1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	PALM BEACH COUNTY
10	BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	Thursday, April 21, 2005
18	9:05 a.m 9:50 a.m.
19	100 South Australian Avenue
20	West Palm Beach, Florida
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	Reporting:
32	
33	Ed Flaxman
34	Court Reporter
35	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
36	

1	ATTENDEES
2	
3	Robert Basehart, Vice Chairman
4	
5	Bart Cunningham
6	
7	Raymond Puzzitiello
8	
9	Nancy Cardone
10	
11	Donald Mathis
12	
13	
14	Alan Seaman, Senior Site Planner
15	
16	Miradieu Aubourg, Planner II
17	
18	Oscar Gamez, Planner I
19	
20	Annie Helfant, Assistant County Attorney
21	
22	David Cuffe, Land Development
23	
24	Annette Stabilito, Secretary
25	
26	

1		INDEX	
2			
3	<u>Petition</u>		Page No.
4 5	1	BA2004-00788	6
6			
7	2	BA2004-01001	7
8	2	77.0005.0005	0
9	3	BA2005-00005	8
10 11	4	BA2005-00285	8
12			
13 14	5	BA2005-00286	9
15	6	BA2005-00288	10
16			
17	7	BA2005-00289	10
18 19	8	BA2005-00290	11
20	0	D7 2005 00201	1.2
21 22	9	BA2005-00291	13
23	10	BA2005-00292	14
24	1.1	D7.0004.00F04	1 -
25 26	11	BA2004-00794	15
27	12	BA2005-00302	5
28			
29			
30			
31			
32	7 D TOLIDAN (ENTE		0.1
33 34	ADJOURNMENT		21
35	CERTIFICATE OF	REPORTER:	22
36			-

PROCEEDINGS

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: I'd like to welcome everyone to the April 2005 Palm Beach County Board of Adjustment meeting. Before we start, let me explain for those of you that are not familiar with our proceedings the way this Board operates. There are two types of items on the agenda. One are consent items. That are items that the staff has recommended approval on, and there's been no indication of opposition from surrounding property owners or the public, and if conditions are recommended the applicant has acknowledged agreement to accept those conditions.

Those items, we'll introduce them one at a time. The applicant can come forward, acknowledge agreement with the conditions, and if no one has come to oppose the application and all the Board members have read the staff report and are in agreement with it those items will stay on the consent agenda. If any Board member feels an item should be pulled or if there are neighbors here to oppose that application or the petitioner indicates he does not agree with the conditions then those items will be pulled from the consent agenda, and they will go on the regular agenda.

The regular agenda items that are pulled from consent or items where staff has recommended denial or where there's been an indication of opposition from surrounding property owners, those items will be introduced. Staff will give their staff report and recommendation. The applicant will do the same. And any member of the public here to speak on the item will be given an opportunity to do so. After full discussion and full hearing on those items they will be voted on on an individual basis.

I failed to mention on the consent agenda once we've gone through that everything that remains on the consent agenda will be approved as a group. Your approval letters have been prepared, I assume, have they?

 $\underline{\text{MR. SEAMAN}}$: The code policy says that we don't do that anymore. We just prepare at staff meeting.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. All right. We used to give you your approval letters on the spot, but I guess from now on you will be sent within a few days your approval letter. That being said, is there any Board member have anything they'd like to mention?

(No response)

 $\underline{\text{VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART}}\colon$ We'll start then with the roll call.

MS. STABILITO: Mr. William Sadoff.

(No response)

MS. STABILITO: Mr. Raymond Puzzitiello.

MR. PUZZITIELLO: Here.

MS. STABILITO: Mr. Bart Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: Here.

MS. STABILITO: Chairperson Ms. Chelle Konyk.

(No response)

<u>VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART</u>: Vice Chairman Mr. Robert Basehart.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Here.

MS. STABILITO: Ms. Nancy Cardone.

MS. CARDONE: Here.

