ZONING COMMISSION OF PALM BEACH COUNTY

Thursday, September 4, 2008 9:02 a.m. - 10:46 a.m. Jane M. Thompson Memorial Chambers 301 North Olive Avenue West Palm Beach, Florida

Reporting:

Sophie M. (Bunny) Springer Notary Public

ATTENDEES

Frank Barbieri, Jr., Chairman
William F. Anderson, Vice Chairman
Alexander Brumfield, III, Commissioner
Allen Kaplan, Commissioner
Joanne Davis, Commissioner
Sherry Hyman, Commissioner
Kelley Armitage, Alternate Commissioner
Richard E. Bowman, Alternate Commissioner

Bob Banks, Assistant County Attorney
Jon Mac Gillis, Zoning Director
Maryann Kwok, Chief Planner, Zoning
Wendy Hernandez, Zoning Manager
Autumn Sorrow, Senior Site Planner, Zoning
Ron Sullivan, Senior Site Planner, Zoning
Carrie Rechenmacher, Senior Site Planner, Zoning
Carol Glasser, Site Planner II, Zoning
Ora Owensby, Site Planner II, Zoning
Joyce Lawrence, Site Planner II, Zoning
Anthony Wint, Site Planner II, Zoning
Donna Adelsperger, Site Planner I, Zoning
D. G. McGuire, Site Planner II, Arch Review
Isaac Hoyos, Planning

Ken Rogers, Director, Land Development Div.

Joanne Koerner, Land Development

Nick Uhren, Senior Professional Engineer, Traffic Div.

Kenny Wilson, Health Department

Bob Kraus, ERM

Michael Owens, School Board Rep. Stacey Smalls, CDR Secretary

I N D E X

1 Z/DOA/CA-2007-01185(Control 1985-00072)	6
2 ZV/PDD/DOA-2008-00452(Control 1980-00089)	6
3 ZV-2008-01184(Control 2004-00471)	7
4 ZV-2008-00089(Control 2007-00233)	8
5 DOA-2007-02013(Control 1989-00117)	8
6 PDD-2006-01682(Control 2006-00529)	9
7 PDD-2007-01792(Control 2002-00011) 10,	14
8 DOA-2007-01776(Control 1977-00133)	10
9 DOA-2008-00791(Control 1989-00044)	7
10 DOA-2008-00801(Control 1986-00008)	12
DOA/R-2008-00290(Control 2002-00052) 12,	16
12 ZV/CB-2008-00433(Control 1978-00296)	13
DOA/R-2008-00303(Control 1981-00233)	30
DOA/R/TDR-2008-00441(Control 2004-00524)	8
2V/PDD-2008-00804(Control 2008-00243)	39
STAFF COMMENTS:	50
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER:	52

2 3 4

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: If everybody will
please be seated, we'll get started.

All right. Staff, would you please take the roll call.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Bowman.

COMMISSIONER BOWMAN: Here.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Armitage.

COMMISSIONER ARMITAGE: Here.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Brumfield.

(No response)

MS. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Davis.

(No response)

MS. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Anderson.

VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: Here.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Barbieri.

<u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Here.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Hyman.

COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Here.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Zucaro.

(No response)

MS. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Kaplan.

COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: Here.

MS. HERNANDEZ: We have a quorum.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All right. The record should reflect that Commissioners Armitage and Bowman, our alternates, will both be voting today.

Would everybody please stand for the opening prayer and Pledge of Allegiance.

(Whereupon, the opening prayer and Pledge of Allegiance were given.)

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: The Zoning Commission of Palm Beach County has convened at 9:00 a.m. in

the Jane M. Thompson Memorial Chambers, 6th Floor, 301 North Olive Avenue, West Palm Beach, Florida, to consider applications for Official Zoning Map Amendments, Planned Developments, Conditional Uses, Development Order Amendments, Type II Variances and other actions permitted by the Palm Beach County Unified Land Development Code and to hear the recommendations of staff on these matters.

The Commission may take final action or issue an advisory recommendation on accepting, rejecting or modifying the recommendations of staff. The Board of County Commissioners of Palm Beach County will conduct a public hearing at 301 North Olive Avenue, West Palm Beach, Florida, in the Jane M. Thompson Memorial Chamber, $6^{\rm th}$ Floor, at 9:30 a.m. on Monday, September 29, 2008, to take final action on the applications we'll be discussing today.

Zoning hearings are quasi-judicial and must be conducted to afford all parties due process.

This means that any communication with commissioners which occurs outside of the public hearing must be fully disclosed at the hearing. In addition, anyone who wishes to speak at the hearing will be sworn in and may be subject to

cross-examination. In this regard, if any group of citizens 3 or other interested parties wish to cross-examine 4 witnesses, they must appoint one representative 5 6 from the entire group to exercise this right on behalf of the group. Any person representing a 7 group or organization must provide written 8 authorization to speak on behalf of the group. 9 Public comment continues to be encouraged, 10 and all relevant information should be presented 11 to the Commission in order that a fair and 12 appropriate decision can be made. 13 Staff, do we have proof of publication? MR. Mac GILLIS: Yes, Mr. Chair. VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: We need 14 15 We need a motion 16 to receive and file. 17 <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN</u>: So moved. 18 <u>VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON</u>: So moved. 19 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion made by 20 Commissioner Anderson, seconded by Commissioner 21 Hyman. Any discussion. 22 23 (No response) 24 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All in favor. 25 <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>: Aye. 26 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Opposed. 27 (No response) 28 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion carries, 5 --29 6-0. 30 Those of you who wish to address the 31 Commission today, would you please stand and be sworn in by the Assistant County Attorney. 32 33 (Whereupon, speakers were sworn in by Mr. 34 Banks.) MR. BANKS: 35 Thank you. 36 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: All right. Do we have 37 any disclosures, starting with Commissioner 38 Bowman. COMMISSIONER BOWMAN: 39 No. 40 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Commissioner Armitage. 41 <u>COMMISSIONER ARMITAGE</u>: No disclosures. CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: 42 Commissioner Anderson. VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: 43 Yes, I met with 44 the agent on agenda Item No. 14. 45 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: And I also met with 46 the agent on Item No. 14. 47 Commissioner Hyman. COMMISSIONER HYMAN: 48 So did I. CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: 49 Commissioner Kaplan. 50 <u>COMMISSIONER KAPLAN</u>: I received a phone call from the petitioner agent on Item 6 and 10, but I did not discuss any matters.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Okay. The record should reflect that Commissioner Hill [sic] is 51 52 53 54 55 So that will be seven. 56 Davis, I'm sorry. Wrong -- wrong board. 57 You can tell where my mind's at.

```
CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All right. That'll
 2
          take us to consent items.
 3
                   COMMISSIONER HYMAN:
                                          So who doesn't --
                   MR. Mac GILLIS: Postponed items.
 4
 5
                   <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Postponed items.
 6
                   MR. Mac GILLIS: Begin on Page 2, Item 1,
 7
          Z/DOA/CA-2007-1185, Winners Church, a motion to
 8
          postpone 30 days to October 2nd, 2008.
                  COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Anybody here?
CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Is there anybody here
 9
10
          to speak on Item Number -- which one are we on?
11
12
          One?
                   COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Number 1.
COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: No. 1.
13
14
                   CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: No. 1?
15
16
                   (No response)
                   COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: Hearing none, Mr.
17
          Chairman, I move we postpone that item 30 days
18
          'til October 2nd, 2008.
19
                   VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON:
20
                                               Second.
21
                   CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion made by
          Commissioner Kaplan, seconded by Commissioner
22
23
          Anderson.
                   Any discussion.
24
25
                   (No response)
                   CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All in favor.
26
27
                   <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>: Aye.
28
                   CHAIRMAN BARBIERI:
                                          Opposed.
29
                   (No response)
30
                   CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion carries, 7-0.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
                   MR. Mac GILLIS: Item 2, ZV/PDD/DOA-2008-
43
          452, Lantana Square Shopping Center, motion to
44
45
          postpone 30 days to October 2nd, 2008.
          CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Is there anybody here from the Public to speak on Item No. 2?
46
47
48
                   (No response)
49
                   COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: Not hearing any
50
          members of the public, I move to postpone that
51
          item 30 days to October 2nd, 2008.
                   <u>VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON</u>: Second.

<u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Motion made by
52
53
54
          Commissioner Kaplan, second by Commissioner
55
          Anderson.
56
                   Any discussion. (No response)
57
58
                                         All in favor.
                   CHAIRMAN BARBIERI:
59
                   <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>: Aye.
60
                   CHAIRMAN BARBIERI:
                                          Opposed.
61
                   (No response)
62
                   CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion carries, 7-0.
```

1 MR. Mac GILLIS: Item 3, ZV-2008-1184, 2 Canyon Town Center TMD, postponement for 30 days 3 to October 2nd, 2008. 4 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Is there anybody here 5 to speak on Item No. 3? 6 (No response) 7 COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: Not hearing any 8 opposition, I move to postpone that item to October 2nd, 2008.

<u>VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON:</u> 9 10 Second. 11 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion made by 12 Commissioner Kaplan, seconded by Commissioner 13 Anderson. Any discussion. (No response) 14 15 16 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All in favor. 17 <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>: Aye. 18 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Opposed. 19 (No response) 20 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion carries, 7-0. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 MR. Mac GILLIS: We've had two late requests to be added to the postponements, Item 9, 34 DOA-2008-791, the Toppel Center, postponement for 35 36 30 days to October 2nd, 2008. 37 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Is there anybody here 38 to speak on Item No. 9? 39 (No response) 40 COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: Hearing no opposition I'm going to move to postpone that item 41 to October 2nd, 2008.

<u>VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON</u>: 42 43 Second. CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion made by 44 45 Commissioner Kaplan, seconded by Commissioner 46 Anderson. 47 Any discussion. (No response) 48 49 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All in favor. 50 <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>: Aye. 51 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Opposed. 52 (No response) 53 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion carries, 7-0. 54 55 56

MR. Mac GILLIS: Item 14, DOA/R/TDR-2008-441, Woodwind PUD, a postponement for 30 days to October 2nd, 2008, to allow staff to readvertise this item. <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: We have one card, Mr. Jako. We also have a letter from you. MR. JAKO: I have no problem with the postponement. CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Okay. All right. Is there anybody else here to speak on Item No. 14? (No response) COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: Hearing none, I move to postpone that item to October 2nd, 2008. <u>VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON</u>: Second. CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion made by Commissioner Kaplan, second by Commissioner Anderson. Any discussion. (No response) <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI:</u> All in favor. <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>: Aye. CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Opposed. (No response) CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion carries, 7-0. For the benefit of the court reporter, the gentleman in the audience who spoke is Theodore Jako, J-a-k-o. MR. Mac GILLIS: That'll bring us to Page 3, the withdrawn items. Item 4, ZV-2008-89, Westgate Station. No motion required for this item. Item 5, DOA-2007-2013, MR. Mac GILLIS: Del Mar Plaza. No motion required for this withdrawal.

```
That'll bring us to Page
                  MR. Mac GILLIS:
 2
          4 of your agenda, consent agenda.
 3
                  We'd ask the applicant to come to the
 4
          podium, state their name for the record and agree
 5
6
          to the conditions.
                  Item 6, PDD-2006-1682, 112<sup>th</sup>/Northlake
 7
          Office, found on Pages 6 through 33,
 8
                  Staff is recommending approval of this
 9
          MUPD, subject to 29 conditions.
                  CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All right.
10
                                                    The record
          should reflect that Commissioner Brumfield is
11
12
          present. Commissioner Bowman will not be voting
13
          on these motions any further.

Commissioner Armitage will be the voting
14
15
          alternate member.
                  So we have -- we're on No. 6, and this is
16
          for -- I'm sorry, Jon.

MR. Mac GILLIS:
the consent agenda.
17
18
                                    This is for -- we're on
19
20
                  CHAIRMAN BARBIERI:
                                      Okay.
21
                  MR. Mac GILLIS: This item is for
22
          approval.
23
                  CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Is there anybody here
24
          from the public that wishes to speak on Item No.
25
          6?
26
                  (No response)
27
                  CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Petitioner.
28
                  MR. TERRY: Good morning. Brian Terry,
29
          with Land Design South, on behalf of the
          applicant.
30
31
                  We are in agreement with all the
32
          conditions of approval.
33
                  CHAIRMAN BARBIERI:
                                       Okay.
34
                  Any discussion from the board?
35
                  COMMISSIONER HYMAN:
                                       Move for approval of
36
          the official zoning map amendment from
37
         Agricultural Residential Zoning District to the
38
         Multiple Use Planned Development District, subject
39
          to the conditions.
40
                  VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON:
                                            Second.
41
                  CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion made by
42
         Commissioner Hyman -
43
                  <u>COMMISSIONER KAPLAN</u>: Second.
44
                  CHAIRMAN BARBIERI:
                                       -- seconded by
45
         Commissioner Anderson.
46
                  Is there any discussion.
47
                  (No response)
48
                  CHAIRMAN BARBIERI:
                                      All in favor.
49
                 <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>: Aye.
50
                 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI:
                                       Opposed.
51
                  (No response)
52
                 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion carries, 7-0.
53
54
```

MR. Mac GILLIS: Item 7, PDD-2007-792 [sic], MPC III Turnpike Business Park, found on 3 Page 34 through 59. Staff is recommending approval of this 4 rezoning, subject to 27 conditions found on Pages 5 6 48 through 51. 7 Just -- the Board will recall, this item was on consent agenda last month. It was 8 postponed for 30 days.

