIANCE WILL BE INJURIOUS TO THE AREA 33 INVOLVED OR OTHERWISE DETRIMENTAL TO THE PUBLIC WELFARE: 34 35 NO. The project is consistent with the Ag Master Plan and 36 BCC general direction on how land patterns are to be 37 developed and maintain in the Ag Reserve. The overall 38 density of 1du/5 acres will ensure this is a low intensify 39 land use in the rural agricultural area. The reduced 40 property development regulations are all internal to the 41 development and therefor will not impact adjacent land uses. 42 43 ENGINEERING COMMENT(S) 44 45 The Engineering Department has no comment regarding the 46 subject variance requests regarding lot size, setbacks, 47 building coverage, and FAR as stated in the applicant's 48 summary. 49 50 ZONING CONDITION(S) 51 52 1. The property owner shall provide the Building Division 53 with a copy of the Board of Adjustment Result Letter and a 54 copy of the Site Plan presented to the Board, simultaneously 55 with the building permit application. (BLDG PERMIT:BLDG) 56

57 2. By February 17, 2001, the property owner shall obtain a 50

building normit for the first single family dyualling in order

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	Board of Adjustment time extension 200006, Lee
11	Starkey, agent for Robert Simmons and John Christiansen.
12	Your name for the record?
13	MR. STARKEY: My name, for the record, is Lee
14	Starkey.
15	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Staff has recommended four
16	conditions. Do you understand and agree with those
17	conditions?
18	THE WITNESS: We certainly do.
19	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: This is not advertised?
20	MR. MacGILLIS: Correct.
20	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Then there is no opposition.
22	Any board member not want to offer the extension?
$\frac{22}{23}$	(No response.)
23	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Seeing none, this item will
24 25	
23 26	remain on consent.
20 27	MR. STARKEY: Thank you very much. CHAIR PERSON KONYK: BofA 200007 Kilday and
28	Associates, agent for GL Homes of Florida, et cetera, to
29	allow one housing type in a PUD in excess of seventy-five
30	acres and three hundred dwelling units.
31	Your name for the record?
32	MS. ANDERSON: Candy Anderson, Kilday and
33	Associates.
34	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Staff has recommended two
35	conditions.
36	Do you understand and agree with those conditions?
37	MS. ANDERSON: Yes, we do.
38	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Any letters.
39	MR. MacGILLIS: Four letters of opposition.
40	Generally, they they're from the same person.
41	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Four letters from the same
42	person?
43	MR. MacGILLIS: Mark can explain this.
44	MR. PENNY: There was simply some confusion over
45	the case. And I he had the wrong case. Essentially, I
46	talked to him. It was dealt with.
47	MS. ANDERSON: There was some confusion as to what
48	we were doing. They thought we were doing something to
49	Cadillac Drive, which we're not.
50	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Any opposition from the
51	public?
52	(No response.)
53	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Any board feel this item
54	warrants a full hearing?

# 54 warrants a full hearing?

1 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Thank you for that correction. 2 3 STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS 4 APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS, based upon the following 5 6 application of the standards enumerated in Article 5, Section 5.7.E. of the Palm Beach County Unified Land Development Code 7 (ULDC), which a petitioner must meet before the Board of 8 9 Adjustment may authorize a variance. 10 11 ANALYSIS OF ARTICLE 5, SECTION 5.7.E VARIANCE STANDARDS 12 13 1. SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND CIRCUMSTANCES EXIST THAT ARE 14 PECULIAR TO THE PARCEL OF LAND, BUILDING OR STRUCTURE, THAT ARE NOT APPLICABLE TO OTHER PARCELS OF LAND, STRUCTURES OR 15 BUILDINGS IN THE SAME DISTRICT: 16 17 18 YES. The proposed 120 acre PUD is located approximately 5 miles north of Lantana Road and 1.5 miles south of Melaleuca 19 20 Road. The PUD is 120 acres and will support for (4) pods. The current approved Master Plan is approved with a density 21 22 of 4.4445 dwelling units per acre. Only POD "D" supports townhouses. All other pods support single-family dwellings. 23 Nautica Shores PUD is scheduled for annexation into the City 24 of Greenacres in September 2000. However, before annexation 25 occurs, the subject property must be platted. It is the 26 27 applicants intent to plat all of the subject property in Palm 28 Beach County so that an expedient annexation can occur. The applicant requires a variance before the PUD can receive 29 Development Review Committee approval and move on plat 30 review. All of the plats need to be recorded in August, 31 2000, so that a logical annexation can be undertaken in 32 September. 33 34 2. SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES AND CONDITIONS ARE THE RESULT OF 35 ACTIONS OF THE APPLICANT: 36 37 38 NO. The applicant is requesting a variance to construct only 39 one housing type (single-family) within the PUD. There is a demand for such housing in this area and the City of 40 Greenacres encourages the development of Single-family 41 dwelling. According to the City of Greenacres Evaluation and 42 Appraisal Report (EAR) 71% of the total number of residential 43 44 dwellings in the city as attached units, while only 24% are detached single-family residential units. Allowing a 45 variance to permit the development of detached single-family 46 residences throughout the entire Nautica Shores PUD would 47 48 provide for a more balanced housing opportunities in the 49 city. 50