62 MS. STABILITO: Mr. Joseph Jacobs.

```
MS. STABILITO: Mr. Donald Mathis.
4
             MR. MATHIS: Here.
5
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay.
                                                 We have a
6
             The next item on the agenda is the opening
7
   prayer and Pledge of Allegiance, which Mr. Cunningham has
   volunteered to conduct.
9
             (Whereupon, the opening prayer was given by Mr.
10
   Cunningham, followed by recitation of the Pledge of
11
   Allegiance.)
12
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay.
                                              We have proof
13
   of publication. We'll just accept that into the record.
14
   the next item on the agenda is remarks of the Chairman.
15
   Actually, I already made mine out of turn. So we'll I
16
   guess move on to approval of the minutes. Everyone
   received a copy of the minutes on disc. Anybody have any
   problems with the minutes? Actually there were two
19
   months. Motion?
20
             MR. CUNNINGHAM: So moved.
21
             MR. MATHIS: Second.
22
   VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Motion by Mr. Cunningham, second by Mr. Mathis. And this is for both
23
24
   February and March, is that correct? Is this March? Oh,
25
   it's two disks. Okay. I'm sorry. For March. All those
26
27
   in favor?
28
             BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Opposed?
29
30
             (No response)
             <u>VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART</u>: Motion carries.
31
   Remarks of the zoning director.
32
33
             MR. SEAMAN: There are none.
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. No changes to
34
35
   the -- well, approval of the agenda is next.
36
             MR. SEAMAN: There are changes.
37
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay.
             MR. SEAMAN: For petition BA2005-0302, they
38
   requested a 30-day postponement to May 19, and they gave
39
   us a letter this morning to request that.
40
41
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART:
                                       Okay.
                                                That was the
   last item on the agenda.
42
             MR. SEAMAN:
                         That's correct.
43
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Was that done in the
44
45
   time period for voluntary?
46
             MR. SEAMAN:
                         No, so you would need to vote on
47
   it.
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART:
48
                                          Okay.
                                                  Is there
   anybody here that has come to speak on petition BA2005-
49
            It's RSA Development LLC & Heritage Property
   00302?
50
   Group. Anybody?
51
             MR. MCGINLEY: We're requesting a postponement.
52
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Any problem
53
54
   from a member of the Board?
55
             (No response)
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Motion?
56
             MR. PUZZITIELLO: So moved.
57
             MR. CUNNINGHAM: Second.
58
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Motion
59
   Puzzitiello, second by Mr. Cunningham. All those in
60
   favor?
61
             BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
62
```

1

2

(No response)

(No response)

MS. STABILITO: Mr. Stanley Misroch.

```
VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART:
3
                                             Motion carries.
   That's 30 days.
4
             MR. SEAMAN: And we also have some corrections
5
   to conditions, but I'll go over those as we get to each
6
   petition.
7
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART:
                                        Okay.
                                                  Next item,
   everyone that intends to speak today, please rise to be
9
   sworn in. That would be if you intend to speak in favor
10
   or in opposition.
11
             (Whereupon, the speakers were sworn in by Mr.
12
13
   Flaxman.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay.
                                              That takes us
   to the consent agenda. We'll go through these one at a
21
          The first item is BA2004-00788. Is the applicant
22
   here, petition of Kilday & Associates?
23
             MR. BRINK:
                                     Brink
24
                             Damian
                                            with Kilday
   Associates representing the applicant, Curtis Lewis.
25
26
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART:
                                             The
                                                  staff
27
   recommended approval of your
                                      application
28
   conditions.
             MR. BRINK: We agree to the five conditions.
29
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART:
30
                                          Yeah,
                                                 five.
                                                          Ι′m
31
   sorry.
             MR. BRINK: With the revision to item three.
32
33
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. What's the...
             MR. SEAMAN: If you want to turn to page 05 of
34
   your report, the third condition. Completely strike it,
35
   and here is the revised written condition. I'll read it
37
   for the record.
                      Number 3, by October 21, 2005, the
   applicant shall install between the existing chain link
38
   fence and road rights-of-way the six foot at time of
39
   planning ficus hedge with a minimum spacing of 24 inches
40
   apart. The requirement is applicable to the west, south,
41
   and east parking lots. Shade corner clips shall be landscaped per Article 7, Section 13, corner clips.
42
43
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Do you agree to that?
44
             MR. BRINK: Yes, sir.
45
46
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: This again is a public
              Is there anybody here to speak in opposition to
47
   hearing.
   this application?
48
             (No response)
49
50
             <u>VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART</u>: Seeing none, are there
51
   any letters?
             MR. SEAMAN: There are no letters.
52
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Any member of
53
54
   the Board feel this item needs a full hearing?
55
             (No response)
56
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART:
   consent, and that's with modified condition number 3.
57
58
59
                 BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CONDITIONS
60
        The owner shall cease permitting restaurant patrons
61
   1.
```

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Opposed?

(No response)

1 2

62

from using back-out parking onto Shawnee Avenue

1 immediately.

- 3 2. By October 21, 2005, the applicant shall install
- 4 plant materials as required, pursuant to Art.7 of the
- 5 ULDC, within all reduced compatibility and
- 6 incompatibility buffers along property lines at the West,
- 7 South and East parking lots.

9 3. By October 21, 2005, the applicant shall install between the existing chain link fence and road rights-of11 way the six foot at time of planning ficus hedge with a
12 minimum spacing of 24 inches apart. The requirement is
13 applicable to the west, south, and east parking lots.
14 Shade corner clips shall be landscaped per Article 7,
15 Section 13, corner clips.

17 4. By October 21, 2005, the applicant shall pave the 18 West parking lot (employee parking), as required by Art.6 of the ULDC.

5. By June 21, 2005, the owner shall remove existing striping at location of back-out parking onto Shawnee Avenue, saw cut a minimum of five feet of asphalt along south foundation of restaurant, and install landscaping approved by the landscape inspectors.

 $\underline{\text{VICE}}$ CHAIRMAN BASEHART: The next item is BA2004-01001, again Kilday & Associates.