At the last minute there was a request 9 10 from FDOT for additional right-of-way on this 11 site, but that issue has since been --12 MR. ROGERS: That issue is still 13 14 outstanding. There may want to be some discussion about 15 16 this, and it may have to be pulled off the consent 17 18 19 it off the consent. 2.0 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Okay. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 Mac GILLIS: That brings us to Item 8, 34 35 DOA-2007-1776, the Cross County Mall, found on Page 60 through 92. 36 Staff is recommending approval of this 37 38 development order amendment to reconfigure the site and add square footage, subject to 65 conditions found on Page 74 through 84. 39 40 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Good morning. 41 MR. SALTZ: Good morning. My name is Mark 42 Saltz, from Saltz Michelson Architects, and I'm 43 the agent for the owner, and we agree to all the 44 45 conditions. <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: All right. 46 Is there anybody here from the public to 47 speak on Item No. 8, DOA-2007-01776? 48 49 (No response) 50 COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: Hearing none --I have --51 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Go ahead. -- a comment. 52 53 You know, this is a project that's got 54 these huge signs out front, and they're 50 feet 55 56 high, and I know they've been around a long time,

and the last time this came before us and we

They said because they're not -- they're

It's a busy corner, I grant you that, but

not altering the signs, and we really can't change

the height of the signs. They're huge. They're

raised the issue.

huge.

57

58

59

60

61

they're still huge signs. I think there are -- aren't there 3 alternative signs? This time isn't there anything that we can do to get the signage down?

I mean they've got one 50-foot sign, 4 5 they've got one 40-foot sign, and they've got another sign that's probably like 30 feet or 6 7 8 something. MR. Mac GILLIS: The large -- COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Isn't there something 9 10 that we can do to get these down? 11 MR. Mac GILLIS: -- entrance signs were 12 granted variances back when the original shopping 13 14 center was --COMMISSIONER HYMAN: I know they're from 15 16 the original shopping center. $\underline{\text{MR. Mac GILLIS}}\colon$ Right. They were part of the agreement when we were doing the -- 'cause 17 18 19 that was an infill redevelopment site. We tried to work with them. That was --20 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Is it your fault that 21 22 we got this? $\underline{\text{MR. Mac GILLIS}}\colon$ Well, I think it was —the Board of Adjustment, based on non-23 24 25 conformities, because they didn't want to take the signs completely down because of the configuration 26 of that shopping center they would lose a lot of 2.7 necessary identification for all those uses in 28 29 there. So it was -- it was the Board of 30 Adjustment that granted the variance to allow them 31 to renovate those two big signs that are at the 32 33 entrances. COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Oh. Oh, the --34 35 MR. Mac GILLIS: So there -- that's been 36 under prior variances. COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Okay.
COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: Under those 37 38 conditions, Mr. Chairman, I move to recommend 39 approval of a development order amendment to 40 reconfigure site plan and add building square 41 42 footage to DOA-2007-1776. 43 VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion made by 44 45 Commissioner Kaplan, second by Commissioner 46 Anderson. Any discussion. (No response) 47 48 49 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All in favor. 50 **COMMISSIONERS:** Aye. 51 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Opposed. 52 (No response) 53 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion carries, 7-0. 54 55

That brings us to Page 5, 1 MR. Mac GILLIS: 2 Item 10, DOA-2008-801, Pinewood Square, found on 3 Page 117 through 140. 4 Staff is recommending approval of this 5 development order amendment to reconfigure the site plan, add square footage and change uses, 6 7 subject to 46 conditions found on Page 130 through 8 136. 9 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Good morning. MS. NIELSEN: Good morning. Arianne 10 Nielsen, on behalf of the applicant. 11 12 We are in agreement with all conditions of 13 approval. CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All right. Is there 14 anybody here from the public to speak on DOA-2008-15 16 00801, Item No. 10? 17 (No response) COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: Hearing none, I'll 18 move recommend approval of the development order 19 amendment, requests to reconfigure the site plan, 20 21 add square footage and change uses, auto service 22 station with carwash to financial institution on DOA-2008-801. 23 24 VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: Second. CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion made by 25 26 Commissioner Kaplan, seconded by Commissioner 27 Anderson. Any discussion. 28 29 (No response) 30 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All in favor. 31 **COMMISSIONERS:** Aye. 32 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Opposed. 33 (No response) 34 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion carries, 7-0. 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 Item 11, DOA/R-2008-290, 47 MR. Mac GILLIS: Poinciana Day School.
Staff is recommending approval of the 48 49 50 request for development order amendment amending a 51 condition of approval and their request to allow a

school, private daycare, general, subject to 62 conditions found on Page 157 through 167.

opposition, three cards in opposition, so let's

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: We have three in

52 53

54 55

56

pull this.

```
MR. Mac GILLIS: That brings us to Page 6,
         Item 12, ZV/CB-2008-433, American Oil Company.
 2
                 Two motions on this request, one to
 3
         approve a Type II variance to allow a reduction in
 4
         the required queuing spaces and a motion to adopt a resolution for a Class B conditional use to
 5
 6
 7
         allow a convenience store with gas sales, subject
 8
         to eight conditions found on Page 186 through 187.
 9
                 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Good morning.
10
                 MR. BEHN: Good morning. Seth Behn, with
         Greenberg and Traurig, on behalf of the applicant.
11
12
                 We do have one request. There was one
13
         Engineering Condition No. 3 that we were meeting
14
         with the Engineering Department to resolve. We
15
         have reached a resolution last week but not in
16
         time to make the printing deadline.
17
                 I have copies of the agreed modification
         that they've agreed to, if I could distribute that and read into the record as just the change to
18
19
20
         Engineering Condition 3.
21
                 Other than that, we agreed to all other
22
         conditions, and are -- would like to remain on
23
         consent.
24
                 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Mr. Rogers.
25
                 MR. ROGERS: We concur.
26
                 Just pass them down.
27
                 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Engineering, you have
28
         a copy of this?
                          The language here is acceptable
29
         to you?
30
                 MR. ROGERS:
                               Yes, it is.
                 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Do we need it read
31
         into the record, or is it sufficient if we just
32
33
         have this -- a motion to receive and file?
                 COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: So moved.
34
35
                 MR. ROGERS:
                               Just need to have it
36
         received.
37
                 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI:
                                     Okay.
38
                 <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN</u>: Second.
39
                 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: We have a motion by
40
         Commissioner Kaplan, seconded by Commissioner
41
         Hyman to receive this into the record.
42
                 Is there any discussion.
43
                  (No response)
44
                 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI:</u>
                                     All in favor.
45
                 <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>: Aye.
                 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Opposed.
46
47
                  (No response)
48
                 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Motion carries, 7-0.
49
                 All right. Is there anybody here to speak
50
         to Item No. 12, American Oil Company, ZV/CB-2008-
51
         00433.
         52
53
         approving a Type II variance allowing for a
54
55
         reduction in required queuing spaces with
56
         conditions as modified.
                 VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON:
57
                                            Second.
                                       And just to state
                 COMMISSIONER HYMAN:
58
59
         that it -- all the seven criteria were satisfied
60
         for the approval.
61
                 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Commissioner Kaplan,
62
         you add that to your motion, all seven criteria
```

for the variance have been satisfied? 2 COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: No -- no objection. <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: All right. 3 We have a motion by Commissioner Kaplan, 4 seconded by Commissioner Anderson. 5 Any discussion. 6 (No response) 7 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All in favor. 8 9 <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>: Aye. CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Opposed. 10 (No response) 11 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Motion carries, 7-0. 12 COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: I'll move to adopt a resolution approving a Class B conditional use for 13 14 a -- to allow a convenience store with gas sales. 15 16 VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: Second. CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion made by 17 Commissioner Kaplan, seconded by Commissioner 18 19 Anderson. Any discussion. 20 (No response) 21 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All in favor. 22 <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>: Aye. 23 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Opposed. 24 25 (No response) 26 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Motion carries, 7-0. MR. BEHN: Thank you, Commissioners. 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 MR. Mac GILLIS: That will bring us back 40 to the items pulled from the consent agenda, Item 41 7, PDD-2007-1792, the MPC III Turnpike Business 42 Park. 43 Would you like a full presentation on this 44 or just --45 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: I just had a 46 question. I mean if this is going from industrial 47 48 business park -- I mean from residential to an industrial business park, it just doesn't seem like the appropriate buffer, but then when you 49 50 look at the site plan, it's more like just a regular business park, as opposed to industrial.

Because it's next to residential -- and 51 52 53 I'm surprised that nobody's here regarding this, 54 55 actually. Because this is next to residential, shouldn't we be looking at the types of uses that we're permitting under the PIPD to make sure that 56 57 58 there aren't any inconsistent types of uses for 59 this -- for this buffer area? 60 It is a transition area between 61

residential and the Turnpike.

```
MR. Mac GILLIS: Yeah, I think with the
          PIPD most of the permitted uses, that
 2
          consideration is given in the use matrix when we drafted it and the Board adopts it, but --
.3
 4
          COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Yeah, 'cause it's not
like a typical industrial. It's more like a --
 5
 6
                                     Yeah, it's more an office
 7
                  MR. Mac GILLIS:
          type --
 8
                   COMMISSIONER HYMAN: -- there's an office.
 9
                   MR. Mac GILLIS: -- warehouse where you
10
          have a small office in the front and the business
11
12
          is back.
                   COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Do you have like a
13
          list of the types of uses that you could tell us
14
          real quickly that are permitted?
15
                  MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay. In the PIPD we have
16
          permitted uses like a cocktail lounge, medical-
17
          dental office.
18
19
                   What land use does it have? Residential.
          Monument sales, retail, office, business, professional, parking garage, personal services,
20
21
          printing, copying, a repair and maintenance, repair services, limited.

COMMISSIONER HYMAN: So what kind of
22
23
24
          repair, like for cars and stuff?
25
                   MS. HERNANDEZ: Yes. It'll be a general--
26
          yeah, general repair and maintenance and then also
27
          repair services, limited, so like lawnmowers and
28
29
          mopeds and so forth. That'd be the limited ones.
30
                  Auto sales, retail general. We got a
          self-service storage, vet clinic, vocational
31
          school, work/live.
32
33
                   Some of the requested uses involve -- but
34
          those would come back before you guys -- would be
          vehicle sales and rental, drive-in theater.
35
                                                   So you -- did
36
                  <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN</u>: Okay.
37
          you say all the permitted uses?
38
                   MS. HERNANDEZ: Yeah.
39
                   COMMISSIONER HYMAN:
                                           Okay.
                                                   So those are
40
          fine. All right.
                   I didn't know if you could have like a
41
          concrete plant or something that's part of the
42
43
          thing.
44
                   CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Any other
45
          commissioners -- questions from the commissioners?
46
                   (No response)
                                         I have two cards.
47
                   CHAIRMAN BARBIERI:
          Both in support. Edna Trimble says she doesn't
48
          want to speak, she's in support.
49
                   Andrew Jacobson, did you want to speak? MR. JACOBSON: I'm here representing the
50
51
                   MR. JACOBSON:
52
          developer.
53
                   CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Okay. All right.
                   Is there anybody else here from the public
54
          to speak on this Item No. 7?
55
56
                   (No response)
57
                   COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: Hearing none, Mr.
58
          Chairman, I recommend approval of an official
59
           zoning map amendment to a Planned Development
          District from the Planned Unit Development Zoning District to the Planned Industrial Park
60
61
          Development Zoning District on Item 2007-1792.
62
```

1 VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: Second. 2 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion made by 3 Commissioner Kaplan, second by Commissioner 4 Anderson. 5 Any discussion? 6 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Subject to the 7 conditions. 8 COMMISSIONER ARMITAGE: Mr. Chairman, when 9 you asked your question two men in the back raised 10 their hands. 11 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: I'm sorry. 12 here to speak on Item --13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We're okay. <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Okay. I don't know 14 15 where we --16 MR. JACOBSON: For the record, we agree to 17 the conditions. 18 **COMMISSIONER HYMAN:** Take a vote. 19 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Okay. I have a motion 20 on the floor by Commissioner Kaplan, second by 21 Commissioner Anderson. 22 Any discussion. 23 (No response) 24 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All in favor. <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>: Aye. 25 26 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Opposed. 27 (No response) CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion carries, 7-0. 28 29 MR. JACOBSON: Thank you very much. 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 MR. Mac GILLIS: That'll bring us to Item 42 11 that was pulled, Poinciana Daycare School.
Ora Owensby will give us a brief 43 44 45 presentation on this item. 46 MS. OWENSBY: Okay. Good morning. This is a development order amendment for 47 48 the Agradex/Lyons PUD. It's also known as 49 Wellington View, and the request is for a 50 development order amendment to modify a condition 51 of approval and a requested use to allow a private 52 school and daycare. 53 The condition of approval is a previous 54 Property Real Estate Management condition that

The PREM condition limited the civic uses that would be permitted to only public uses, so the petitioner is requesting to allow a privately

on the east side of Lyons Road.