# 51 3. GRANTING THE VARIANCE SHALL CONFER UPON THE APPLICANT

22 ODECLAT DDIVIT ECE/C) DENIED DV THE COMDDEHENGIVE DLAN AND

1

Village cluster lots, which are actually, an alternative 2 to attached townhouse lots 3 4 Due to the fact that three (3) different models of the same 5 housing type are being offered, this development will actually meet the general intent of the code requirement of 6 7 two (2) housing types from which a variance is being 8 requested. The lots range in size from 3,600 square feet to 5,500 square feet. The estimated average price ranges from 9 \$125,000 to \$185,000. This broad range of housing 10 11 opportunities accommodate first-time home buyers, middle class families both with and without children and older 12 13 adults who prefer the appeal of a family-oriented community. 14 4. A LITERAL INTERPRETATION AND ENFORCEMENT OF THE TERMS AND 15 PROVISIONS OF THIS CODE WILL DEPRIVE THE APPLICANT OF RIGHTS 16 COMMONLY ENJOYED BY OTHER PARCELS OF LAND IN THE SAME 17 DISTRICT, AND WOULD WORK AN UNNECESSARY AND UNDUE HARDSHIP: 18 19 20 YES. Enforcement of the literal term of this code provision 21 would be contrary to the City of Greenacres development proposals in this area and city. The city has an abundance 22 of multi-family housing within its city boundaries and it has 23 24 been the policy of the city to encourage detached residential 25 development, whenever possible. It has always been the 26 intent of GL Homes to annex into the city with the annexation 27 scheduled for September 2000. In order to annex platted 28 properties, it is necessary to receive Site Plan approval on all the pods, one of which is currently a townhouse pod. 29 Obtaining a variance from providing two housing types would 30 allow the site plan to reflect zero lot line lots preferred 31 32 by the city. Once site plan approval has been obtained, the 33 plat process can begin. Once the entire project is platted and approved by Palm Beach County, the project will be 34 35 annexed into Greenacres. Therefore, granting of this 36 variance will ensure the annexed project is consistent with 37 the city's future land use and zoning. 38 39 5. THE APPROVAL OF VARIANCE IS THE MINIMUM VARIANCE THAT 40 WILL ALLOW A REASONABLE USE OF THE PARCEL OF LAND. BUILDING 41 **OR STRUCTURE:** 42 43 YES. Granting the variance to allow only one type of dwelling unit will decrease the overall density of the PUD by 44 45 28 units. The requested variance will allow the developer to 46 adhere to the City of Greenacres' policy to construct 47 single-family residential housing, whenever possible. Before

- annexation begins in September 2000 the subject PUD must be 48
- 40 nlattad and finalized in Dalm Daach Country Dafara nlatting

ULDC provisions will no longer affect the PUD. It is County 1 policy to encourage assist neighboring municipalities with 2 3 annexation efforts whenever possible. 4 5 Even with the requested variance, the PUD will provide a comprehensive list of single-family housing types to 6 7 accommodate a wide spectrum of buyers: 8 9 One (1) and two (2) story homes 10 Three (3), four (4) and five (5) bedrooms 11 Homes ranging from 1,000 to 3,000 air conditioned square 12 feet 13 Rooms with flat, vaulted or high volume ceilings 14 One (1), two (2) and three (3) car garages 15 7. THE GRANT OF THE VARIANCE WILL BE INJURIOUS TO THE AREA 16 INVOLVED OR OTHERWISE DETRIMENTAL TO THE PUBLIC WELFARE: 17 18 19 NO. Granting this variance will provide a wider range of 20 housing within the City of Greenacres. The city currently 21 has a shortage of single-family dwellings and when this 22 project is annexed this year, the proposed 506 single-family dwellings will help meet this shortage. The three 23 24 single-family housing types proposed for Nautica Shores PUD 25 offer a wide range of housing to potential home buyers. The proposed units range from 1,000 square feet to 3,000 square 26 27 feet and vary in price from \$125,000 to \$185,000. Providing 28 the village cluster units as an alternative to townhouse units will not be injurious to the area involved or otherwise 29 detrimental to the public welfare and will provide the City 30 of Greenacres with the type of housing they want to provide 31 32 in their jurisdiction. 33 34 ENGINEERING COMMENT 35 36 No Comment 37 38 ZONING CONDITION(S) 39 1. Prior to DRC certification of the received Master Plan 40 for Nautica Shores (Pet.#99-040), the BA condition shall be 41 42 attached to the certified plan. (ZONING-DRC) 43 44 2. This variance is valid for a period of one year provided: 45