MS. POLSON: Good morning. Jan Polson for Kilday & Associates.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. The staff has recommended approval of your application with one condition. Do you agree with that?

MS. POLSON: Yes, we do.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Is there any member of the public here to speak in opposition on this item?

(No response)

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Seeing none, any letters?

 $\underline{\text{MR. SEAMAN}}$: There are two letters, and they are in disapproval but what they're asking for is they want the area to remain as a preserve which in fact is what it is and what it will remain as.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Any member of the Board feel this needs to be pulled?

(No response)

 $\underline{\text{VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART}}\colon$ Okay. This item will stay on consent as well.

MS. POLSON: Thank you.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CONDITIONS

In the event ownership of the preserve parcel should be transferred to a private entity, the preserve parcel shall be platted pursuant to the regulations of the ULDC.

1 2 5 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: The next item is 6 BA2005-00005, the application of Harold & Kathleen 7 Is the applicant here? Your name for the 9 record? 10 MS. SHAFER: Kathleen Shafer. MR. SHAFER: Harold Shafer. 11 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. 12 recommended approval of your variance with four 13 14 conditions. Are you familiar with them? MS. SHAFER: 15 Yes. MR. SHAFER: 16 Yes. 17 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Do you agree with them? 18 19 MS. SHAFER: Yes. MR. SHAFER: 20 Yes, we do. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Any member of the 21 22 public? MR. SEAMAN: May I make a comment? 23 24 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. MR. SEAMAN: If the Board will note, you got a 25 separate sheet that was given to you that says 2005-0005 26 with all four conditions have been modified so you have a 27 28 complete new sheet there. And I'm not sure if I need to read them into the record or not. 29 MS. HELFANT: They can just be entered into the 30 31 record as part of the record. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Everybody has 32 33 read them and you've read them? 34 MS. SHAFER: Yes. MR. SHAFER: 35 Yes, we have. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. So it's four 37 conditions. Any member of the public here to speak on this item? 38 39 (No response) VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Any letters? 40 MR. SEAMAN: There are none. 41 42 <u>VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART</u>: Okay. Any member of the Board feel this matter needs to be pulled? 43 44 (No response) 45 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Stay on 46 consent. 47 BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CONDITIONS 48 49 By June 21, 2005, or before submitting the 50 application for a special permit, the applicant shall 51 install glass blocks in the windows on the east side of 52 the proposed accessory dwelling. (DATE: BUILDING: 53 54 ZONING)

55

- By June 21, 2005 or before application for a special 56 permit, the applicant shall install a 6 feet wood ffence 57 along the east property line. (DATE: BUILDING: ZONING) 58
- By October 21, 2005, the applicant shall obtain a 60 special permit to convert the existing one story garage 61 into an accessory dwelling in order for BA-2005-0005 to 62

By April 21, 2006, the applicant shall secure a building permit in order to convert the existing garage 4 into an accessory dwelling. (DATE: BUILDING: ZONING) 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: The next item is 12 BA2005-00285, Hugo & Maria Fernandez. 13 14 MS. FERNANDEZ: Maria Fernandez. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Ms. Fernandez, 15 staff has recommended approval of your application with 16 17 two conditions. Do you agree with them? MS. FERNANDEZ: I agree. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Any member of the 19 20 public here to speak on this item? 21 (No response) 22 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: No one is here. Letters, Alan? 23 24 MR. SEAMAN: We got five that are in support. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Any member of the 25 Board feel this item needs to be pulled? 26 27 (No response) VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: It will stay on 28 consent as we $\overline{11}$. 29 30 BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CONDITIONS 31 33 By October 21, 2005, the applicant shall secure a building permit in order to vest this variance. (DATE:BUILDING:ZONING) 35 37 The variance request is only for the existing converted carport. All other improvements shall meet the 38 ULDC requirements. (ONGOING:ZONING:ZONING) 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: The next item is 47 BA2005-00286, Sachs, Sax & Klein. MR. RODRIGUEZ: Michael Rodriguez from the firm 48 Sachs, Sax & Klein on behalf of the petitioner. 49 50 <u>VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART</u>: Okay. Staff has recommended approval with one condition. Do you agree 51 with it? 52 53 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Yes, I do. 54 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Any member of the 55 public here to speak on this item? 56 (No response) VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Seeing none, letters, 57 58 Alan? MR. SEAMAN: We got four, and of the four three 59 -- I'm sorry, two disapprove, and the comments are that 60 they'd like the area that the applicant is -- that's the 61 subject of the application to remain as open space. They 62

1

2

be vested. (DATE: ZONING: ZONING)