55 56

57 58 59

60

61

62

limited the conditions of this civic parcel, which

passed out to you right now, it's an existing civic parcel with the -- within the PUD, but it is

as you'll see on the master plan that's being

owned civic on this parcel, as well. And that's the DOA.

The requested use, of course, is for a private school and for a daycare. The private school is 24,050 square feet for 250 students, and the daycare is 1,080 square feet for 30 children.

This is a very long, narrow parcel as you can see on your master plan and in the staff report exhibits.

There are 57 parking spaces proposed, a long queuing lane, and access is existing off of Lyons Road.

The uses are consistent with the future land use designation of LR-2. That's Low Residential 2.

To the north is a single family residence in the AR zoning district. It also has LR-2 land use.

To the south -- and that's 300 feet away across the lake is a -- are large lot single family residences.

To the east, and this is 150 feet away across the lake, are other large lots, single family residences.

To the west across Lyons Road are single family residences within the Agradex/Lyons PUD.

Staff supports the requested condition amendment to allow private civic uses, and staff supports the request for the daycare civic use.

Civic uses in a PUD, there is -- the only difference between public and private is the ownership of the land, according to the zoning code. So this would allow a private owner.

The existing buffers to the north and the west are existing buffers that are owned by the HOA. They're platted in separate tracts. They're existing and maintained by the HOA.

The -- to the south and east of this parcel is a large lake, and there are no buffers required by the zoning code; therefore, we have added conditions of approval which the applicant has voluntarily agreed to to provide additional screening to the south and east with trees and hedges.

We had one letter of opposition regarding traffic in the area, and that was at the time of publication.

Staff recommends approval, subject to 62 conditions.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Okay. Petitioner.
MR. BARRY: Good morning, Commissioners,
Chris Barry, with Jon Schmidt and Associates.

We are in agreement with the conditions. We'd just like to go through a couple things to hopefully address some of the neighborhood concerns.

As Ora stated, the site is on the east side of Lyons Road just north of $7^{\rm th}$ Place North.

As you can see on the aerial, there is a large lake to the south and the east.

And some of the things that we would like to point out come from the ULDC, as well as the

Comprehensive Plan, that the uses that we're proposing on the site are defined as institutional in the Comprehensive Plan and public and civic in the Land Development Code and not commercial.

This Comp Plan policy says that the County shall encourage the location of schools proximate to urban residential areas by considering schools as an allowable use within all urban residential land use categories.

Another Comprehensive Plan policy says that the County shall allow planned residential developments to include a limited amount of low intensity commercial and institutional uses intended to serve the residential development.

The proposed site plan is in front of you. The majority of the design constraints had to do with the configuration of the site.

What we tried to do with the design with our negotiations with staff was to provide as much stacking as possible on the site so you can see -the site's been designed for the traffic coming in at peak times to stack throughout the site so, hopefully, to alleviate any issues of stacking on Lyons Road.

Here's a proposed elevation for the main building in Phase I.

The site is, as stated before, very narrow, so we tried to minimize the impact as much as possible by providing or exceeding the minimum setbacks.

From the front we exceed the front setback by seven feet. The rear setback meets the minimum requirement, and the side interior setback from the properties to the north exceeds the setback by 166 feet.

We had a meeting with the neighborhood last week, and some of the concerns that were raised at that meeting first had to do with drainage, and what we wanted to point out to the Commission, as well as the neighbors, is that we did research this, and our outfall will be into the L-5 canal to the north, not the lake that's adjacent to our client's property to the south and to the east.

The -- like I said before, the main focus of the design was to provide as much stacking on the site as possible. The stacking on the site starts at the entrance and then will go through the site and curve around and up by here, and here is the main drop-off point.

So that's approximately 680 feet that's been provided from the beginning of the drive to the drop-off point. The standard queuing spaces are about 20 feet in depth so that equates to about 34 cars stacking internally on our site before the main drop-off point.

Then the other issues were traffic coming in off of Lyons Road, how would that effect the existing two-lane road, and the condition that we've agreed to is that we will construct a lefthand turn lane into the site.

The last and probably the most prominent

56 57 58

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23 24

25 26

27 28

29 30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

51

52

53 54 55

59

60 61

issue has to do with outdoor activity and the noise that some of the neighbors will experience.

 What we'd like to point out is that we've internalized the building to the greatest extent possible so the outdoor activity area is on the north side of the property, and that's the area shown in green, light green, on the aerial.

That multipurpose field will be part of Phase I.

From the closest residence to the east, which I think is where the most concern comes from, is approximately 724 feet from their structure to the outdoor activity area in Phase I.

In Phase II there is an outdoor activity area that's required as part of the daycare, and that's approximately 331 feet from the closest residence to the east across the lake.

As Ora stated, there are no buffers required on the east and south, but we voluntarily agreed, as well as our client, to provide buffers.

Especially along the east we're providing a seven and a half foot buffer, and we feel that the separation, as well as this landscaping, will suffice in buffering the property from the residences to the east.

If there's any questions, we'd be happy to answer them.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All right. Why don't we get the questions from the people -- from the public that want to speak.

Kanwal Singh, would you please come up to the one podium, and Roger Goudreau - Goudreault, I'm sorry, would you please come up to the one on my -- thank you.

my -- thank you.

MR. SINGH: Good morning. My name is

Kanwal Singh. I'm a resident of 466 Lyons Road.

I own the property to the north side of the daycare.

I oppose this change in zoning. This property was zoned by Toll Brothers to be residential. When I bought the property, it was a dead-end and we are zoned AR.

We see a constant change in the demographics and with the land uses being used and affecting my lifestyle.

I would request that we leave it. It is zoned civic as private use. There's a big difference between public and private use in way the noise level and the amount of traffic that's going to be coming to this facility.

I feel also there's an issue of traffic which the Board needs to know. There's now on the table an access to the middle school that's coming on Marginal Road.

The School Board yesterday is now debating to give the access to this middle school from Lyons Road, which is on Ridgeback, which is about -- probably about 500 feet from the daycare.

about -- probably about 500 feet from the daycare.

So you will have a left lane going to the daycare -- Poinciana Daycare, and a right lane probably going to the middle school. I'm not sure if the Board is aware of that.

```
This is going to have a negative impact on
           the traffic and to myself even getting out of the
 3
           driveway.
 4
                    <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN</u>: Can you show us where
 5
          you are? Are you across the road?
 6
                   MR. SINGH: Right here (indicating).
 7
                   COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Okay. So you're
 8
           across -- that's a canal?
 9
                   MR. SINGH: Yes, right across the canal.
          COMMISSIONER HYMAN: And what difference does it make if this school is owned by the School
10
11
12
          Board of Palm Beach County or if it's owned by a
          board of trustees of some sort?
13
14
                    CHAIRMAN BARBIERI:
                                           You have to --
                    COMMISSIONER HYMAN:
                                            The one that we're
15
16
           talking about.
                   CHAIRMAN BARBIERI:
17
                                           Pick up the
          microphone.
18
19
                   MR. SINGH:
                                 I'm sorry.
                    COMMISSIONER HYMAN: What difference does
20
           it make if it's public or private if it's still a
21
22
           school?
                   \underline{\text{MR. SINGH}}: It's a different kind of . This was supposed to be an exclusive
23
           traffic.
24
25
           community by Toll Brothers that build the homes
           that were almost 500 to $600,000 homes to million
26
           dollar homes across the street, and this was going
27
           to be part of Toll Brothers --
28
29
                    <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN:</u>
                                            But if it was --
30
                    MR. SINGH:
                                 -- recreation area.
                    COMMISSIONER HYMAN: It was a civic center
31
32
           on that site plan?
           MR. SINGH: Yes, for private use only, for the people, the residents of Toll Brothers and the
33
34
35
           178 homes that were being built.
                    COMMISSIONER HYMAN: So you thought that
36
           when it said civic use, it was civic use just for
37
38
           that community?
39
                   MR. SINGH:
                                 It was designed that way, yes,
           the way it was passed, from my understanding.
40
                    COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Yeah, it's --
41
42
                    MR. SINGH:
                                 It was a piece of property
          bought by Toll Brothers, and they paid for that road to go through about two years ago, and my property was eminent domained at that time, so I
43
44
45
46
           had to give a portion of my property to let this
47
           road go through.
48
                    We were not aware of all of this, by the
49
           way.
50
                    COMMISSIONER HYMAN:
                                             This road, which road
           are you talking about?
51
52
                    MR. SINGH: Which is now called Lyons Road
53
           right across --
54
                    <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN</u>: Oh, Lyons Road.
                                          So they eminent
55
                                 Okay.
                    MR. SINGH:
           domained, my frontage for that reason, and we were under the impression when they cut all the trees,
56
57
           I know this is through development and that happens, I accept that, but the use of the
58
59
           property by Toll Brothers is not what -- it
60
61
           changed now.
62
                    Even -- as this is a point of contention,
```

I look at that piece of property. They didn't develop it. They left it alone, and even from a zoning, and just -- this is just my pet peeve is from a government standpoint they are not held accountable to the standards that we as private citizens are held up to.

For example, my neighbor got a certified letter for encroachment for the pavement, there's brush growing in, but they have not kept up with the tree and landscape portion when it was zoned that way.

That property's in disarray. I look at it every day. I even complained to the Zoning Department downtown, and there was no action taken.

So I feel that as a single person we get the short end of it. The property has not been established or maintained by Toll Brothers, but that's not the issue on this table right now.

By zoning it differently, ma'am, you're going to have a different kind of traffic there that wasn't originally set up to have.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All right. Thank you. Staff, your application summary says that the original condition of approval limited this -- use of this civic pod to civic uses open to the public.

MS. OWENSBY: Yes, and -- yes. The -- it -- the condition currently says open to the public. Property Real Estate Management has told us that at that time that was intended to bring in traffic from outside the PUD.

According to the zoning code, changing the use from public to private only regards the ownership of the property. As far as zoning goes, public civic would be open -- would be owned by a public agency.

They're now requesting to go to a private civic to be owned by a private developer, although, or course, their traffic will continue to come from outside the PUD.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All right. So following up on Commissioner Hyman's comment, there's really not going to be any change in what was anticipated and what could be there now because we could have a public school there.

MS. OWENSBY: Yeah.

MR. ROGERS: There very easily could have been a public agency wishing to install or construct a similar type facility on this property, and the traffic generation between a public facility and a private facility are basically generally the same or relatively close to each other.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All right.

 $\underline{\text{MR. ROGERS}}\colon$ But when this was zoned civic, there was no intended use on the property at that time.

<u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Okay. Thank you. Mr. Goudreau, and then Mr. Edwards. MR. GOUDREAU: Good morning. My name's Robert Goudreau. I'm a neighbor here. Yeah, I live -- I reside right here

(indicating).

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10 11

12

13 14 15

16

17 18

19 20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 29

30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43 44

45

46

47 48

49

50

51 52

53 54

55 56

57

58 59

60

61 62

I gave up part of my property for the County in eminent domain for Lyons Road. I moved out there 15 years ago, dead-end road at this point. I've been impacted immensely with traffic, whatnot, in front of my home, which I know I was going to get when I got Lyons Road, even though I opposed it, you know, I couldn't do much with it.