<sup>45 1.</sup> A building permit for a single-family dwelling is

- Congress Venture Two, to allow bay doors to face 1 2 residentially zoned property. 3 Your name, for the record? 4 MS. AKERS: Cherie Akens with Kilday and 5 Associates. 6 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Staff has recommended Three 7 conditions. 8 Do you understand and agree with those conditions? 9 MS. AKERS: Yes, we do. 10 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Any letters? MR. MacGILLIS: Bill's got several. 11 MR. CROSS: We received three phone calls on this 12 13 issue. Two of them were related to property owners that were confused as to the location of the actual property. Their 14 concerns were addressed. The third was for the property 15 developer for the dog track located around the corner. They 16 had no concern, just wanted to know exactly what the type of 17 18 development is, so all concerns were addressed. CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Okay. Any opposition from 19 the public? 20 21 (No response.) 22 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Any board member feel this 23 item warrants a full hearing. 24 (No response.) CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Seeing none, this item will 25 remain on consent. 26 So on consent we have BofA 9900092, Board of 27 Adjustment time extension 200006, BofA 200007 and BofA 28 29 2000009. 30 Somebody prepared to make a motion? 31 MR. WICHINSKY: So moved. 32 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Motion by Mr. Wichinsky. 33 MR. PUZZITIELLO: Second. 34 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Second by Mr. Puzzitiello. 35 CHAIR PERSON KONYK: All those in favor? 36 (Panel indicates ave.) CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Motion carries unanimously. 37 38 You are free to leave. MR. MacGILLIS: Madam Chair, there was another 39 40 item, 200010, Thoroughbred Estates, I believe, is the name of
- 11
  - 11

42 They actually -- the legal ad went out on that.

41

the PUD.

12 Thay tack a notionament Ear some reason we didn't nut it

1	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: We talked about taking it
2	out, but I
3	MR. MacGILLIS: I think we did take it out.
4	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Well.
5	MR. BASEHART: You want to do it, again?
6	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: No.
7	MS. BEEBE: It doesn't sound like she wants to
8	anyway.
9	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: No. I think somebody else
10	should have a turn.
11	MR. PUZZITIELLO: I nominate Bob Basehart.
12	MR. WICHINSKY: I'll second that.
13	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: We have a nomination for
14	Chair for Mr. Basehart, seconded by Mr. Wichinsky.
15	Any discussion?
16	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: I guess we have to vote on it.
17	All those in favor of Mr. Basehart being Chair.
18	(Panel indicates aye.)
19	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Motion carries unanimously.
20	MR. WICHINSKY: Does he want to be Chair.
21	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Yeah. He wants to be the
22	Chair. You know he wants to be the Chair.
23	MR. PUZZITIELLO: We had the election so fast, he
24	couldn't say anything.
25	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Okay. We need a Vice-Chair.
26	MR. BASEHART: Who will be Vice-Chair?
27	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: I'll be vice. I'll be in
28	charge of vice.
29	Mr. Basehart has made a motion for Chelle Konyk to
30	be the Vice-Chair.
31	Did we have a second?
32	MR. WICHINSKY: Second.
33	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: We have a second by Mr.
34	Wichinsky.
35	Any discussion?
36	(No response.)
37	CHAIR PERSON KONYK: All those in favor?
38	(Panel indicates aye.)

CHAIR PERSON KONYK: Motion carries unanimously.
 Concentralations

#### CERTIFICATE

# THE STATE OF FLORIDA)

# 3 COUNTY OF PALM BEACH)

5 I, Rachele Lynn Cibula, Notary Public, State of Florida at Large, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me at the time and place stated herein; that I administered unto the witness their oath to testify the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; that they were there and then orally examined and testified as herein set forth; and that this transcript of said proceedings, numbered 1 through 12 inclusive, constitutes a true and correct transcript of said hearing. I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither related to nor employed by any counsel or party to the cause pending, nor interested in the event thereof. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed my hand and official seal this 6th day of March, 2000. RACHELE LYNN CIBULA, NOTARY PUBLIC