1 also think that this will clutter the community. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: 2 Okay. Any member of the Board feel this item needs to be pulled? You've all 3 read the staff report. 4 (No response) 5 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. This will 6 remain on consent as well. 7 8 BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CONDITIONS 9 10 By April 21, 2006, or prior to DRO certification, the 11 applicant shall amend the site plan to reflect the 12 variance approval pursuant to BA2005-286. 13 14 (DATE:DRO:ZONING) 15 16 17 18 19 20 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: The next item is 21 BA2005-00288, Gentile, Holloway O'Mahoney & Associates. 22 MS. MAHR: Wendy Mahr with Gentile, Holloway & 23 24 O'Mahoney. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Wendy, the staff has 25 recommended approval with two conditions. Do you agree 26 with them? 27 28 MS. MAHR: Yes, we do. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Any member of the 29 public here to speak on this item? 30 31 (No response) VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Seeing none. 32 Alan, 33 letters? MR. SEAMAN: No letters. 34 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Any member of the 35 Board feel the item needs to be pulled? 37 (No response) 38 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Stay on consent as well. 39 40 BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CONDITIONS 41 42 By April 21, 2006, or prior to DRO certification, 43 the applicant shall amend the site plan to reflect the 44 45 variance approval pursuant to BA2005-288. 46 (DATE:DRO:ZONING) 47 All plant material require by the ULDC within the 50 48 feet landscape buffer, shall be installed within the 49 approved condensed 15 feet landscape buffer. (ON-50 GOING:LANDSCAPE:ZONING) 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Next item is BA2005-58 00289, Boose Casey Ciklin Lubitz, agent. 59 MR. RUBIN: Good morning. Len Rubin, with 60 Boose Casey Ciklin on behalf of the property owner. 61 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Staff has 62

recommended approval with three conditions. Do you agree 2 with them? MR. RUBIN: Yes, we do. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Is there any member of 4 the public here to speak on this item? 5 (No response) 6 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Seeing none. 7 letters? 8 MR. SEAMAN: Six letters, and five in approval 9 10 and one just for clarification. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Any member of 11 the Board feel this needs to be pulled? 12 13 (No response) 14 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. You'll stay on 15 consent. 16 BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CONDITIONS 17 18 The variance is subject to the property being 19 rezoned as industrial light (IL). If the property does 20 not get rezoned to IL, then the setback variance will 21 22 become void. 23 24 2. By April 21, 2007, the applicant shall obtain Special Permit for the existing Single-Family dwelling to 25 26 be used as a caretaker/security quarters. 27 28 All the screening materials shall be install according to Article 7's Landscape Code of the ULDC. 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: The next item on the 37 agenda is BA2005-00290, Land Design South, agent, for Brian and Susan Poehls. 38 MR. KURBANSADE: Good morning. Marc Kurbansade 39 from Land Design South for the property owner. 40 <u>VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART</u>: Okay, Marc, the staff 41 is recommending approval with two conditions. Are they 42 43 acceptable? 44 MR. KURBANSADE: Yes, sir, they are. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Any member of the 45 46 public here to speak on this item? Ma'am, step forward. If you could, give us your name for the record. 47 MS. COOK: My name is Elizabeth Cook. I live 48 adjacent to the property on the street. I'm not in 49 opposition of the building. The biggest concern I have 50 if you look at the pictures is County Road is a very, 51 very narrow one-way street. It's almost alley like. 52 There's no curb, no sidewalk, absolutely no parking on 53 54 that street. With the existing plans of three driveways 55 that appear to be what, maybe 20 feet at the most? MR. KURBANSADE: They're wide enough for two 56 car garage to allow two vehicles. 57 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: One thing that we need 58 to mention this is the Board of Adjustment. Our only 59

11

variances from the code. So all opposition that would be

authority is to review and approve or deny specific

considered by us would have to be related to the

60

61

variances requested. Now the applicant has requested a reduction in -- where are we here? Yeah, here we are. Requested a variance for reduction of the front setback by five feet, and the side street setback by 15 feet, the right-of-way buffer width on both streets. So if you 5 have legitimate opposition to those issues, that's something the Board can consider. But the width of the 7 street or the proposed land use or anything like that is not within the purview of this Board. 10 MS. COOK: Well, the other issue is the way it exists now, and you can see in the photograph, it's just 11 flat straight parking that adjoins the property on the 12 south, and it's all paved straight through there. 13 14 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Right. MS. COOK: Now the site plan shows landscaping 15 to go in there. What's going to happen, can I ask you, 16 with the property next door? Do they know they're going 17 to have to take out their parking? 18 19 MR. KURBANSADE: We're just affecting our property and we want to put a nice buffer along side of 20 our property and properly landscape it according to the 21 current standards that are in Article 7 right now. 22 not landscaped according to that, and we're just 23 24 following code standards. As far as the adjacent property owners, we don't have any influence over 25 26 adjacent property owners as we can't affect their 27 driveways. We have no... 28 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Is that something maybe graphically it was a misrepresentation? 29 You're not intending to take the neighbor's parking away? 30 MR. KURBANSADE: Absolutely not. 31 MS. COOK: No, but as it is now it's one 32 33 continuous paved area. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Right. 34 MR. KURBANSADE: There's no buffer right now so 35 the parking connects to the south. We're going to have a 36 37 buffer planted there on our property, not affecting the neighboring property, so I'm not sure how that affects 38 the neighboring property other than they're not going to 39 see a continuous sea of asphalt. They're going to have 40 the landscape buffer on the property. 41 MS. COOK: 42 I'm not in opposition of the building. It's just a very concern of the tightness of 43 it appears from what I can tell from the site plan that 44 45 these driveways at the most are 20 feet long. 46 MR. KURBANSADE: They're the same distance as They're actually a little bit 47 what is currently there. longer than what's currently there in parking, the 48 parking spaces that are currently there. 49 50 MS. COOK: And you're going to have a two-car 51 garage? MR. KURBANSADE: Two-car garage so vehicles 52 would be within the building. 53 54 MS. COOK: Two-car wide or two-car long? 55 MR. KURBANSADE: Two-car wide. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. 56 The question remains do you have any opposition to the variances being 57 requested? I understand your concern about the project 58 in general but that's not something this Board can do. 59 MS. COOK: I guess I'm out of luck. 60 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. 61 62 MS. COOK: Were there any other letters?