But now that it's here, they want to put a

school in here.

From what I was told from residents of Wellington View, which they're not here -- they didn't even get enough notice about this meeting 'cause they were not notified about this meeting 'cause they weren't within the 500 feet of the school.

COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Where are they?
MR. GOUDREAU: They live in here (indicating). It's not completely sold out yet, but the home residents that are in there, there's quite a few from this picture here. Most of these homes that you see -- I believe there's a few more in there still now. This is an older photo.

But they are residing in there, and I've

spoke to several homeowners just as last night, and I tried to give them information, but they couldn't make the meeting.

Anyways, they're telling me what they got -- were told when they bought the homes in there, that this was going to be like a church, civic center for weekends and possibly one meeting during the week. This is what they were told as homeowners buying these very expensive homes, This is what I've living in this gated community. been told.

Again, they did not -- could not be here to voice it, so it's hearsay, anyway, hopefully you'll have another meeting on this.

I oppose it based on Marginal Road.

There's a school here that's going in -- yeah, That's not to do with right here (indicating). this, I understand that, but that's to do with -- we will be dealing with it.

They're trying to buy the right-of-way through here, wasting millions of dollars of taxpayers' money, to come up Lyons Road to influx the traffic flow that we got the thoroughfare here that the County wanted so desperately to have for -- to make traffic flow to relieve 441, to relieve all the traffic from these communities that are put in in here.

There's one north of here. There's this There's Olympia and plus the influx of new residents in Greenacres that come out west and whatnot.

Lyons Road is a very heavy traffic road, and it's going to be more heavier. It's going to

Thank you.

It's a

The other people were complaining about

be going to four lanes eventually. Why are we 2 3 trying to back this road up with more traffic? That's my main thing here. 4 Marginal -- there's going to be, like I said, an exit here of trying to get -- it's been already approved for two drives that are existing 5 6 7 here to go through here (indicating). 8 These neighbors somehow have got power to 9 make more happen, but I'm opposing that, and I'm opposing this 'cause of the fact that it was 10 11 supposed to have been a weekend function little 12 thing, probably one thing during the week. 13 going to influx traffic on that road. 14 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: All right. Thank y <u>MR. GOUDREAU</u>: That's all I got to say. 15 MR. GOUDREAU: 16 Thank you. 17 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Mr. Edwards. 18 MR. EDWARDS: Yes. Let me go over here so 19 I can show you where I am. 20 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Mr. Broome, you'll be 21 next. 22 MR. EDWARDS: Okay. I'm located --23 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Mr. Edwards, you need 24 to pick up the hand mic, please. Pick up the hand 25 microphone. 26 MR. EDWARDS: I'm sorry. I'm new at this. 27 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: It's okay. MR. EDWARDS: Bear with me.
Okay. I'm located directly across the street here or directly across the lake, and the 28 29 30 31 gentleman explained that from the ballfields, I'm 32 724 feet away from the ballfields. Well, he's 33 correct. 34 But what happens when they have homes going in here, and what about these people over 35 36 here? They're a lot closer. 37 The opposition that I have to this is 38 quite a few-fold. Granted, the traffic, everybody is complaining about the traffic. You're going to 39 40 41 dump all those hundreds of people -- this is a 42 private school, and they don't have buses. They 43 have to have an area there where they can park buses, but they don't have buses. every kid comes in a car. 44 That means that 45 46 **COMMISSIONER HYMAN:** They could have 47 buses. 48 MR. EDWARDS: They do? COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Oh, yeah. Private have buses. They don't have to, but they 49 50 schools have buses. 51 could. 52 MR. EDWARDS: Does this school have buses? 53 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: I have no idea. 54 MR. EDWARDS: I don't think so. 55 small school. So everybody's going to come in a car, so that's 300 students, give or take 20, 300 cars. don't know how many teachers they have, but they 58 59 also have to have staff. They have to have 60 garbage pickup, deliveries and this and that and the other.

56

57

61

the new school that was -- that's going over here on Marginal Road, and from what my understanding is, they're trying to do eminent domain, oh, about -- about 100 feet or 200 feet away to make an ingress and egress onto Lyons Road there where -- now, that's a big school. This school's a little bit smaller because the area's a little smaller, but that was a big school, then all those traffic would go onto Lyons Road.

This school would go onto Lyons Road.

I'm sure that all of you are familiar with Lyons Road. It's a two-lane road. It goes from Okeechobee all the way down to Broward County except two miles south of this school here Lyons Road does not go through. People complained so they didn't put it through.

Consequently, there's -- when they -- when they do a traffic study for this school or they do a traffic study for the other school there's not that many cars on this road, Lyons Road.

When they put through -- when they go over the canal -- there's just one little canal which cuts off Lyons Road -- the amount of traffic on Lyons Road, and if anybody in here is with the Traffic Department, they'll bear it out, will probably jump five or --

COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Anybody here from -- MR. EDWARDS: -- eight -- eight times because it's a shortcut.

And then when you have all this traffic dumped onto the road at the same time early in the morning and late in the afternoon, it's going to be a bottleneck. That means you're going to -- you're going to have to four-lane that road. Now, that's a problem.

Also, there's a problem -- COMMISSIONER HYMAN: He needs to wrap it

MR. EDWARDS: Most of the people moved out here for a better quality of life. I don't know if any of you folks will live out west, but it's a little quieter. You live your whole life. You save enough money where you can move out into the suburbs and live your life for the rest of your life in quiet and peace.

With a school right across the lake from me, or, for that matter, for all the people in the surrounding area -- I mean I'm affected a little bit more because I'm across the lake, but all the people in the surrounding area, it's a pain in the neck. Noise is -- would you like to live right next to a school?

 $\underline{\text{COMMISSIONER HYMAN}}\colon$ I live in the middle of the city.

MR. EDWARDS: I don't think so. I don't think there's a person in this whole room that would like to live right next to a school --

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Mr. Edwards.
MR. EDWARDS: -- with all the noise.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: You have to wrap it up for us.

MR. EDWARDS: I beg your pardon?

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: You're going to have 2 to wrap it up for us. 3 MR. EDWARDS: Okay. Okay. Anyway, it's going to affect everybody's 4 quality of life. 5 6 We tried to work with -- we tried to work 7 with the people that are building this school, to 8 be honest. I mean we're not just all complaining. 9 We wanted to work together, and we suggested that they build a -- they have a wall, runs all the way 10 along Lyons Road. We suggested that -- from there 11 to there (indicating). 12 13 We suggested that they put another wall 14 around the back to buffer -- you can buffer it with trees a little bit, noise, but a wall is --15 is so much better, and it would buffer the noise. 16 <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN</u>: Okay. 17 That's it. 18 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: All right. I guess -- I guess that's 19 MR. EDWARDS: 20 about -CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All right, Mr. 21 22 Edwards. We appreciate your coming up here today. MR. EDWARDS: I appreciate it. 23 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: We'll be discussing 24 25 your comments in a few minutes. 26 Mr. Broome. $\underline{\text{MR. BROOME}}\colon$ Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm Bill Broome. I'm a parent volunteer serving now as president of the Board of Trustees of Poinciana 27 28 29 30 Day School. My kids went through the school, but it's 31 a love of -- a community love of mine, and I'm 32 33 still there in support of it, and I'm pleased to 34 be here this morning. 35 This is a non-I want to emphasize. 36 sectarian, non-profit private school with a record 37 of 17 plus years of excellence and good neighbor behavior right here in West Palm Beach on Kenwood Road, which is just off Mercer north of Belvedere. 38 39 40 The site has become urbanized and is no 41 longer suitable for little kids. 42 We -- as the neighbor said, we're a small 43 school. We have less than 80 kids right now. 44 We're hoping to flourish and think we will at this 45 new location which is much more suitable, we 46 think, for an elementary school. 47 **COMMISSIONER HYMAN:** It'll go up to what 48 grade? 49 MR. BROOME: Eighth. We are preschool to eighth grade. 50 51 We have included plans for a daycare. 52 Although we have had one, we don't have one now, 53 but we have included plans for one in this 54 proposal because we think it may be a service to 55 the community and helpful to the school. 56 I'm not a traffic expert, but we have 57 consultants who are, and we've worked with the County department, and we think they are, and 58 We've met 59 they've told us the traffic's clear. 60

I appreciate the neighbors worrying about

how their community's changing, but we don't think

the standard.

61

we're going to change it very much. We're a -- we're a small school, a small class small school committed to academic 3 excellence for everyone. We are completely open 4 to the public. Anyone who qualifies can come. 5 We provide significant financial aid, and 6 7 we have students of every sort. So we want to be there and be a good 8 neighbor and serve as an actual benefit to the 9 neighborhood, and we think that's how it'll --10 it'll look once we're in there if you approve it. 11 12 Thank you. Thank you. CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: 13 MR. EDWARDS: Point of order? 14 You can't speak from CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: 15 back there. It doesn't get on the record. 16 He shouldn't be COMMISSIONER HYMAN: 17 allowed to speak. 18 MR. EDWARDS: Can I -- can I approach just 19 20 one minute? CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Come on up. 21 I forgot one thing. MR. EDWARDS: 22 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: He's had -- he had --23 I really appreciate it. MR. EDWARDS: 24 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Make it short. 25 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: -- four minutes. MR. EDWARDS: Less than a minute. 26 27 You said it was zoned for -- you said it 28 was zoned for civic, which is true, but you also 29 said it could put a school there and this and that 30 and the other, which we were led to believe it was 31 32 not true. I was at the Commission meeting when 33 they -- when they -- when they passed this zoning 34 and whatnot, for that to be civic. 35 They specifically -- and you can look in ords. They -- and I spoke at that meeting. 36 37 the records. They specifically said it would be two 38 uses for that particular plot. One would be 39 either daycare center, and the other would be a 40 church. Everybody said okay, that would be fine, and no one was offended, and to this day everybody 41 42 would be happy with that. 43 So the Commission did say it was 44 specifically for that, and I'm telling you if you 45 look in the records, you'll see it back in -- I 46 think it was 2003. 47 All right. Thank you. CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: 48 MR. EDWARDS: Thank you. 49 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Petitioner. 50 MR. BARRY: Just to address some of the issues that have been brought up, one of the 51 52 concerns was in regards to the Wellington View neighborhood not being notified. Here are the maps that we used for our notices that we sent out for the neighborhood 56 meeting that we had.

You can see our property on the map on the 57 58 left-hand side is the one that's the white 59 property with the magenta surrounding it.
Wellington View was within 500 feet, and 60 61 not only were individual properties within 500 62

feet, but so were common areas, such as the roadway.

So the HOA through Toll Brothers was notified, and so were individual properties, and we took it a step further, and there is properties that weren't notified that we felt would be affected, and that's the map on the right-hand side.

So we went outside of the 500-foot radius and notified other people, and at our neighborhood $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) +\left(1\right$ meeting -- it was actually in the clubhouse at the Wellington View community -- and as the gentleman said, no neighbors from Wellington View came to that meeting. It was primarily neighbors to the east, north and south, but they were notified.

Some of the other things that we would like to go through, in regards to the wall, we feel that the distance, as well as the landscaping, would provide enough buffering. don't want to wall these children in.

There's obviously going to be fencing to protect them from any safety with the lake, but we don't want to wall them in and give them the effect that they have walls on all four sides of them when they're outside.

And then the last thing is in regards to the minutes from the original approval, we pulled the original minutes from the February 27, 2003, BCC meeting and didn't see any reference to this -- the Board directing that this had to be a church.

And we're available for any questions.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Sherry? **COMMISSIONER HYMAN:** Okay. CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Any --

COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Are we closed with

the public portion?

2 3

4

5 6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28 29 30

31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45

46

47

52 53

54 55 56

57 58

59 60

61

62

Is there anybody else CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: here from the public to speak?

(No response)

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All right.

close the public portion.

Back to the commissioners.

COMMISSIONER HYMAN: I don't -- I -- I don't see the difference. If this was daycare, you know, you'd still have the same type of situation, just the kids are younger.

MR. EDWARDS: There's some -COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Maybe -- maybe
noisier, I don't know, but, you know, at first you question does private use constitute a civic use, but I -- I could see absolutely what difference should it make what the type of ownership is.

Is it condo, is it fee simple, is it this or that, so I don't have a problem with it as long as we, you know, there's sufficient buffer for the neighbors, and if, you know, at first I thought maybe a wall, you know, along the waterfront would be a good idea, but then I -- you know, they -- well, no, don't clap so fast 'cause then, you know, I also considered what the petitioner said, and, you know, I think that's -- you know, it

might not be the best thing for the kids using the 2 yard to be enclosed with walls. But, staff, you know, what -- what are your thoughts with regards to putting some type of barrier along the back side of the school by the 3 4 5 6 playground? 7 MS. OWENSBY: We can require it if you --8 like. It's not required by the code.
As I mentioned, because of the distance of if you like. 9 the open space, the code doesn't even require the 10 landscaping, but we are putting in a condition for 11 landscaping. 12 13 If you want a wall, of course, we can add 14 that to the condition. COMMISSIONER HYMAN: How wide is that lake 15 16 behind the building? It's 130 feet from this 17 MS. OWENSBY: property to the property across to the east. 300 feet to the south between this property --18 19 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's 21 **COMMISSIONER HYMAN:** Shhh, it's not 22 appropriate. $\underline{\text{MS. OWENSBY}}$: -- and the property to 23 24 the -- and the property to the south. 25 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: How big is the 26 buffer -- well, how big is the buffer between the 27 What kind of buffer do we have there again? 28 $\underline{\text{MS. OWENSBY}}$: On the east side it's a seven and a half foot buffer with trees 20 feet on 29

center and a six-foot hedge and a fence. On the south side, because there's a much greater open space distance, we're requiring a hedge at three feet and about three -- three trees, I believe.

30 31

32

33 34 35

36

37 38

39

40 41

42 43

44

45

46 47

48

49

50 51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: So let me ask a question. Rather than -- and I agree with the petitioner with respect to having the kids look like they're in a, you know, in a jail yard where they can't get out.

But if we're going to have a six-foot hedge, we're going to have trees, why can't we put a wall on the east side of the hedge so that it blocks the noise perfectly, and the kids don't have to look at at a wall.

They're going to be looking at a six-foot hedge and trees, anyway, so why can't we put the wall on the other side to block the noise? that a possibility?

The Board has the authority MS. OWENSBY: to write a condition for the buffers.

Now, the code does require the landscaping to be on the exterior side of the wall. We could require landscaping on both sides of the wall.

What wall? The wall <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN:</u> on the west side?

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: No, on the east. If we put a wall on the east side of the landscape buffer.

VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: Starting from where to where?

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Oh, I guess -- I guess along the lake edge we put the wall or whatever

distance up from the lake, and then we put the —the buffering on the inside of the wall so that the kids see the green, and they don't see the wall, and the wall gives these people the noise reduction that they feel that they need.

I kind of agree. I mean if you have a

I kind of agree. I mean if you have a bunch of kids playing out there, you have a lake, the sound is going to travel. I don't know how much buffering we're going to get from the -- from the landscaping, but I also agree with the petitioner.

I don't want these kids to feel like they're in a walled-off compound with, you know, the heat bearing down on them and --

COMMISSIONER HYMAN: You're not going to get a very satisfactory landscaping buffer there. How wide are you talking about?

MS. OWENSBY: Seven and a half feet on the east and five feet on the south.

COMMISSIONER HYMAN: So it's not very wide. So it's not going to be plush or anything, and once the sprinkler -- you know, I just don't think it's going to work, but, you know, they're not out in the yard all day.

I mean they're only -- they're there in the mornings, I guess, before they go into class while the people are arriving at school, and then they must have a break sometime during the day and maybe at the end of the day, but -- I don't know.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Commissioner Davis.

COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Yeah, I had a -- this whole discussion about the wall. The wall's going to take up two feet, so that reduces your green.

I wouldn't support the wall. You know, I'm in agreement. I live in a neighborhood where there's lots of kids running around, and there's a church nearby, and there's a little bit of noise, yes, but it's not, in my opinion, extreme, and in the evenings there will be no noise when most people are home having dinner and being with their families and such.

I would -- I would not support putting a wall in because it reduces the amount of green, and I think it's much healthier for kids to look at green than it is concrete.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Okay.

COMMISSIONER HYMAN: I agree with that. I just don't think, you know, if you have seven feet, it's just not enough, and --

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All right.
COMMISSIONER HYMAN: -- there's no
guarantee that a five-foot wall, six-foot wall
would stop all the noise, anyway, and maybe this
is good noise, anyway.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All right. I agree. There's not enough. There's -- there's only seven feet. There's not enough room for a wall.

Is there any other --

COMMISSIONER HYMAN: So I'm going to make
a motion --

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Commissioner Anderson.
VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: I'd just, you

know, ask the petitioner when they're designing 1 2 the landscape and working with staff, to make sure 3 that the landscaping is done in a way to try to 4 reduce the impact to the neighbors and to reduce 5 the noise going across the lake as much -- as much as possible. 6 7 MR. BARRY: Of course. 8 VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: So just be thinking about that when you're actually doing the 9 10 landscaping. 11 BARRY: Okay. 12 VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: Thank you. 13 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: I'm going to move approval of the development order amendment requesting the amending of a condition of 14 15 approval, subject to the conditions. 16 VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: 17 18 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion made by 19 Commissioner Hyman, seconded by Commissioner 20 Anderson. 21 Any discussion. 22 (No response) 23 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All in favor. 24 <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>: Aye. 25 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Opposed. 26 (No response) <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Motion carries, 7-0. 27 28 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: I'm going to 29 recommend approval of the requested uses allowing 30 a school, elementary or secondary, private, and the daycare, general, subject to the conditions. VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: Second. 31 32 33 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion made by 34 Commissioner Hyman, seconded by Commissioner 35 Anderson. Any discussion. 36 37 (No response) 38 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All in favor. 39 <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>: Aye. 40 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Opposed. 41 (No response) 42 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion carries, 7-0. 43 MR. BARRY: Thank you. 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54

7, Item 13, DOA/R-2008-303, Lantana Civic 58 Pavilion, found on Pages 193 through 217. 59 Staff is recommending approval of 60 development order amendment to add two external 61 access points to the PUD for a civic parcel and a 62 motion to recommend approval of a requested use

That'll bring us to Page

MR. Mac GILLIS:

55 56

for a place of worship and daycare.

Anthony will do a brief presentation of this item.

MR. WINT: Good morning, Commissioners.
The location of this project is on the south side of Lantana Road, approximately one mile west of Grand Lacuna Boulevard.

Proposed is a development order amendment for the Balmoral Country Club Planned Unit Development.

The Balmoral Country Club PUD was initially approved by the Board of County Commissioners on December 21st, 1981.

Commissioners on December 21st, 1981.

Since the initial approval there's been several amendments, the latest being October 16th, 2006, for a development order amendment to reconfigure a portion of the golf course for residential use and to increase the PUD from 451 units to 522 dwelling units.

The applicant is currently seeking a requested use, a 700-seat house of worship, a 207 student private school and a 155-child daycare center. The house of worship and the private school will be in the same building consisting of 37,258 square feet.

The daycare center will be in a separate building consisting of 9,315 square feet.

The site plan indicates that the daycare center and the private school have separate play areas.

The site plan also indicate 246 parking spaces, and access to the site will be from Lantana Road.

Staff received 13 letters in regards to this project.

Staff is recommending approval, subject to 24 conditions of approval as indicated in Exhibit C.

The applicant is here in attendance and will be making a presentation, and if there are no questions for staff, I'd like to turn it over to the applicant.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Okay. Good morning.

MS. POLSON: Good morning. My name is Jan
Polson, and I'm with the firm of Cotleur and
Hearing from Jupiter, Florida.

I want to apologize for my voice. I do have a cold, and sometimes go into little coughing spasms so I'll apologize for that up first.

The request before you today is for a development order amendment to add two external accesses for the Balmoral PUD. The Balmoral -- for the designated civic site at the Balmoral PUD.

for the designated civic site at the Balmoral PUD.

Also, we're requesting use approval for a place of worship, private school and daycare center on the existing 5.24-acre site.

The site's located on the south side of Lantana Road just west of the Florida Turnpike.

Surrounding properties include agricultural and rural residential uses to the west, the Lakes of Sherbrooke PUD to the north across Lantana Road, and to the south, east and

west the Balmoral PUD.

The Balmoral PUD master plan reflects the 5.24-acre site, which was originally approved in 1981 to satisfy the County's PUD requirements; however, the approval failed to provide -- to plan or provide access to the proposed civic site.

The site at one time was owned by the property owner's association but was sold for future development.

The site was also subject to an illegal subdivision which my client was able to acquire the other parcel and reconfigure it to its original 1981 configuration.

As you'll notice, the Balmoral Country Club is built to the southerly property line of the site, golf course area and lake area in the --barring any possible future internal access from the PUD.

You'll also notice the closest PUD structure is about 300-foot from the southern property line.

This aerial reflects the location of the PUD's main entry, Grand Lacuna Boulevard. It also shows the proposed locations of the access for the civic pavilion's access. There also -- there are no other options to provide access for this site, other than from Lantana Road.

The applicant has agreed to construct a right turn lane at the easternmost access on Lantana Road.

The requested uses include place of worship, private school and daycare center, the most appropriate for this residential area, and are keeping with the civic site designation.

Two buildings are proposed. A 37,258 square foot building for a 700 place -- seat place of worship and a 207-student private elementary school are proposed on the southern portion of the site.

It is a 35-foot high building. The height was reduced to comply with COWBRA's comments recently.

Additionally, there's a 9,350 square foot daycare building for 155 children, and that is the building up towards the front of the site along Lantana Road.

As you'll note, there are two separate play areas, one adjacent to the church and one adjacent to the daycare center.

A 20-foot right-of-way buffer is proposed along Lantana Road and 15-foot incompatibility buffers are proposed along the eastern and western property line.

The applicant has agreed to some upgrades in landscaping in these buffer areas.

Additionally, the applicant agrees to various site-related restrictions, including no outdoor speakers, limiting the building hours from 7:00 to 11:00, outdoor hours 7:00 to 9:00, and only allowing three temporary sales event a year at restricted setbacks of 100 foot from the property line, the residential property lines.

We've also agreed to reduce the proposed monument signs on the project from three to two. We're allowed three; however, we are proposing two now.

The initial architectural submittal before you was found in compliance with the architectural The applicant was contacted by design guidelines. COWBRA and advised that they had recently extended their boundaries to the Lantana Road area; therefore, we had postponed last month's meeting in order to meet with them and other homeowners.

The applicant met with them, has agreed to make several architectural changes, including changing the metal roof to barrel tile, enhancing the roof elements and adding additional decorative medallions and textured areas around the windows.

This is the place of worship private school, as was originally submitted, as well as the Kiddie Academy Daycare Center, and if I did this right, there is the proposed architecture for the place of worship private school that was reviewed by COWBRA.

We are going to be submitting this to the The condition we will be working on County staff. on the architectural will be rewritten to include

these changes to comply with COWBRA.

The changes will comply with the West Boynton Area Community Plan, and they also comply with Article 5.c, architectural design guidelines, and those plans will be filed prior to final DRO.

The applicant also met with the Balmoral Country Club HOA prior to filing of the request. We've recently responded to the HOA's -- to their letter of concern on numerous items, a copy of which I will provide to the County as part of the record.

Their concerns, among their concerns was traffic and the need for an additional median on Lantana Road, also the need for additional buffering.

We've included in our response to them that and then as reflected in the County staff report that we currently meet the County's traffic performance standards.

My traffic engineer is here today to answer any questions that you may have concerning this matter.

The median opening is not an option due to the proximity of the other locations of intersections in this area.

We've agreed to a six-foot decorative fence in the southeast and southwest compatibility buffers to provide for visibility and sound buffering, and we've also agreed to additional upgrades of the landscaping, as well.

In closing, I'd like to note that the

staff is in support, full support, of the project.

The civic sites are a requirement of PUDs, and that this request is in compliance with all applicable County codes, and we respectfully request your approval on this project and your support, and we'd like to reserve any remaining

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

29

30

31

32

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

38

46 47 48

> 49 50 51

61

62

time to respond to the public or answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: thank you.

MS. POLSON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Scott Blasie, would you please come up, be limited to three minutes, then Kenneth Lassiter from COWBRA.

MR. BLASIE: Good morning. My name's Scott Blasie. I live at 6632 Rock Creek Drive, Lake Worth, Florida 33467, which is in the Lacuna HOA.

I've been authorized to speak on behalf of the Lacuna HOA, and I have a letter from our president, Tony Aprea, if it's necessary.

What Jan stated earlier was correct and also County staff.

The only thing I would like to bring to your attention, it's roughly about one-tenth of a mile from Grand Lacuna Boulevard to the project site. It's previously been stated it was a mile. That may be neither here nor there, but I just wanted to bring that to your attention.