MR. SEAMAN: There are letters. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. 2 MR. SEAMAN: We have seven letters. One was 3 for approval, four for clarification, and two were 4 disapproval, and they basically said it's going to reduce 5 their property values. 6 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Well, does any 7 member of the Board feel that the issues raised warrant pulling this from the consent agenda? 9 10 (No response) VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Then is there 11 12 anything else you'd like to say? MS. COOK: No, thank you. 13 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: 14 MS. COOK: Thank you. 15 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: This item will remain 16 17 on consent. 18 BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CONDITIONS 19 20 By April 21, 2006, the applicant shall obtain a 21 building permit for the proposed town-homes in order to 22 vest the variance approved subject to BA2005-290. 23 24 25 Prior to Certificate of Completion, all landscaping 2. materials required by Article 7 (Landscape of the ULDC) 26 within the 15ft right-of-way buffer shall be installed 28 within the reduced 10ft buffer along the north property 29 line. 30 31 32 33 34 35 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: BA2005-00291, Moyle 37 Flanigan. MR. MILLAR: Good morning. Chuck Millar with 38 39 Moyle Flanigan. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Mr. Millar, the staff 40 is recommending approval with two conditions. 41 MR. MILLAR: We accept the conditions. 42 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: 43 You accept them. Any member of the public here to speak on this item? 44 45 (No response) 46 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Seeing none. 47 letters? MR. SEAMAN: Four letters, and two for 48 approval, two for disapproval, and two for clarification. 49 The two that are in disapproval say that they feel that 50 the variance will take up too much of the neighborhood 51 owners yard, and the other one was just they 52 misunderstood the variance. 53 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Any member of 54 55 the public here? I asked. There isn't any. Any member of the Board feel this item needs to be pulled? 56 57 (No response) VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. This will 58 59 remain on consent as well. MR. MILLAR: Thank you. 60

1

1 BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CONDITIONS 2 By April 21, 2006, or prior to DRO certification, 3 the applicant shall amend the site plan to reflect the variance approval pursuant to BA2005-291. 5 (DATE:DRO:ZONING) 6 7 The 1.79-acre lot, which seeks to be deleted from the Boca Rio MUPD, shall conform to all current code 10 requirements when developed, including previous surface area. (ONGOING:ZONING:ZONING) 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: The next item, last item 18 on consent, is BA2005-00292, Geoffrey Sluggett, agent, 19 20 for Deerhurst Partnership. MR. SLUGGETT: Good morning. For the record, 21 Geoff Sluggett for the petitioner. 22 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Staff is 23 24 recommending two conditions of approval. Do you agree with them? 25 MR. SLUGGETT: We agree with them, but there 26 27 was one modification to one condition. 28 MR. SEAMAN: If you want to look at page 52 of your packet. Under conditions the first one, we're 29 deleting it, and I'll read the new condition. Condition 30 31 number one, the variance request is only for the wall signs that correspond to the tenants in the corner of the 32 33 building in bays 104, 106, 107 and 108. All future sign permits shall meet the ULDC requirements. 34 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: 35 Okay. MR. SLUGGETT: We agree with that. 36 37 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: All right. Any member of the public here to speak on this item? 38 39 (No response) VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Seeing none. Any 40 letters, Alan? 41 42 MR. SEAMAN: We got two letters, one for clarification and one for disapproval, and it says there 43 are too many neon signs. Thought Boca had a sign 44 45 limitation. VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: 46 This isn't Boca. Okay. MR. SEAMAN: No. It's unincorporated. 48 49 Palm Beach County. <u>VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART</u>: Okay. Any member of 50 the Board feel this item needs to be pulled? 51

47

52

53

54

55

56

57 58 (No response)

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay.

MR. SLUGGETT: Thank you.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: You stay on consent.

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CONDITIONS

59 The variance request is only for the wall signs that correspond to the tenants in the corner of the building 60 in bays 104, 106, 107 and 108. All future sign permits 61 shall meet the ULDC requirements. 62

```
1
       By October 21, 2005, the applicant shall obtain any
2
   necessary building permits for the wall signs.
3
    (DATE:BUILDING:ZONING)
5
6
7
9
10
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: So the consent agenda
11
    is just as printed. Nothing was pulled. So we're ready
12
   for a motion with respect to BA2004-00788 with a modified
13
14
   condition number 3, five conditions total, BA2004-01001,
   BA2005-00005, and that was with amended conditions as
15
   well, BA2005-00285, BA2005-00286, 00288, 00289, 00290,
16
   00291, and with modified condition number 1, 00292.
   We're ready for a motion.
18
19
             MR. MATHIS:
                          So moved.
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Motion by Mr. Mathis
20
    to approve the consent agenda.
21
22
             MR. PUZZITIELLO: Second.
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Second by Mr.
23
   Puzzitiello. And I assume that's...
24
             MR. MATHIS: And the staff report is part of
25
26
   it.
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. All those in
27
28
   favor of the motion indicate by saying aye.
             BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.
29
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Opposed, no.
30
31
             (No response)
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: The consent agenda is
32
33
   passed unanimously. We'll give a couple minutes for
   those that are finished to leave the room.
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. We're ready to
41
   start the regular agenda, which consists of two items,
42
   actually one because we postponed the other one.
43
   we'll introduce -- staff, are you going to introduce
44
45
   BA2004-00794 into the record?
46
             MR. AUBOURG: Yes. Petition number 2004-794,
47
   Douglas and Laurie Brown, owners, to allow an existing
   accessory structure to encroach into the required rear
48
   setback. Location, 2019 Rolling Green Road on the
49
   northwest corner of Ellison Wilson Road and Rolling Green
50
   Road in the RS Zoning District.
51
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay.
52
                                              This is a
   public hearing. If could give us your name for the
53
54
   record.
55
             MR. BROWN:
                         Doug Brown.
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART:
56
                                       Okay.
                                              Have you been
   sworn in?
57
             MR. BROWN:
                         Yes, I have.
58
59
             VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. What we'd like
   to do then is start off by we'll have you make your
60
   presentation, indicating your justification for the
61
   granting of the variance. Staff will make their
62
```

presentation. If there are any members of the public here which doesn't appear there -- okay. We do have members of the public. They'll have an opportunity to speak, then we'll vote. So proceed.

MR. BROWN: Well, I guess I made a mistake in not getting a permit but I didn't do it to try to beat the system. A friend of mine told me that you didn't need a permit if it was under 1,000 square feet as a detached structure, and in the Florida building statute it says that so obviously I was wrong. I know a lot more now. I'm not in the construction industry. But the problem with the building is that when I put the two columns in closer to my neighbor, the two back columns on the west side, I placed them 18 inches too far to the west. That's the only problem with the structure. There is no other problems except for those two columns.

So to not allow the variance would mean I would have to tear down the whole thing and then rebuild it with the columns, two 12 x 12 columns 18 inches to the east. That would be the only difference. There's no -- none of my neighbors have complained about the structure itself. One neighbor to the west has complained about noise but the structure doesn't create the noise. The pool and the barbecue creates the noise, so that variance wouldn't -- it wouldn't affect the variance at all. I have some other pictures if you want to see other pictures. They're not as big as that one but I can hand them to you if you want to see them.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. You're familiar with the seven criteria that we're supposed to consider in a variance. If you could, it might be a good idea if you could address those criteria. We understand that you didn't know you needed a permit, and we understand that it's only an 18-inch encroachment, but in order for us to support the variance you'll have to show us why this structure couldn't have met the setbacks. We understand -- because self-created hardship is not by virtue of the code and the statutes a legitimate argument for the granting of a variance.

In other words, if you knew you needed a permit and you knew what the setback requirements were, why is it that you couldn't have met them?

MR. BROWN: There was a mistake in the construction. It wasn't that they weren't met for the structure. It was a mistake when it was built. The columns were placed in the wrong place.

 $\underline{\text{VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART}}$: But your intent was to have them be 18 inches further into the lot?

MR. BROWN: Yes.

 $\overline{\text{VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART}}$: Okay. Did you build this or did you have a contractor?

MR. BROWN: No, no. I built it.

<u>VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART</u>: Okay. So it was a measuring mistake?

MR. BROWN: Correct.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Staff, if you'd like to make your presentation.

MR. AUBOURG: Yes. The required setback for the subject gazebo is 5 feet since it's located in the RS zoning district. The applicant is proposing 3.6 feet for 1.4 feet variances. The applicant bought the property in 2002, and according to the main frame the applicant asked

1 for previous building permit for windows, so staff is saying that the applicant was aware of the building 3 process.

MR. BROWN: I pulled that permit after this.

That was after this. 5

2

4

6

7

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22 23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32 33

34

35

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48 49

50

51

52

53

54 55

56

57

MR. AUBOURG: Well, the main frame shows 2004. MR. BROWN: No, it was after this. I learned a lot with this.