As Jan stated, we do oppose the project based primarily on two criteria, the first being the traffic. With 700 -- the intensity of the project that's proposed with 700 church and 2,007 school students and 155 daycare, all the westbound traffic exiting this project will enter onto Lantana Road and will be making a U-turn at Grand Lacuna Boulevard.

Unfortunately, there's a U-turn provision east of Grand Lacuna Boulevard that gets used by no one as it goes to nowhere. It's right before you get to the Turnpike, but that's a major concern and probably our primary concern.

And we relate the traffic -- we understand that it's generally meeting your Unified Land Development Code regulations, but we feel that the numbers here are much too great to not adversely impact our community.

In fact, I may reference the traffic study from Kimley-Horn, and I'd like to -- I'll leave these with the secretary as our -- Lacuna's Exhibits A and B.

Page 7 of their traffic analysis, I'll summarize. It states that Lantana Road between State Road 7 and Military Trail are significantly impacted by the project traffic, and they also mention that it meets the traffic -- the County's traffic performance standards up through the end of 2011.

Well, hopefully we're all going to be here after 2011, and I'm not sure the project will be done by 2011. I understand it's -- the application's based on today. We're here today to deal with it, but I'd like you to think about the future if we can do that.

We also feel the building design -- I understand that it's approved for a civic use and so on, but the building design, contrary to staff's comments, isn't really compatible because all the uses around it are residential, and there

are no buildings in this immediate area that look 2 like the building that's proposed. 3 We do appreciate the barrel tile as opposed to the metal because typically all of the units, the residential units in the surrounding 4 5 6 area, are either barrel tile or asphalt shingle. 7 I don't have a lot -- like I said, it's basically the intensity of the use and the 8 9 traffic. Those are the two things that we're 10 really hung up on. 11 We do appreciate them meeting with us. was at that meeting. We would only ask possibly 12 if we could be given time maybe to meet with the 13 14 applicant again and see if they would reconsider the numbers they're proposing in terms of the 15 16 intensity of this use. We're just not sure how we're going to be 17 able to live with it, given the traffic.

And in closing -- too many papers here -- we don't necessarily agree that it conforms with 18 19 20 your Unified Land Development regulations in terms 21 22 of traffic and in terms of the compatibility with 23 the surrounding uses. 24 And that concludes my presentation at this 25 Thank you. point. 26 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Thank you. 27 Blasie, would you submit your letter to -- up 28 here. 29 We need a motion to receive and file. 30 VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: So moved. COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: 31 So moved. 32 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Motion made by 33 Commissioner Anderson, seconded by Commissioner 34 Kaplan. 35 Any discussion. (No response) 36 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: 37 All in favor. 38 <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>: Aye. CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: 39 Opposed. 40 (No response) CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion carries, 7-0. 41 42 Mr. Blasie, also you asked for more time. Be advised that no matter what we do today, this 43 will be heard by the County Commission in another month, September $29^{\rm th}$ -- September $29^{\rm th}$. 44 45 So you'll have an opportunity, if you need 46 to discuss with the petitioner, you'll have an 47 opportunity to --48 49 Certainly. MR. BLASIE: So I have the two 50 exhibits and also the authorization to speak. I'll give those to who? 51 52 <u>COMMISSIONER KAPLAN</u>: I'll move we accept the exhibits and the letter of authorization. 53 54 <u>COMMISSIONER ARMITAGE:</u> Second. CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All right. 55 made by Commissioner Kaplan, seconded by -- MR. BLASIE: Thank you. 56 57 58 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: -- Commissioner 59 Armitage. 60 All in favor. 61 **COMMISSIONERS:** Aye. 62 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Opposed.

```
1
                 (No response)
2
                 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI:
                                     Motion carries, 7-0.
3
                 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Could I ask our
         astute traffic people.
What -- what's going -- what does that
 4
 5
 6
         intersection look like where they're going to make
7
         the U-turn?
 8
                 MR. ROGERS:
                               It's a signalized
 9
         intersection.
                 COMMISSIONER HYMAN:
10
                                      And it's past the
                                      It's further west?
11
         entranceway to the school?
                 MR. ROGERS: No, it's just to the east.
12
13
                 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: It's just to the
         east?
14
15
                 MR. ROGERS:
                               Yes.
16
                 COMMISSIONER HYMAN:
                                      So he's saying that
         people coming from out west would have to make the
17
18
         U-turn to go back.
19
                 MR. ROGERS:
                               Well, the people have to
         use -- have to U-turn --
20
21
                 COMMISSIONER HYMAN:
                                      Either way.
                               -- both on the east and the
22
                 MR. ROGERS:
23
         west side.
         If you're coming from the east and you want to go to the facility, you will have to go
24
25
26
         past it to the first median opening west of the
27
         site --
                 COMMISSIONER HYMAN:
28
                                       And what does that
29
         look like?
30
                     ROGERS:
                              -- U-turn -- it's a median.
                 <u>MR.</u>
         It's an unsignalized median opening.
31
                 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Okay. And then going
32
         the other way?
33
                              If you're coming from the
34
                 MR. ROGERS:
         west and you want to leave the site and you want
35
36
         to go back to the west, you'll have to go east to
37
         that signalized intersection and U-turn at that
38
         location.
39
                 We have looked at this, and this meets our
40
         standards.
41
                 COMMISSIONER HYMAN:
                                       Okay.
                 MR. ROGERS: Will it be more traffic than
42
43
         what's out there today? Yes.
44
                 Is it -- the amount of traffic going to be
         within our acceptable limits?
45
                                         Yes, it is.
                 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Okay.
46
47
                 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI:
                                     Mr. Lassiter.
48
                 MR. LASSITER: Good morning,
                                                      I live
49
         Commissioners. My name is Ken Lassiter.
50
         at 6131 Hook Lane in Boynton Beach. It's my
51
         privilege to serve as first vice president of
52
         COWBRA.
53
                 COWBRA's reviewed this project twice.
         first review we asked for two changes, which they
54
         subsequently came back in and proposed to us.
55
56
                 One was to make the roof in compliance
57
         with the West Boynton Community Plan and have a
58
         barrel tile roof. The second was to add some
         architectural features to enhance the appearance
59
60
         of the building.
```

Our first review, we thought the building

looked a little bit like an Army barracks or a

storage facility with a rather plain facade, and so we approved the architectural enhancements. 3 we would recommend approval of this project as 4 long as those two conditions are included. 5 6 I'd like to also state that it seems I hear the question several times a day about is 7 this in the COWBRA area. 8 In 2005 we modified our by-laws and 9 changed our northern boundary to the south include the south side of Lantana Road from I-95 10 11 all the way to 441. We did that because we had 12 several communities in that area who wanted to 13 become members, and they've since joined. 14 So we supplied documentation to the County Planning staff in 2005. In fact, they gave us a 15 16 new map of the COWBRA area, and we recently 17 supplied another copy of that to the Planning 18 staff. 19 So if there are any further questions, let me know. 20 21 Thank you. 22 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Thank you. Is there anybody else here from the public who would like to speak on Item No. 13? 23 24 25 (No response) 26 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: We'll close the public 27 portion. 28 Yes, ma'am. 29 COMMISSIONER BRUMFIELD: There's another. 30 **COMMISSIONER HYMAN:** Did she put -- did 31 she do a card? 32 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Did you submit a card? 33 MS. NOWICKI: Good morning. My name is 34 Edith Nowicki. I own --35 **COMMISSIONER HYMAN:** Did you submit a 36 card? 37 MS. NOWICKI: Pardon me? 38 <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN:</u> Did you submit a 39 card? 40 MS. NOWICKI: No, I did not. 41 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Okay. What's your 42 name and address? I'm sorry. MS. NOWICKI: Edith Nowicki, 6044 86th 43 44 Terrace South 33467. 45 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Okay. Go ahead. MS. NOWICKI: I own the property directly 46 47 west of this issue, and I was here last month. 48 There's one -- this kind gentleman did a 49 very good job from Lacuna, but there's one other 50 issue. 51 There is -- you just okayed another church 52 on Bentbrook and Lantana which is less than two 53 miles away. 54 There's two churches on Lyons Road, one on 55 Lyons and Lantana, and one about a mile up the 56 road between Lantana and Lake Worth Road. And then there's -- probably within 10 minutes there's X amount of churches on Hypoluxo. 57 58 59 So if you're considering another church, I 60 think, you know, I think you just need to look at

I think it's packing a lot of issues into

61

62

that issue.

5.24 acres and -- and two accesses to Lantana Road, which is going to be -- Lantana Road, I 3 don't know if anybody -- any of you are familiar with that area, but it's -- since you four-laned it and Hypoluxo is not done, it's a thoroughfare. 4 5 6 7 So it's something to consider. Thank you. 8 Ma'am, would <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Okay. 9 you get a card from the secretary there --10 MS. NOWICKI: Sure. 11 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: -- and fill one of 12 those out for us. Thank you. 13 Is there anybody else from the public? 14 (No response) 15 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: We'll close the public 16 portion. 17 Commissioners have any comments? 18 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: I don't have a problem with this, and I know that sometimes people get afraid of U-turns at intersections, and 19 20 DOT loves them, and they're probably pretty safe, all things considered, 'cause at least you pretty 21 22 23 much start out stationary, usually, except if 24 you're me. 25 But I'm going to move approval of the development order amendment to add the two 26 27 external access points to the PUD for the civic 28 parcel, subject to the conditions. 29 COMMISSIONER KAPLAN: Second. 30 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion made by 31 Commissioner Hyman, seconded by Commissioner 32 Kaplan. 33 Any discussion. 34 (No response) 35 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All in favor. <u>COMMISSIONERS</u>: Aye. 36 37 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Opposed. 38 (No response) CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion carries, 7-0.
COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Move approval of the 39 40 requested use to allow a place of worship, private 41 42 school and daycare, subject to all the conditions. <u>COMMISSIONER KAPLAN</u>: Second. 43 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion made by 44 45 Commissioner Hyman, seconded by Commissioner 46 Kaplan. 47 Any discussion. 48 (No response) 49 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All in favor. COMMISSIONERS: 50 Aye. 51 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Opposed. 52 (No response) 53 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Motion carries, 7-0. 54 MS. POLSON: Thank you. 55

MR. Mac GILLIS: That brings us to Page 8, the last item, Item 15, ZV/PDD-2008-804, Hypoluxo Shoppes, Pages 256 through 283.

Staff is recommending approval of the Type II variance -- oh, I'm sorry, denial, and approval of the rezoning to MUPD.

Carol Glasser will give us a brief presentation.

 $\underline{\text{MS. GLASSER}}$: Carol Glasser, project manager.

One moment, we have a slide. Proposed is the rezoning of approximately 4.2 acres of vacant land from -- to the Multiple Use Planned Development Zoning District.

The subject site is located at the northeast corner of Hypoluxo Road and High Ridge Road.

Also proposed are two variances to the incompatibility buffer along the north property line adjacent to eight single family residences. I'll address the variances in full later.

To the north are single family residential, Hilltop Acres subdivision, eight single family residences.

To the south is an auto service station, convenience store, vacant commercial, agricultural grove and a residence tucked in back behind some dense vegetation.

To the east are abandoned commercial structures and a used vehicle lot.

To the west is single family residential.

The application proposes -- the application proposes to develop two general commercial buildings with 13,555 square foot each and a 3500 square foot financial institution outparcel for a total of 30,610 square feet.

The main access is from Hypoluxo Road. There's an additional access on High Ridge Road plus an egress only at the rear of the site for the employees.

The site plan indicates the required 20-foot right-of-way buffer along the south property line fronting the existing 108-foot right-of-way for Hypoluxo Road and the required 15-foot right-of-way buffer along the west property line fronting the 80-foot right-of-way for High Ridge Road.

The required five-foot compatibility buffer is indicated along the east property line abutting the general -- commercial general zoned property to the east.

Also proposed are two variances to the Unified Land Development Code, Article 7, Section F.3, the location of the wall and planting if a wall is used in the perimeter landscaping buffers. The two are linked so we'll discuss it together.

The code states if a wall or fence is used, the following shall apply. The location of the wall or fence.

It's recommended that walls and fences collocated in a buffer with a berm be located at the top of the berm. Walls and fences with a

continuous footer shall be set back a minimum of 10 feet from the edge of the property line.

Fences may be permitted adjacent to a property line only when used in compatibility buffers, and, B, the location of the planting, a minimum of 75 percent of required trees shall be located between the exterior of the wall or fence facing an adjacent property, except when a fence is used in a compatibility buffer and located along the property line.

Shrubs and hedges shall be installed on both sides of the wall facing adjacent property except when the fence is used in a compatibility buffer and located along the property line.