MR. AUBOURG: The staff feels that the variance from the -- variance that will be a reasonable use of the land, and also granting the variance will send a negative message to the residents that setbacks are not consistently enforced in the neighborhood. Also, the ULDC establishes setbacks so all structures will be set back at a consistent distance. By letting the applicant build that within the required setback basically will be injurious to the area. Therefore, staff is recommending denial on that.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. This is a public hearing, so we'll now open the floor to anyone that wishes to speak for or against this application. And please indicate your name and if you've been sworn in.

MR. ESTABROOK: I've been sworn in. My name is Robert Estabrook. I'm not sure what he's got here, but my property is adjacent to his on the north side, and I have bedrooms back adjacent to the structure in question. I'd prefer that you approve it and not -- first of all, it's a nice looking structure. They've done a lot to the And just recently like they were saying put these house. They've put a lot of money and a lot of work windows in. into the house. If this thing is moved the only thing it's going to accomplish is putting us through some construction and putting him through some grief.

I mean I watched it go up, and I have a little bit of construction experience. It was built well. esthetic value of it is nice. There's no noise problem. The gentleman complaining about the noise is a little bit of a different individual, and he's actually caused noise for all of us in retaliation. From my standpoint and from the neighbors I know of, I don't know of any other neighbor other than the one that you're talking -- that registered the complaint that has a problem with it.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Any other member of the public who would like to speak? (No response)

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. We'll open the floor to questions from the Board. Any Board member have any questions?

MR. MATHIS: Isn't there some middle ground here, some way to get somewhere?

MR. SEAMAN: Well, that's the purpose of the seven criteria, and if some of them aren't being met then perhaps there can be some mitigation that would turn that specific criteria that wasn't being met around.

I'd be happy to do landscaping or MR. BROWN: anything in that regard that would...

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: I have a question. 58 59 know the code allows eaves to encroach into the setback area. The main concern I think on a structure if you 60 look at the structure it's four posts and a roof. 61 roof overhang within the allowed distance? 62

1 MR. SEAMAN: Yes.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: So the only thing that we're talking about that really encroaches into the setback requirement are two posts.

MR. SEAMAN: Correct.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: So if the applicant could construct two new posts inside of the ones that are there and then cut the old ones off without affecting the structural integrity the setback would be resolved.

MR. SEAMAN: Correct.

 $\overline{\text{VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART}}\colon$ But in terms of -- I can see that there are high fences around the property so it seems to me the only thing that the neighbors see is the roof.

MR. BROWN: Yes, sir.

 $\underline{\text{VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART}}\colon$ And the roof doesn't violate setbacks.

MR. SEAMAN: Correct.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay.

MR. SEAMAN: It was only staff's recommendation that he do modify the two columns so he met the setbacks and he wouldn't be here today.

<u>VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART</u>: Okay. Any Board member have any comments?

MR. MATHIS: I just -- when you go to doing that, what does that do to the structural integrity in terms of where the columns are for the roof and the balance for the roof and everything else. I mean 18 inches isn't much but you move columns in from corners of buildings and the roof weights and everything else get to be real interesting. I understand the issues and I understand the rules, but it seems kind of insane when you got a really good piece of property that has improved property values for the whole neighborhood and everybody is kind of in agreement, okay, we made a mistake. How do you get from A to B. There's got to be somewhere in the middle is my thought process on it but I'm kind of the rookie here and don't know that much about it.

MR. SEAMAN: If I could also say that the determination for recommendation of approval of denial is based on them meeting seven criteria. This gentleman does not meet the seven criteria so staff has no choice but to recommend denial. Again, through some kind of mitigation if that's your choice perhaps you can determine that the criteria would be met through some kind of mitigation.

MR. MATHIS: What would be a suggested mitigation, I guess is what I'm talking about. Any ideas?

MR. SEAMAN: Landscaping, fencing, and I understand there is fencing there now. I see some beautiful coconut palm trees there, perhaps some on the other side. I'm just saying that that's probably the way to argue that it does meet the seven criteria but without mitigating the staff recommends denial.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: If he wanted to plant some other type of plant, a hedge plant or something, where the posts are that wouldn't violate setbacks, right?

MR. SEAMAN: No, or palm trees with the fronds that are high enough so that the roof is the part that's screened.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Yeah, but the roof doesn't encroach anyway. I mean I think if you were trying to create a rational nexus between the variance, you know, and a mitigation issue it would be something that would hide the appearance of the encroachment of the posts, not the roof, because the roof doesn't violate the setbacks anyway.

 $\underline{\text{MR. BROWN}}$: I put the fence in. The fence covers most of the...

MR. SEAMAN: You could also get two for one by putting in a tree that does both. That's all I'm saying.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: All right. I think we're ready for a motion. I don't know if anyone wants to discuss it more or if somebody has an idea, include it in the motion.

MS. CARDONE: I'm just going to make a comment, and the Board can do as they see fit, but I'm sorry that it's only 1.4 feet. It still doesn't meet the seven criteria. And we are seeing more and more of these items come forward to us. Between this month and last month, we continue to see people who have erected structures, and to my mind the fact that a structure has been erected is not an undue hardship because it was created by the applicant. And so I cannot justify that.