The applicant is requesting concurrent Type II variances to allow a precast concrete wall, no continuous footer, within the north incompatibility buffer to be located along the north property line with all of the plant materials located on the interior of the wall.

In the discussion of the variances Standard 1 gives you a full detail of -- an explanation of what they're proposing, what the code is.

The north property line of the subject parcel is adjacent to single family residential properties along the full length of the property line.

Most of the adjacent lots have existing fences abutting the subject site. The existing fences vary in height and material, six-foot and three-foot wood fences and a four-foot chainlink fence, according to the survey.

The applicant is required to provide a Type II incompatibility buffer 15 feet in width with a six-foot high continuous solid opaque visual screen between the residential and commercial uses.

The applicant proposes to utilize the wall option. The wall is proposed to be a concrete panel-type wall with concrete posts, versus a concrete block structure with a continuous footer.

Because the applicant is not proposing a wall with a continuous footer, the applicant has the option to install the wall any distance from the north property line that will allow 75 percent of the trees and some of the required shrubs to be planted on the outside of the wall.

The applicant may also meet code requirement by providing this six-foot high continuous solid opaque visual screen utilizing landscape material and no wall.

The applicant is concerned as to maintenance, security and liability due to the existing condition of fences along the south line of the adjacent single family residences.

Staff feels the current concerns can be addressed through a variety of design options, such as lighting, wall height, selection of plant material, placement of plant material, the use of a berm and hedge combination, rather than a wall.

Therefore, staff is recommending denial of

the Type II variance request because there's other design options. 3 We are recommending approval of the 4 rezoning request, subject to 21 conditions of 5 approval as indicated in Exhibit C. 6 At time of publication there were no letters in opposition or support to this project.

<u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: All right. Thank you. 7 8 Are one of you gentlemen John Allan? All right. We'll hold off. Okay. 9 All right. We'll hold of You're the petitioners? 10 Okay. 11 12 MR. MARIBONA: My name's Bernie Maribona. 13 I am the owner/developer of Hypoluxo Shoppes. 14 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Okay. I am Ruben Jimenez. 15 MR. JIMENEZ: 16 with Luis La Rosa Architects. 17 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Okay. MR. MARIBONA: Let me just start by saying I contacted the eight residents living right 18 19 20 behind the property, showed them the design, told them about the fence. 21 22 I have here six signed documents that 23 everyone wants the fence on the property line. They do not want to cause an alleyway to have bums 24 and people hanging out back there, which is -- that's the kind of problem we're having now with 25 26 27 the vacant land. 28 There's about people hanging out there. We've had -- police department contacted us to do 29 something about it. 30 31 Everyone here wants the fence on the property line except for one person who was 32 33 indecisive, and there's eight homes. We got six. 34 One was foreclosed, and one was indecisive. 35 And the reference with the doing the continuous footer, there is no difference between 36 37 a CBS block wall and a three-inch concrete solid 38 panel fence six-foot high. They all -- they're 39 all designed structural to uphold all hurricane 40 winds and everything. COMMISSIONER HYMAN: 41 I have to tell you I 42 didn't understand this -- I don't understand what 43 the problem is. 44 MR. MARIBONA: The spread footer -- the 45 spread footer is a CBS --46 **COMMISSIONER HYMAN:** No, no. Let me ask 47 staff. You know, we do a -- there's no problem allowing the fence on the -- or the wall on the 48 49 property line. Is it just a matter of the 50 51 landscaping or what is --MS. GLASSER: No, the code does not allow the wall on the property line. 52 53 **COMMISSIONER HYMAN:** 54 Because you can't 55 landscape --56 MS. GLASSER: You have to put the 75 57 percent of the landscape material on the other

There was a previous variance request for The difference in that particular case is

that -- and staff had supported it -- the

difference was that the adjacent property owner

58

59

60

61 62 side.

and COWBRA insisted on the wall on the property line for their reasons. 3 It's preferred aesthetically to the adjacent property owners to be able to see the landscape. That's why it has to have landscaping 4 5 on both sides of the wall. 6 7 **COMMISSIONER HYMAN:** So what these people who live behind the property would have to do is 8 9 basically get permission to attach their side fences and walls to this existing wall in order to 10 avoid any kind of alley effect. 11 12 MS. GLASSER: Correct. COMMISSIONER HYMAN: It's not -- you know, 13 it's like they're both problems. Creating an 14 alley is not a good thing, and yet -- and I 15 understand the rationale, you know, for putting the, you know, the walls out -- inside the 16 17 property line so you can get that landscaping.
What's there now? Does everybody have 18 19 like their own little fence or wall --20 Everybody --21 MR. MARIBONA: COMMISSIONER HYMAN: -- back side of their 22 23 house? 24 MR. MARIBONA: Everyone has either a chainlink fence or a wooden fence. I told them 25 I'd put my fence about three inches from my 26 property line. Typically you put your fence on 27 28 the -- on the inside. 29 <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN</u>: Right. 30 MR. MARIBONA: And if they want, they can take down their fence and use my wall. 31 32 have a problem. 33 It is a decorative wall painted on both 34 sides. 35 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Mr. Allen, are you one 36 of the residents? Would you come up to the podium, please. 37 MR. ALLAN: I'm --38 39 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: How much are you requiring the wall to be inside of this property 40 41 line? MR. ALLAN: -- not one of the residents.
MR. MARIBONA: The wall -COMMISSIONER HYMAN: No. Carol, how much 42 43 44 are you requiring that the wall be inside of the 45 property line? 46 47 MS. GLASSER: They have latitude. They're actually proposing a 20-foot buffer on the north 48 property line. The required is a 15-foot, and they can place it anywhere along there as long as 49 50 they can get the 75 percent. 51 So you have to have a place for the roots 52 of the trees and the shrubs on the other side, and 53 54 that's the difference between a continuous footer versus the post, which it gives them a little 55 56 better adjustment to plant material. COMMISSIONER HYMAN: 57 So if he put it like 58 five feet inside of his property line and then put 59 landscaping on -- within that five feet to his property line? 60 MS. GLASSER: The difficulty is in 61

maintaining it because you have fences on both

```
sides, is getting in there and keeping that
 2
          maintained.
 3
                   MR. JIMENEZ:
                                   And security.
                   MR. MARIBONA: And security, liability
 4
          issues with the insurance firms, and you're still
 5
 6
          causing an alleyway with five feet, three feet,
 7
          two feet.
 8
                   <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN</u>: Sure you are.
 9
                   MR. MARIBONA: You really need to have
          a -- your fence on the property line.

<u>COMMISSIONER DAVIS:</u> Mr. Chair.
10
11
                   MR. MARIBONA: To be protected.
12
                   <u> COMMISSIONER HYMAN</u>: Well --
13
                   CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Commissioner Davis.
COMMISSIONER DAVIS: We're talking about
14
15
          maintaining -- you know, the difficulty in
16
          maintaining vegetation, but if you run that wall
17
          right up next to the other people's property, it's
18
          never going to get painted again. It will never
19
20
          be maintained again.
                  It will be a dilapidated looking thing in
21
22
          someone's back yard.
                   MR. MARIBONA:
                                    I don't -- you know, I
23
          don't -- I don't believe in that, due to the factor is it's like any other wall.
24
25
26
                   COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Yeah, but you won't
27
          be able to get onto their property.
                   COMMISSIONER DAVIS: You will -- you'll
28
          have to go on private property in order to
29
          maintain that wall, and you don't know who's going
30
          to be living there and whether they're going to
31
          allow you to do that.
32
                                    So let's say me and my
33
                   MR. MARIBONA:
          neighbor, we both have a concrete wall dividing -- I'm using his wall, he's using my wall, I use his side, he's using my side. I paint my side. If he
34
35
36
          doesn't want to paint his side, he doesn't have to, but I know there's a fence there right now,
37
38
           and the side dividers of each residence,
39
40
           everybody's sharing the fence line.
41
                   COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Well, I don't think
           it's appropriate to expect the people who's --
42
           who's -- own the adjacent property to maintain
43
           your wall.
44
                                     They won't -- they won't
45
                        MARIBONA:
                   MR.
          have to. They --
46
                   COMMISSIONER DAVIS: No, they could let
47
48
           it --
                   \underline{\text{MR. MARIBONA}}: -- told me they want to
49
50
           take theirs down.
51
                   COMMISSIONER DAVIS: They could let it
52
           fall down if they wanted to, but --
          \underline{\text{MR. MARIBONA}}\colon They're -- the ones that are there are all falling down. They want me to
53
54
55
           put mine up for them to use mine.
                                                    That's what
56
           they told me.
57
                   COMMISSIONER DAVIS:
                                           Well, I'm looking --
           I'm -- I'm thinking 10, 15, 20, 50 years ahead --
58
59
                   MR. MARIBONA: Yeah.
                    COMMISSIONER DAVIS: -- when there may be
60
           totally different people living there, and, you
61
```

know, what we're potentially creating here is a

non-maintained piece of concrete that you can't do anything with.

COMMISSIONER HYMAN: You know, I understand and I think Joanne's right, I mean that you can't go on other people's property, but I also -- this situation is -- comes up all the time everywhere.

I mean I have a wall between my house and my neighbor's, not sure whose wall it is, I think it's his, but I maintain my side, and if I don't maintain my side, it's my tough luck because I'm going to be looking at an ugly wall --

MR. MARIBONA: But that's the way -COMMISSIONER HYMAN: -- but I'm getting
the benefit of a wall that I didn't have to pay
for or build.

You know, this is a tough situation, and so long as we can do it legally, I would allow -- I don't think we should promote making these alleyways between the properties.

I don't think that's -- I don't -- and as long as they've got the consent from the homeowners and -- I'd have to figure out how you do this, but, you know, and you give an easement for the adjacent homeowners in the back to hook into that wall and you do provide landscaping on the outside of the wall.

Maybe it's the type of landscaping that doesn't require a lot of water, 'cause I think we're also required to irrigate it, don't we? I think we require irrigation every time you have landscaping.

So the people are going to get the benefit of an irrigated landscaped wall without paying for it.

If we can do it and they've approved it on the other side, I think we should do it. It's a -- it's been a -- it's better than having the alleyway.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Hyman, they won't have the landscaping. They're asking for a variance not to have landscaping on that side of the wall.

COMMISSIONER HYMAN: I think you have to landscape it. I think if you build a wall, you have to landscape it.

 $\underline{\text{MR. MARIBONA}}$: Yeah, but we're putting the wall on the property line.

COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Well, I think it should be off of the property line just enough to provide you with -- require some landscaping.

MR. MARIBONA: Yeah, and then we need to be the 10 feet 'cause we have to access that property in order to maintain the landscaping.

It's like the property -- the homeowners that live right next to the storage facility there, they have a six-foot chainlink fence. They share it. The fence comes down, whoever put it up has to repair it.

<u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Commissioner -- Commissioner Anderson.

VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: I think the

biggest problem with putting a wall right on the property line currently exists. All the existing homeowners have a wall on the property line. don't have access to get to the other side of the property to maintain the fence there.

If there's fences all along the wall now and the property owner puts his fence, then the owners of the homes can't see the fence because it's on the other side of their fence.

If they choose to

MARIBONA: Take down their fence. <u>VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON</u>: -- take down their fence, then they're seeing a nicer solid wall which they could paint. If they don't want, they could contact the property owner and allow him access onto his property to come and maintain

I don't see any difference because the only people that are going to be seeing it are the homeowners.

If they -- if you put the fence in the middle of the easement and landscape on both sides, then you have the homeowners with their dilapidated fence that is going to be unsightly, too, so -- and I don't like the idea of an alleyway.

You can't really allow the homeowners to run their fences to connect to the wall up in the alleyway because then the homeowners would have to maintain that landscaping.

So, in essence, you're creating a problem where they'd be going on the petitioner's property to maintain landscaping and creating their own little -- so I personally like the -- like what the petitioner is requesting, to put their wall right on the property line and have all the landscaping on the interior of the wall.

I think the homeowners want it. The petitioner wants it. We're the only one that's saying we can't do it. So, I mean I'm in favor of it.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Mr. Allan, you -state your name and address for the record, please.

MR. ALLAN: Name is John Allan. I'm at 6900 South 19th Avenue in Lantana here.

I'm not one of the people that are adjacent. I'm just one house up. You can see just a little portion of my house on the right-hand side there. So I wasn't involved in being able to respond to him.

But I did want to object to having a wall 10-foot away from everybody's fences because we have had problems with homeless people living in this property and around in this area and having a homeowner's fence and then a 10-foot wall -- I mean a six-foot wall 10 feet away is going to provide a place for them to congregate or try to live if they can.
So I did want to bring that up.