And I don't think setting precedent by starting to approve these just because they're small is within our purview either because that could be used as justification later on as these distances increase. So my inclination is going to be to deny this.

MR. MATHIS: I guess I'll make the motion. I've of the other side of this in terms of I think our code books are about as thick as you can get, and every once in a while there's some little things here that you don't have to be exact. I'd make a motion that staff come up with some mitigation items that would make sense, and we approve this particular application with mitigation suggestions, be it landscaping which seems to be what we were talking about before.

 $\underline{\text{MR. SEAMAN}}$: Yes, we could do that. I need to ask a question though as far as the area in which we could perhaps put some cluster palms, maybe area palms. What's the planting area that you have there by your columns?

MR. BROWN: Two feet.

MR. SEAMAN: You have two feet.

 $\underline{\text{MR. MATHIS}}\colon$ Put a hedge along the back and put a palm on the other side.

VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: What I think the Chair was saying is that columns are the issues, and if we mitigate their intrusion although it's a foot and a quarter that those particular landscape items need to go in front of those columns where they're closest to your neighbor to the north.

 $\underline{\text{MR. BROWN}}$: He actually planted the palms on the other side of my fence right now, but I have room to plant like a dracaena or a palm right next to the column that would hide the column in total.

MR. SEAMAN: And you could put two areca palms in there to screen the columns.

MR. SEAMAN: And I think staff will feel 2 somewhat more comfortable because we're mitigating the 3 issue, not just approving it with some sort of 4 mitigation, so we're looking for a condition... 5 MR. PUZZITIELLO: Then he's going to have a 6 permit for the structure too. 7 MR. SEAMAN: That's one of the conditions he 8 9 has now. 10 MR. BROWN: I'm already working on that. MR. SEAMAN: If you're going towards the 11 approval we do have some conditions already written. 12 also in the meantime would like the landscaping, so would 13 14 you like us to read the other conditions we have? VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: 15 Yes. MR. AUBOURG: The first one is the development 16 order. The development order for the subject variance 17 shall lapse on April 21, 2006, one year from the approval 18 date. 19 20 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: That's if he doesn't 21 get a permit. $\underline{\mathtt{MR. AUBOURG}}$: The first condition is by October 22 21, 2005, the applicant shall obtain a building permit 23 24 for the existing gazebo in order to vest the variance approved pursuant to BA2004-794. And Alan is writing the 25 second condition for the landscaping. 26 27 MR. BROWN: To get an actual -- to apply for the permit or have it... 28 MR. AUBOURG: To obtain a building permit for 29 You have six months to do it. the existing gazebo. 30 MR. BROWN: Okay. As part of the code 31 enforcement I need to have it done by the beginning of 32 33 next month so I'm already working on that. MR. SEAMAN: You're already under pressure 34 there for that. 35 MR. BROWN: Yes, sir. 36 37 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Is six months enough to get an accessory structure permit? 38 MR. BROWN: It keeps taking longer and longer 39 40 every week. MR. SEAMAN: Okay. I think I have something 41 This should be condition number two. By October 42 here. 21, the applicant shall install an areca palm 8-10 feet 43 overall planted at the north property line. 44 45 MR. BROWN: What property line? 46 MR. SEAMAN: You know, I'm not so good at this I want to say by October 21, the applicant 47 shall install two areca palms 8-10 feet, one placed at 48 each column between the north property line and the 49 column itself. 50 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: West property line. 51 MR. SEAMAN: West property line. 52 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: The encroaching 53 54 property line is the west one. 55 MR. SEAMAN: Okay. MR. BROWN: 56 One in the northwest corner and the southwest corner, right? 57 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Yeah. 58 59 MR. SEAMAN: Well, they have to go at the 60 column. MR. BROWN: At the column. No problem. 61 MR. SEAMAN: Did anybody get that what I said? 62

1

MR. BROWN:

Yes.

Annette will get it off there for the final condition for the result letter. 2 3 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Do you agree 4 with those conditions? MR. BROWN: Yes, I do. 5 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. We're ready for 6 a motion. Okay. We have a motion by Mr. Mathis. Second 7 by Mr. Puzzitiello to approve the variance with the conditions that have been read into the record. All 9 10 those in favor? Opposed? MS. CARDONE: Aye. 11 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Okay. Motion carries 12 4-1, Ms. Cardone dissenting. 13 14 15 BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT CONDITIONS 16 17 By October 21, 2005, the applicant shall obtain a 18 1. building permit for the existing gazebo in order to vest 19 the variance approved pursuant to BA2004-794. 20 21 By October 21, the applicant shall install two areca 22 palms 8-10 feet, one placed at each column between the 23 north property line and the column itself. 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: That concludes our 31 agenda, so the next item on the agenda would be to make a 32 motion for adjournment. 33 BOARD MEMBER: So moved. 34 BOARD MEMBER: Second. 35 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Motion and second. 36 All those in favor? 37 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 38 39 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: Opposed? 40 (No response) 41 VICE CHAIRMAN BASEHART: The meeting is over. (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 9:50 42 43 a.m.)