But also I wanted to see if anybody has every looked up. Besides homeowners here, there's

14 15 16

17

18 19

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

20 21 22

23 24 25

26 27 28

> 29 30

31

36

> 46 47

> 48

41

57 58 59

```
a Florida Power and Light transmission line there
 1
 2
          that has to be maintained somehow, and that's
          another problem with the wall is -- hopefully,
 3
          doesn't get in the way of those powerlines and maintenance of the powerlines.
 4
 5
 6
                  MR. MARIBONA: It doesn't get in the way.
                  MR. ALLAN: And also the vegetation --
 7
 8
                  MR. MARIBONA: No, we have a--
                  MR. ALLAN: -- was a MR. MARIBONA: Yeah.
                       ALLAN: -- was a concern I had that --
 9
10
                  MR. ALLAN: -- people -- remember, when
11
          you plant trees, if you plant them under the powerlines, you're going to have outages, and we don't want that in the area.
12
13
14
                  MR. MARIBONA: Yeah.
15
16
                  COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Well, does FP&L have
17
          an easement?
                  MR. MARIBONA:
18
                                   Yeah.
                                          Or is it one of those
19
                  COMMISSIONER HYMAN:
          places they just put their lines?
20
21
                  MR. MARIBONA: It's an aerial easement,
          but those are -- really, those are about 35, 40-
22
23
          foot concrete power poles. Those are really up
2.4
          high.
                  COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Well, you have to
25
          look at their easement document because sometimes
26
          they don't allow any type of improvements like
27
          walls underneath it.
28
29
                  They may say they have to get truck access
                  It may -- this may be a no decision. MR. MARIBONA: No, they have access
30
          to it.
31
          through our road back there, and --
32
33
                  <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN</u>: Right.
          MR. MARIBONA: -- the planting, you could put your planting, you could not just put --
34
35
          it's not an underground utility. They have access
36
37
          to the property through our --
                  COMMISSIONER HYMAN: You have to look at
38
39
          their easement document to see what it says.
                  MR. MARIBONA: We have.
40
41
                  COMMISSIONER HYMAN: So you -- you have,
42
          what, like a 20-foot easement on the back side of
43
          your property or 10-foot?
44
                  MR. MARIBONA: It's either 15 or 20.
          No -- no structure or buildings. You can put
45
46
          landscaping, parking and your fence on the
47
          property line.
48
                  <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN</u>:
                                         Well, what about a
49
          wall?
                  I don't think --
50
                                  No, you could put a wall on
                  MR. MARIBONA:
51
          the property line.
                  COMMISSIONER HYMAN: You know, I'm not
52
53
          sure.
54
                  MR. MARIBONA: No, it is.
                                                 I checked that
55
          out.
56
                  VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON:
                                              But if you build
57
          the fence 10 feet in --
                                  Then --
58
                  MR. MARIBONA:
59
                  VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON:
                                               -- then you have
60
          to have all the landscaping --
                  <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN</u>: I agree.
61
62
          problem.
```

VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: -- put on the outside, it's actually putting the fence in the middle of the property creates more of a problem for FP&L than putting it right on the property 3 4 5 line. COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Except that the 7 property line is probably, I'm sort of guessing 8 here, but it's probably in the middle of that FP&L easement, and if they want vehicular access to maintain their poles, you probably can't put a 9 10 11 wall. 12 Why don't you find out about that? MR. MARIBONA: The easement's inside the 13 property. It's from the property line in. COMMISSIONER HYMAN: It's from the 14 15 property line in. 16 17 MR. MARIBONA: In. 18 <u>COMMISSIONER DAVIS</u>: I would be more 19 comfortable seeing something from FPL to that 20 effect. 21 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: We need to see 2.2 something. That's right. 23 <u>COMMISSIONER DAVIS</u>: And I also have the 24 fundamental question of, you know, staff has said that it does not meet the requirements of code, 25 26 this variance. So, again, we're back into, you know, is this worthy of a Type II variance, and it isn't.

COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Well, I think if -
if we determine that it satisfies the seven 27 28 29 30 criteria, we can grant the variance. 31 It's obviously something against code, but 32 we can grant the variance. That's why it's before us today, if we're satisfied that it meets those 33 34 35 seven criteria. But before, I mean, we do that, I think it's -- I didn't know that there was an FP&L 36 37 easement right there. I think we should know if 38 granting it is even feasible. 39 MR. BANKS: If there's an easement, FP&L 40 41 will be required to sign off before they build it. 42 There are easements over property all the 43 time, so --Sure. 44 **COMMISSIONER HYMAN:** 45 VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON: This a very 46 common situation. 47 MR. BANKS: -- and FP&L enforces their easements and allows various things to be built in 48 49 their easements, and we really don't -- I don't think that should be the dispositive factor --50 51 **COMMISSIONER HYMAN:** Okay. 52 MR. MARIBONA: Yeah, the --MR. BANKS: -- regarding this area.
MR. MARIBONA: The easement, is inside my property, the same thing in front of Hypoluxo have 53 54 55 an easement, a utility easement. 56 57 What you cannot do is put no buildings, no 58 solid structures, including concrete fences. 59

The only way you can put a concrete fence

would put the wall on the property line, but it

So you're saying you

is on the property line.

COMMISSIONER HYMAN:

60

would not encroach obviously into the neighbors in 2 the back. 3 MR. No. MARIBONA: 4 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: It would be from the 5 property line inward, whatever you needed for 6 footers or whatever --7 MR. MARIBONA: Yes. 8 <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN:</u> -- installation 9 you're talking about. 10 And that means, also, like Joanne was saying, you wouldn't be able to ever maintain the other -- the back side, but the people would be 11 12 13 responsible for maintaining the back side 14 themselves. 15 MR. MARIBONA: I believe everyone has a responsibility. It's the same thing as the 16 17 people's driveways in their right-of-ways in front 18 of the roads. Everybody cuts the grass. doesn't belong to them. 19 20 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Did staff take a look 21 at the consent forms by the homeowners in the back 22 side? MS. GLASSER: We have not seen that. He 23 24 just got this this past weekend. If we could 25 receive and file? 26 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Can we see those? 27 <u>VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON</u>: So moved. 28 <u>COMMISSIONER DAVIS</u>: Second. 29 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Motion made by 30 Commissioner Anderson, second by Commissioner 31 Davis. 32 All in favor. Aye. 33 **COMMISSIONERS:** 34 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Opposed. 35 (No response) 36 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Motion carries, 7-0. 37 MR. MARIBONA: I told them if they wanted 38 the fence on the property line or away 10 feet, so 39 I gave them an option. 40 This is from the <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN:</u> property owner -- I've seen the plans of the new 41 42 fence wall being placed on the -- this will act as a buffer between both parties. Please place the 43 wall on the property line as per plans. Please place the wall 10 feet. 44 45 Okay. Did everybody sign that? 46 47 <u>VICE CHAIRMAN ANDERSON</u>: All but one. 48 **COMMISSIONER HYMAN:** Well, what about 49 providing the people with some landscaping, even though you're never going to be able to maintain the landscaping, but at least giving them the 50 51 52 landscaping initially so that they have it 'cause 53 I think most of the people are going to want to 54 landscape your wall. 55 MR. MARIBONA: I -- I did tell them that. No one wants the responsibility of basically 56 57 causing the alleyway, that's one. 58 The major thing that --59 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: No, no, no. What 60 about if you put the wall on the property line but

got their consent to putting landscaping on the

outside of the wall, so at least you provide these

61

people with a landscaped wall that would then be 2 up to them to maintain. MR. MARIBONA: I'll give them that option 3 if they really want. It's -- it's up to the people. I don't believe anybody wants to do that 4 5 and steal more of their back yard, basically just 6 7 for landscaping. They have a fence there right now, and 8 they probably got their own landscaping in place 9 10 right now. I'm going to come up to their existing 11 12 fence. I asked one person there -- their fence is 13 14 falling down. I told him you want me to take it down for you and put my new fence up. They told me don't you touch my fence. You put your fence. 15 16 I don't have a problem. My fence stays. 17 Everybody has, you know, their privacy, 18 the way they like to look at things, and no one 19 wants me to basically trespass into their property. I can tell you that. 20 21 I've spoken to each individual personally 22 23 except for one person. COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Okay. All right. Well, I think I -- I think I'm convinced that the 24 25 property -- the wall needs to go on the property 26 line because an alleyway is not going to benefit 27 28 anybody. 29 MS. HERNANDEZ: I want to just point out the survey that staff has does not include an 30 easement on that north property line, and staff 31 did not review it as an easement on the property 32 33 line. 34 It would cause problems with easement overlap and the ULDC and additional variances. 35 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Okay. Then I think 36 this needs to come back to us after you look at 37 38 Okay. that. Let's take a look at the -- I'm going to 39 40 move to postpone this. 41 COMMISSIONER DAVIS: Second. MR. MARIBONA: It's an aerial -- just 42 43 one --44 45 That's -- it wouldn't be the first time. 46 47 MR. MARIBONA: No, I -- for the record, 48 it's an aerial easement. MS. HERNANDEZ: The code doesn't 49 distinguish between an aerial easement or one 50 51 that's on the ground, so --COMMISSIONER HYMAN: And if staff doesn't 52 know about it and they need to address it, then -- MR. MARIBONA: Okay.

CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: Okay. We have a 53 54 55 56 motion by --57 <u>COMMISSIONER HYMAN</u>: I move to postpone. CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: -- Commissioner Kaplan 58 [sic], second by Commissioner Davis. 59 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: 'Til the next month. 60 MS. HERNANDEZ: October 2nd. 61 62 <u>COMMISSIONER BRUMFIELD</u>: And before we

vote, I'd ask staff to -- in all of the seven variance standards staff, except for one, 2 3 indicated that they did not meet the variance 4 standards. 5 So I'd like something towards that end as to the merits in which they felt that they could 6 be alleved [sic] with lighting, the height of the fence and so forth with regards to the concerns 7 8 9 that the residents are putting forward, as well. So if there's any way that we can address those issues by the next 30 days, I'd appreciate 10 11 12 that, too. MS. HERNANDEZ: Okay. 13 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All right.
All those in favor of the postponement. 14 15 **COMMISSIONERS:** Aye. 16 17 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Opposed. 18 (No response) 19 <u>CHAIRMAN BARBIERI</u>: Motion carries, 7-0. Would everybody give me back the letters 20 that the gentleman submitted so I can make sure 21 they get to the staff. 22 23 Jon. 24 MR. Mac GILLIS: That's the end of the 25 agenda. 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 CHAIRMAN BARBIERI: All right. 38 anybody have any -- yes, sir.

MR. ROGERS: Mr. Chairman, I have some sad news and some good news for you. 39 40 41 After 35 years of service -- no, not for me, wishful thinking, I understand. 42 43 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: Oh, no. 44 MR. ROGERS: But after 35 years of service 45 with Palm Beach County and after approximately 27 46 years of service to this Commission, Jim Choban is 47 48 leaving --49 No. COMMISSIONER HYMAN: -- his employment with Palm 50 MR. ROGERS: 51 Beach County. 52 COMMISSIONER HYMAN: You're kidding. 53 MR. ROGERS: And --What, he's teaching 54 **COMMISSIONER HYMAN:** 55 traffic school for like ever or doing that full 56 time? 57 MR. ROGERS: He -- we're not sure what 58 he's going to do. He's being close-lipped about 59 it. COMMISSIONER HYMAN: MR. ROGERS: No. Ho 60 You're kidding. However, we have some 61

good news, and that is I'd like to introduce to

1	CERTIFICATE
2	THE STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF PALM BEACH)
4	I, Sophie M. Springer, Notary Public,
5	State of Florida at Large,
6	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the above-entitled
7	and numbered cause was heard as hereinabove set
8	out; that I was authorized to and did report the
9	proceedings and evidence adduced and offered in
-0	said hearing and that the foregoing and annexed
1	pages, numbered 4 through 51, inclusive, comprise
L2	a true and correct transcription of the Zoning
L3	Commission hearing.
L 4	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not related to
L5	or employed by any of the parties or their
L6	counsel, nor have I any financial interest in the
L7	outcome of this action.
L8	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
L9	hand and seal this <a>26th day of September, 2008.
20	
21	$1 \sim m 1$
22	Lophe 11. Springer
23	Sophie M. Springer, Notary Public
24	REPRINCE M. SPRINCE
25	MY COMMISSION # DD 817468 EXPIRES: September 13, 2012 Bonded Thru Budget Notary Services